			· '			
			Page 1			
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS					
2	SAN ANTONIC	) DI	/ISION			
3						
	ALEK SCHOTT,	§				
4	Plaintiff,	§				
		S				
5		S				
	VS.	S				
6		S				
	JOEL BABB, in his individual	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.			
7	and official capacity;	S	5:23-cv-00706-OLG-RBF			
	MARTIN A. MOLINA III, in his	§				
8	individual and official	§				
	capacity; JAVIER SALAZAR, in	S				
9	his individual and official	S				
	capacity; and BEXAR COUNTY,	S				
10	TEXAS,	§				
	Defendants.	S				
11						
12						
13	ORAL DEPOSITION OF					
14	SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR					
15	SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  JULY 16, 2024					
16						
17	ORAL DEPOSITION of S	SHERI	IFF JAVIER SALAZAR,			
18	produced as a witness at the i	insta	ance of the Plaintiff(s)			
19	and duly sworn, was taken in t	the a	above-styled and			
20	numbered cause on July 16, 2024, from 9:04 a.m. to 3:21					
21	p.m., before Molly Carter, Cer	rtifi	ied Shorthand Reporter			
22	in and for the State of Texas,	, reg	ported by machine			
23	shorthand, at the Bexar County	shorthand, at the Bexar County Sheriff's Office,				
24	207 North Comal Street, San Ar	207 North Comal Street, San Antonio, Texas, pursuant to				
25	the Federal Rules of Civil Pro	ocedi	ıre.			

	Page 2		Page
1	APPEARANCES	1	THE REPORTER: We are on the record.
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S):	2	SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR,
J	Ms. Christen M. Hebert		
4	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	3	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
_	816 Congress Avenue, Suite 970	4	EXAMINATION
5	Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 480-5936	5	BY MR. WINDHAM:
6	chebert@ij.org	6	Q Well, good morning, Sheriff Salazar. How are
7	Mr. Joshua A. Windham	7	you doing?
8	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 901 North Glebe Road, Suite 900	8	
o	Arlington, Virginia 22203		A Good, sir. And you?
9	(703) 682-9320	9	Q Good, good. My name is Josh Windham. I
^	jwindham@ij.org	10	represent the Plaintiff Alek Schott in this matter. I
0	FOR THE BEXAR COUNTY DEFENDANT(S):	11	just want to ask how you prefer to be addressed today.
1	Mr. Charles S. Frigerio	12	A Sheriff, or Mr. Salazar, whatever you prefer.
2	Mr. Hector X. Saenz	13	
3	LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES S. FRIGERIO P.C. 111 Soledad, Suite 465		Q Okay. So no strong opinions on that.
3	San Antonio, Texas 78205	14	A Call me Javier if you want to.
4	(210) 271-7877	15	Q By the way, what is your full name?
_	csf@frigeriolawfirm.com	16	A Sheriff Javier Salazar.
5	FOR THE DEFENDANT(S) SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR:	17	Q Okay. And we're joined here in the room by my
U	Mr. Blair J. Leake (via Zoom)	18	co-counsel Christie Hebert, by a few other attorneys in
7	WRIGHT & GREENHILL, PC		•
0	4700 Mueller Boulevard, Suite 200	19	the room, Charles Frigerio, Hector
8	Austin, Texas 78723 (512) 476-4600	20	MR. WINDHAM: What's your last name?
9	bleake@w-g.com	21	MR. SAENZ: Saenz.
0		22	Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. Hector Saenz. And
1		23	Blair Leake represents Deputy Babb in this case.
3			
4		24	And the court reporter, whose name is Molly,
5		25	she's going to record our conversation today and try to
1	Page 3 INDEX		Page
1	INDEX	1	
	Appearances 2	2	A Sure.
3	••	3	Q Great. And do you agree that by being here
4	SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR	4	today you waive any defects in the deposition notice that
5	Examination by Mr. Windham 4		led you to be here today?
<i>5</i>	Signature and Changes		
7	6	6	A Yes.
8	1	7	Q Okay. And likewise, to the reporter's
9		8	qualifications, you waive any defects to her
0		9	qualifications?
1	EVHIDITO	10	A Yes.
	EXHIBITS  NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE		
	Exhibit 46	11	Q And she is very qualified, I can tell you.
	Internal Affairs Investigation Report	12	Great. So to kick us off today, I just want to
5		13	ask, have you ever been deposed before?
_	Exhibit 52 8	14	A Yes, sir.
6	Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Sheriff Javier Salazar	15	Q And I may ask you about that later, but you
7	Sherifi Javier Safazar	١	
,	Exhibit 53 60	16	know, the reason I ask that up front is just because I
8	Bexar County Sheriff's Office	17	want to see how familiar you are with deposition. How
	Organizational Chart	18	many times have you been deposed?
		10	A Oh, three or four that I can remember.
9		10	11 On, and of four that I can fellicilioef.
	Exhibit 54	19	0 01
	<b>Babb Interdiction Training Documentation</b>	20	Q Okay.
0			<ul><li>Q Okay.</li><li>A Over the years.</li></ul>
0	Babb Interdiction Training Documentation and Certifications	20	-
9 20 21 22	<b>Babb Interdiction Training Documentation</b>	20 21 22	<ul><li>A Over the years.</li><li>Q So let's just talk about a few of the ground</li></ul>
20	Babb Interdiction Training Documentation and Certifications  Exhibit 55	20 21 22 23	A Over the years.  Q So let's just talk about a few of the ground rules. You've probably heard this kind of boilerplate
20	Babb Interdiction Training Documentation and Certifications  Exhibit 55	20 21 22	<ul><li>A Over the years.</li><li>Q So let's just talk about a few of the ground</li></ul>

Page 8

1 that make sense to you?

- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Okay. Is there any reason you can't testify
- 4 truthfully today?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Like you're not on alcohol or drugs or anything
- 7 that would impair your judgment?
- 8 A No, sir.
- 9 Q Okay. And when I ask a question, please try
- 10 to, you know, let me finish my question before you answer
- 11 it. And likewise, I'll do the same for you when you're
- 12 giving an answer. Does that make sense?
- 13 A Absolutely.
- 14 Q And let's try to talk -- I talk relatively
- 15 fast.
- 16 A Same here.
- 17 Q Let's try to talk in a measured way so that
- 18 Molly can get everything down and avoid, you know,
- 19 nonverbal responses like uh-huh and huh-uh. Make sense?
- 20 A Absolutely.
- 21 Q Cool. And let me know if you don't understand
- 22 a question that I ask today. The goal here is to, you
- 23 know, get to the truth and get to the facts of this case.
- 24 And so if I'm not asking a question that makes any sense
- 25 to you, just let me know.

Page 7

- 1 A Sure.
- 2 Q Cool. And I'm going to show you some documents
- 3 today. Maybe some videos. It's your right to review all
- 4 of that with your attorney before getting into some
- 5 questions. So if you want to take a minute to do that,
- 6 just speak up, let me know, and we can take a break.
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And by the way, you are the master of breaks
- 9 today. So if you have to use the restroom or want to get
- 10 a bite to eat or you're just getting tired, let me know
- 11 and we can take a break at any time.
- 12 A Okay. Well, just bear with me a bit, I've
- 13 already -- I've had allergies for about a month now, and
- 14 decided to jump on a tractor and mow six acres last
- 15 night, so I'm just a little congested, so bear with me if
- 16 I have a coughing fit.
- 17 Q I'll bear with you.
- MS. HEBERT: You can have cough drops too, if
- 19 you need some.
- 20 A Thank you.
- 21 Q (By Mr. Windham) And your attorney may object
- 22 to a question I ask, and please still answer the question
- 23 unless you're instructed not to do so. Okay?
- 24 A (Nodding head.)
- 25 Q And those objections -- was that a yes?

- 1 A Yes, sir. Sorry.
- 2 Q Okay. Sorry. I don't mean to be pushy, but --
- 3 all right. So before today's deposition, did you do
- 4 anything to prepare yourself?
- 5 A No, sir, not particularly.
- 6 Q Didn't talk to anybody other than your
- 7 attorneys?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Didn't review any documents or anything like
- 10 that?
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 Q No videos?
- 13 A No, sir.
- 14 Q Okay. I mean, let me just introduce one and
- 15 see if you've seen it before.
- 16 All right. So Ms. Hebert is handing you what
- 17 we've marked as Exhibit 52. And just take a minute to
- 18 look at it. Let me know if you've seen it before.
- 19 A I don't specifically recall having seen it, but
- 20 I know what it is.
- Q Okay. And what is it?
  - A It's a notice for me to appear for deposition.
- 23 Q And here we are, right?
- 24 A Yes, sir.

22

Q Okay, great. And so that's the sort of thing

- 1 I'm asking, have you reviewed documents before. You
- 2 haven't seen that, but you're here today.
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q All right. So I want to clarify some lingo
- 5 that we might be using today, because "Bexar County
- 6 Sheriff's Office" is a mouthful. If I said that
- 7 a million times today, we would be here for an hour
- 8 extra. So can I just use "BCSO"?
- 9 A Absolutely.
- 10 Q Okay, great. Is that the term you use?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Okay. And when I refer to "the County" or "the
- 13 Sheriff," can we understand that I'm referring to Bexar
- 14 County and you, the Bexar County Sheriff?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Great. Okay. Let me know if you want to use
- 17 that in a different sense. For example, if you're
- 18 talking about a different County Sheriff, just let me
- 19 know, so the record is clear about that.
- 20 A Understood.
- 21 Q Great. And you said you've been deposed a few
- 22 times. Can we just briefly go through what those were
- 23 about, if you recall?
- 24 A Yes, sir. I can recall some termination cases,
- 25 at least one termination case where a deputy was

1

7

1 terminated and I was deposed as a part of that.

- 2 Another one where a member of the former
- 3 command staff before I took office sued -- was in the
- 4 process of suing me, and I was deposed as a result of
- 5 that as well.
- 6 And then at least once before that I can
- 7 remember I was deposed on some sort of a civil case, but
- 8 I don't -- I don't recall what it was. And if memory
- 9 serves, I think it was something from my San Antonio
- 10 police days, but I wasn't deposed until I was already the
- 11 sitting Sheriff. But I can't recall what case it was. I
- 12 just remember --
- 13 Q Okay. And I realize memories get fuzzy over
- 14 time. So I do want to ask you a little bit about those,
- 15 and we'll just maybe do our best to remember.
- 16 A Sure.
- 17 Q I'm not going to dive too deep. But I want to
- 18 know, just starting off, maybe -- it sounds like the
- 19 oldest one in time might have been the San Antonio Police
- 20 Department one; is that fair?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you recall about what year that lawsuit
- 23 might have started?
- 24 A Gosh, I don't.
- 25 Q Okay.

Page 11

- 1 A I don't.
- 2 Q Okay. And you were the sitting Sheriff at the
- 3 time?
- 4 A I believe so.
- 5 Q And when did you -- sorry.
- 6 A No, I took office January 1st of 2017.
- 7 Q Okay. And so to your recollection, you were
- 8 sued at some point after that, after you were elected?
- 9 A No, I don't recall. It may have been -- I may
- 10 have even -- it may have even been before I took office.
- 11 It just sticks out -- the only reason that it sticks out
- 12 is it was my first time being deposed, and I remember
- 13 having a conversation with the gentleman that deposed me.
- 14 He complimented me on my testimony, and he was a former14
- 15 chief or a sheriff himself, and he was now working as --
- 16 doing some sort of expert testimony and complimented me
- 17 on my testimony.
- 18 But that conversation -- I remember that
- 19 conversation more so than I do the actual testimony or
- 20 the reason for being there.
- Q Okay. I mean, that's actually why I'm going
- 22 through this is that I -- I mostly just want to know if
- 23 anything that you've been, you know, involved in before
- 24 as a witness was related at all --
- 25 A Oh, no.

Q -- to this matter. So, you know, I'll assess

- 2 that for myself, but I do want to ask if you have any
- 3 recollection of the subject matter of the lawsuit that
- 4 you were deposed for regarding your time in the
- 5 San Antonio Police Department.
- 6 A I don't remember. I wish I did. I'm sorry.
  - Q Okay. Maybe we'll come back to that. Do you
- 8 know if you have any documents from that or anything like
- 9 that?
- 10 A No, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. And what about this other lawsuit you
- 12 mentioned from former command staff of Bexar County
- 13 Sheriff's Office, what was that one about?
- 14 A It was about -- when I came into office, you
- 15 know, I did what typically people taking office do is
- 16 they interview for members of their new command staff.
- 17 And sometimes people from the prior command staff are
- 18 retained and sometimes they are not.
  - In this instance, I did not -- I chose to not
- 20 retain somebody, and they filed some sort of a lawsuit
- 21 based upon that. It was a man named Henry Reyes, and I
- 22 testified and gave my reasonings for why I chose to not
- 23 retain him.

19

1

- 24 Q Okay. Do you recall why you chose not to
- 25 retain him?

Page 13

A He was -- to me, he was a person of

- 2 questionable character.
- 3 Q Okay. And you know that because of his actions
- 4 on the job, or how do you know that he was of
- 5 questionable character?
- 6 A So as I understand the facts, he had had a
- 7 child out-of-wedlock with a subordinate deputy at the
- 8 time that he was actually married to someone that still
- 9 works here to this day. That was just one of several
- 10 things. And then I just chose to exercise my prerogative
- 11 to not retain somebody.
- 12 Q Okay. And is that, just so that I'm clear on
- 13 understanding it -- because I don't work in law
  - enforcement and the chain of command is something I'm
- 15 still getting used to, so is there something abnormal
- 16 about, I guess, relationships among folks in the
- 17 Sheriff's Department?
- 18 A No, not among people. I mean, people have
- 19 relationships in the workplace all the time. But you can
- 20 imagine -- as you can imagine, it would be problematic
- 21 for somebody to be having a relationship with somebody
- 22 that's a subordinate way down the chain of command from
- 23 them, and then the fact -- plus the fact on top of
- 24 that -- and I'm not the morality police, right.
- 25 Q Sure.

- 1 A I personally don't cheat on my wife, but I, you
- 2 know, frown upon people that do. And that's -- to me,
- 3 that's not somebody that I want on my command staff. If
- 4 you can't respect the most basic promise that anybody
- 5 could make and the most important, then to me that's not
- 6 somebody that I want associated with me or with my
- 7 command staff.
- 8 Plus the fact that if you can't control your
- 9 urges with your subordinates, how are you going to be
- 10 when I've got you -- if and when I've got you as a chief
- 11 over 1500 young deputies.
- 12 Q So it's just a conflict of interest.
- 13 A It was a conflict of interest and it just -- at
- 14 the end of the day had that not occurred, had I not been
- 15 made aware of that, probably still would not have
- 6 selected that person. I just -- I chose to not retain
- 17 the vast majority of the people in that prior
- 18 administration.
- 19 Q Okay. How did that lawsuit turn out?
- 20 A It was dismissed. I believe it was dismissed.
- 21 Q Okay. And you did one deposition for that and
- 22 that was about it?
- 23 A That was it.
- 24 Q Okay. And we may get into this later, but
- 25 since you mentioned it now, so what percentage of your --

Page 15

- 1 if you know, what percentage of your, the command staff
- 2 that was there when you arrived as Sheriff did you
- 3 retain?
- 4 A One.
- 5 Q You retained one person?
- 6 A Out of ten --
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A -- in that prior administration, I retained
- 9 one. And he's still here to this day.
- 10 Q Who's the one you retained?
- 11 A Otis Hutchinson.
- 12 Q Okay. Everybody else in command staff, since
- 13 you took office, is a new hire?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Okay. And at a high level, if there's
- 16 something that kind of globally connects the nine folks
- 17 that you didn't keep -- let me ask it a different way
- 18 actually.
- What accounts for the fact that there was that
- 20 much turnover?
- A It's just typical. When you come into office,
- 22 you bring in your own command staff, you know, based up 22
- 23 how you're going to structure the department.
- 24 Like for example, I restructured the agency.
- 25 Literally, I restructured it. And so, you know, I chose

rage

- 1 my team accordingly. So I equate it to, you know,
- 2 building a football team. You don't just go out and
- 3 pick, you know, eleven big guys because they look
- 4 imposing. You pick a quarterback with a skill set of a
- 5 quarterback. You pick a running back with the skill set
- 6 of a running back.
- 7 Q This is hitting home for me, because I love
- 8 football.
- 9 A Absolutely. So I picked -- based upon how I
- 0 was going to structure the agency and how it's structured
- 1 to this day, I picked people that possessed the skill
- 12 sets and attributes that I was looking for for this, for
- 13 this, for this.
- 14 Q Okay. That's really helpful. I mean, I guess
- 15 I'm curious, as Sheriff -- and I want to get kind of into
- 16 a more global discussion of what you do as Sheriff in a
- 17 second.
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 Q But as Sheriff, what sort of role do you have,
- 20 generally speaking, in the structuring of the agency at
- 21 large?
- 22 A I mean, I call the shots. You know, as
- 23 conservator of the peace, if you will, as the top ranking
- 24 law enforcement, uniformed law enforcement officer in the
- 25 county, I call the shots as far as the Sheriff's Office

- 1 goes. You know, selection of command staff, on down to
- 2 selection of equipment and uniforms in some instances.
- 3 Q Yeah. The folks that you hired to your command
- 4 staff, what were the major, I don't know, qualities you
- 5 were looking for in that kind of new, first new command
- 6 staff that you hired?
- 7 A Well, some of the first new command staff that
- 8 I hired coming in are no longer here. But I looked
- 9 for -- for example, the Chief Deputy that I have now,
- 10 James Serrato, he -- he and I were co-workers at the
- 11 San Antonio Police Department together.
- He ran the Research and Planning section of the
- 13 SAPD, San Antonio Police Department. He was a sergeant
- 14 like I was. I was the Director of Media Relations. I
- 15 was the spokesman, the PIO.
- He and I were both sergeants, but we both
- 17 operated at a level that was a bit higher than our rank.
- 18 In other words, some -- in many instances, if the Chief
- 19 needed advice, he would come to us versus a member of his
- 20 command staff.
- 21 Q You guys are sort of go-getters?
  - A Yeah. And we were taught to think globally
- 23 more so. And so he was a natural. He was not my initial
- 4 pick for Chief Deputy, because I wanted somebody that had
- 25 institutional knowledge from here. He -- coming with me

- 1 from the SA -- from the SAPD, I wanted somebody that knew
- 2 the ins and outs here.
- 3 So initially, I picked a guy that was a captain
- 4 when I took office. And he was my Chief Deputy. And
- 5 then James was Chief of Staff, so one rank below that
- 6 Chief Deputy.
- 7 Q Who was the first guy?
- 8 A Don Tijerina.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A Ultimately, Don and I discovered that we had a
- 11 difference -- we were not compatible. So Don was asked
- 12 to leave, and Chief Serrato was bumped up to Chief Deputy
- 13 at that point.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A So Chief Serrato is one that he runs a lot of
- 16 the day-to-day operations for me, and I can concentrate
- 17 on keeping us in office.
- 18 Q Okay. Just to make sure that I understood that
- 19 last comment, the Sheriff is an elected official, right?
- 20 A I am.
- 21 Q So does that mean that a fair amount of what
- 22 you do is public relations?
- 23 A A decent amount of it, yes.

1 handled by Chief Deputy Serrato?

- 24 Q Okay. And in terms of the -- you just used the
- 25 phrase "day-to-day." So is most of the day-to-day

Pag

1 external. I wanted some people from outside, about half,

- 2 and then I wanted to bring in people that had already --
- 3 that grew up here, so to speak. And so I tried to, tried
- 4 to mix it up. Along with some skill sets on that first
- 5 command staff, I had people that -- from the external,
- 6 from literally, federal, state and local experience on
- 7 that -- the outside half, so I had people that grew up in
- and the outside hair, so I had people that give up in
- 8 the federal, in federal agencies, people who grew up in
- 9 state agencies, and some from local.
- 10 Q Okay. And you mentioned another lawsuit. We
- 11 talked about two of them, right?
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q So the third was what?
- 14 A The third was the most recent, and it was a
- 15 lieutenant that had taken part in the insurrection --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A -- in Washington, D.C. --
- 18 Q Seems very straightforward.
- 19 A -- January 6. And yeah, she no longer works
- 20 here.
- 21 Q Okay. I don't think I need to ask about that.
- 22 So I do -- you've alluded to this a little bit.
- 23 I want to just kind of unpack this. Before you took the
- 24 role of Sheriff, or were elected as Sheriff rather, I
- 25 assume you worked in law enforcement.

Page 19

1

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And has that always been true since you
- 4 hired him?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And we were talking a second ago about
- 7 the qualities you were looking for in that first command
- 8 staff that you hired.
- 9 A Uh-huh.
- 10 Q You mentioned folks who are familiar with the
- 11 San Antonio area or Bexar County area rather.
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q I'm trying to remember others that you
- 14 mentioned. You mentioned wanting Serrato. Were there
- 15 any other qualities you were looking for?
- 16 A In other people?
- 17 Q Just as -- let's think of it this way. You're
- 18 coming in as a new administration, right?
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- 20 Q Presumably, you have a vision for what you want
- 21 for the Sheriff's Office.
- 22 A Uh-huh.
- 23 Q What are some of the qualities you wanted in
- 24 that first command staff to execute your vision?
- 25 A So I wanted a good split of internal versus

- A I did.
- 2 Q Can you give me -- I know this is hard. Can
- 3 you give me an overview of your law enforcement career?
- 4 A Sure. I'm in -- I'm about to hit my 32nd year
- 5 in law enforcement. So I came on with the San Antonio
- 6 Police Department. Started the training academy in 1992
- 7 and graduated in 1993, April 10th of 1993.
- 8 Q What training academy was that?
- 9 A The San Antonio --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A -- police training academy, SAPD. Served there
- 12 for just shy of 24 years. But over the course of those
- 13 years, I worked patrol, community policing, bike patrol.
- 14 That was my first five years, I worked in those three
- 15 assignments.
- I was promoted to detective in 1998. Worked
- 17 narcotics -- for the next ten years I spent in covert
- 18 operations in narcotics. Was promoted to sergeant in --
- 19 during that time I was promoted -- during that ten years,
- 20 as part of that ten years, I was promoted to sergeant in
- 21 2002, I believe, and stayed in the narcotics realm until
- 22 about 2008, when I went to work for the Chief, Chief
- 23 McManus, as the administrative sergeant in the Chief's
- 24 office.
- 25 There, I oversaw the executive protection

- 1 detail. So in other words, the body guards for the high
- 2 level dignitaries of the city, I oversaw them.
- 3 After a few years there -- not a few years,
- 4 about 18 months there, I moved over to Internal Affairs
- 5 and worked as an Internal Affairs investigator for about
- 6 three years.
- 7 Then I became the spokesman for the agency in
- 8 2012. 2015 I lost my mind and decided I wanted to run
- 9 for Bexar County Sheriff.
- 10 Q I'll ask you about --
- 11 A So --
- 12 Q -- what happened to your brain then.
- 13 A From there -- I didn't have to leave the
- 14 agency, but I had to step down as spokesman.
- 15 Q Okay
- 16 A Conflict of interest, you know. And so then
- 17 from there I went and I oversaw the -- at that time it
- 18 was called the Intelligence Unit. But during my tenure
- 19 there, about 14 months while I ran for office, we
- 20 actually changed the name to the Integrity Unit. So it
- 21 was a unit that was dedicated to investigating criminal
- 22 allegations against law enforcement officers.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A Different from Internal Affairs that
- 25 investigates administrative. This is the criminal side

Page 23

- 1 of those investigations. So I actually oversaw the
- 2 detectives that handled those sorts of investigations.
- 3 So we changed it -- to delineate intel from what we did,
- 4 we changed it to the Integrity Unit. Don't know what
- 5 it's called to this day over there. I have a unit that
- 6 does that here that I created called the Public Integrity
- 7 Unit.
- 8 Q Okay. That's a way better survey than I could
- 9 give of my career, and you've been in your profession
- 10 longer, which I commend you for.
- 11 A Thank you, sir.
- 12 Q Let me ask -- there's a lot there. Let me ask
- 13 about a few components of that. So is it fair -- you
- 14 said that you started out as a patrol officer after you
- 15 finished the academy, right?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And that was at San Antonio Police Department?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Okay. Can you just give me an overview of what
- 20 being a patrol officer at San Antonio Police Department
- 21 involved?
- 22 A Sure. Responding to calls for service, 911
- 23 calls, you know, anything from just routine patrols,
- 24 traffic stops, traffic enforcement, to, you know,
- 25 handling shootings, you know, shootings, murders, sexual

1 assaults, you name it.

- 2 My -- the area where I broke out, where I
- 3 first -- we called it breaking out -- where I first went
- 4 out on my own after training was right here in this, in
- 5 what we call the 23 Section, the shallow west side of
- 6 San Antonio.

7

- I worked here at a time that they called --
- 8 San Antonio had the distinguishing nickname of the
- 9 drive-by capital of the world -- drive-by shooting
- 10 capital of the world. And I worked here at that time.
- 11 And boy, oh boy, was I at the epicenter of that.
- 12 Q Sounds dangerous.
- 13 A Yes, sir. It was the early '90s, and drive-by
- 14 shootings were all the rage. Kind of like it is now
- 15 again. But back then, you know, it was a different time
- 16 in policing for sure. But that was -- that was where
- 17 I -- right here was where I cut my teeth.
- 18 Q Okay. You mentioned traffic stops. How big a
- 19 part of your job was that back then?
- 20 A It was a part of what I did. I mean, it was on
- 21 a routine basis. I worked out here on the dog watch
- 22 shift, the graveyard shift. So it was part of what
- 23 you -- what we did was conduct traffic stops.
- Now, I only spent about, oh, a year and a half
- 25 on vehicle patrol before moving on to bike patrol

- 1 downtown.
- 2 Q Another type of vehicle.
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q The first type of vehicle, you know, patrol
- 5 car, I mostly just want to get a sense of how much
- 6 personal experience you have with traffic stops. So it
- 7 sounds like you did about a year and a half of traffic
- 8 stops in your patrol car?
- 9 A Well, yes. You know, believe it or not, I did
- 10 my fair share of traffic stops on a bike as well, so we
- 11 can certainly talk about that. But I did, yes, I did --
- 12 I do have a fair amount of experience with traffic stops.
- 13 Q You did about five years of traffic stops on a 14 bike as well?
- 15 A No. I wasn't -- bike patrol for a year --
- 16 maybe a year and a half there as well.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A And then I moved on to community policing,
- 19 which I also conducted patrols. A little more PR, as a
- 20 community policing officer. But still did some uniformed
- 21 enforcement as well.
- Q So in that first five-ish years of patrol work,
- 23 at various points you did traffic stops kind of on and
- 24 off with different levels of focus.
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Page 26

- Q Okay. Do you have a sense -- it's hard to put
- 2 a number. Do you have a sense of how many traffic stops
- 3 you've conducted in your career?
- 4 A Oh gosh, hundreds. Thousands.
- 5 Q Do you think it's closer to thousands or closer
- 6 to hundreds?

1

- 7 A Probably closer to thousands.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A I still conduct traffic stops, so...
- 10 Q As the Sheriff?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q I mean --
- 13 A I'm a working Sheriff.
- 14 Q I don't want to get distracted, but I do want
- 15 to know what that involves. Could you briefly tell me
- 16 about that?
- 17 A Sure. I mean, I drive an unmarked vehicle. I
- 18 have two vehicles. I have an unmarked vehicle, and I
- 19 have a marked unit as well that I just recently obtained.
- 20 But if I'm out and about and somebody -- usually I won't
- 21 just pull somebody over for speeding or whatever. But if
- 22 I suspect somebody's a drunk driver, for example, I
- 23 will -- I will initiate a traffic stop on them. And in
- 24 some of those instances, we have made arrests for DWI
- 25 based upon a stop that I made.

- Page 27
- 1 I can think of another instance where a young
- 2 man was -- appeared to be gesturing out a window,
- 3 possibly with a gun. And this was broad daylight on a
- 4 Saturday afternoon. I was on my way home from a full
- 5 Saturday. I work seven days a week. And I initiated --
- 6 Q Bet your wife loves that.
- 7 A Oh, she's -- yes, absolutely. So I was on the
- 8 way home, and initiated the traffic stop, and sure enough
- 9 we found this young man was a documented gang member, was
- 10 wanted out of another state, and was armed with a pistol,
- 11 that he was most likely waving around at that time.
- 12 And so those are just a few of the stops that I
- 13 still have made up to now. But up until then I've made
- 14 traffic stops for various things throughout the years.
- 15 Q The traffic stops you did in your capacity as a
- 16 San Antonio police officer --
- 17 A Uh-huh.
- 18 Q -- if that's the right phrase for it --
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A Yes, sir, I'm sorry. She doesn't do uh-huhs,
- 22 I'm assuming.
- 23 Q I appreciate that. You're catching me now.
- 24 Did any of those ever turn into something more than just
- 25 a traffic stop?

- 1 A Oh, sure.
- 2 Q Could you elaborate on that?
- 3 A You know, without any certain one jumping out,
- 4 I mean, I can tell you there have been times that you,
- 5 you know, you start out with a traffic stop and it turns
- 6 out to be a load of dope, or you know, a human smuggling
- 7 operation. Or a murder weapon under the front seat that,
- 8 you know, nobody knew about, you know, until such time as
- 9 it's found during the course of a search. So sure, I've
- 10 had cases develop into something more.
- 11 Q Has that happened when you've, in your capacity
- 12 as Sheriff as well?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A No. I think the worst that we've ended up with
- 16 in my capacity as Sheriff has been, again, somebody, you
- 17 know, an armed gang member, documented gang member, or a
- 18 DWI.
- 19 Q Okay. In those traffic stops that turned into
- 20 something more while you were at the San Antonio Police
- 21 Department, is it fair to -- I just want to make sure I
- 22 get the language right -- is it fair to call that
- 23 reactive policing?
- 24 A No, I think it's proactive.
- 25 Q Okay.

1

Page 29

A Traffic stops by their nature are pretty much

- 2 proactive, I think. Because you're, you're, you know,
- 3 patrolling and you see a traffic violation occur and you
- patronning and you got a training violation occur and
- 4 stop it. I mean, I guess that part of it could be
- 5 considered reactive. But, you know, many times you do
- 6 proactive patrols and traffic stops, when it's slow and
- 7 there's no calls for service, you go out and just start
- 8 patrolling. And if you happen to see a traffic
- 9 infraction, you stop it and you conduct the stop
- 10 accordingly.
- 11 Q Sure. That's sort of what I'm getting at is
- 12 that the part of the stop that would lead you to
- 13 investigate some other crime that isn't traffic related,
- 14 that part is reactive?
- 15 A The -- you're proactively patrolling. But then
- 16 when you see a violation or an infraction, that part I
- 17 guess is reactive. So there's proactive, reactive
- 18 components to it, sure.
- 19 Q Okay. Let's see. I do want to ask about this
- 20 narcotics role you mentioned. What was that?
- 21 A Over the course of that ten years, you know, I
- 22 started out working undercover assignments. So, you
- 23 know, low level street buys, you know, search warrants,

homes. Knock and talks, so to speak. You knock on the

- 24 consents to search. I did a lot of consent searches on
  - 8 (Pages 26 29)

- 1 door, you tell them why you're there, you ask for consent
- 2 to search, and then they sign a form if they consent to
- 3 it, and you find the drugs or you don't find the drugs,
- 4 depending upon if they have any or not.
- 5 A lot of testifying in court. A lot of
- 6 executing search warrants. I did some drug interdiction,
- 7 highway drug interdiction for a time there, uniform
- 8 highway drug interdiction for a time.
- 9 And then when I became -- I did that, so as a
- 10 detective -- I was a detective for just over four years.
- 11 So I did all of that within four years. When I promoted
- 12 to sergeant, I went -- so when I promoted to sergeant, I
- 13 was actually in the drug interdiction unit at that time.
- 14 When I promoted, they moved me back down to street
- 15 narcotics as a supervisor. So I then supervised the guys
- 16 that I used to be one of.
- 17 Q Okay. I just want to make sure I understand a
- 18 few terms you used. So there was a drug interdiction
- 19 unit at the San Antonio Police Department.
- 20 A It was called the HIDTA Task Force, H-I-D-T-A.
- 21 It's an acronym, High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas.
- 22 It's a -- so HIDTA is a federal program that if a certain
- 23 area exists that is a high -- that they consider to be a
- 24 high intensity area, the federal government will
- 25 establish a HIDTA unit there with federal funds, and then

- Page
- 1 there's -- it's a task force type situation with people2 from different agencies assigned to it. In my instance,
- 3 when I was there working in HIDTA, it was SAPD,
- 4 San Antonio Police, and the DPS, the Texas Department of
- 5 Public Safety.
- 6 Q Okay. So state and local agencies?
- 7 A (Nodding head.) And there were some federal.
- 8 Q Sorry, you were nodding.
- 9 A I'm sorry?
- 10 Q Is that a yes?
- 11 A Yes. Sorry. And then there were some -- for a
- 12 time there were some federal people assigned there as
- 13 well, DEA.
- 14 Q Okay. Are you aware of if the HIDTA program is
- 15 still going on?
- 16 A I believe it is.
- 17 Q Okay. And what area was designated -- I mean,
- 18 let me ask it this way. How big was the HIDTA area that
- 19 was designated when you were working at San Antonio
- 20 Police Department?
- 21 A So that, I don't know, if it's several counties
- 22 or a region. I honestly don't know how they picked it.
- 23 At that time I really didn't think like that. I was --
- 24 that was before my time as a globally thinking
- 25 supervisor. I was just a young detective that was out,

Page 31

- 1 you target that high intensity drug traffic.
- 2 Q When you say "they," are you referring to DEA
- 3 or some specific federal agency?
- 4 A No, it's the -- golly, it is the -- I think
- 5 it's the -- I'll be honest with you, I think it's the
- 6 DOJ, but I can't remember exactly what federal office
- 7 oversees it, but it's established -- it's designated a
- 8 HIDTA area.
- 9 Q Yeah.
- 10 A And then federal monies are put there, and then
- 11 usually the agencies would then assign somebody over to
- 12 the HIDTA task force.
- 13 Q Okay. So just so that I'm clear on how it
- 14 worked at the San Antonio Police Department, the federal
- 15 government would designate a particular geographical area
- 16 as a HIDTA area?
- 17 A As a HIDTA.
- 18 Q Okay. And then that would come with funds,
- 19 right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Sort of like a grant?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Okay. And then who -- would the staff on that
- 24 unit be purely local San Antonio Police Department staff?
- A No. It's typically a mixed bag. So usually

- 1 you know, doing the Lord's work.
- 2 Q I mostly want to know -- I mean, maybe we'll
- 3 get into this a little bit later --
- 4 A Sure.
- 5 Q -- but I mostly want to know if a HIDTA area
- 6 can be as big as a whole state or if it's something more
- 7 small, like Bexar County or --
- 8 A I think it's more regional than the whole
- 9 state. I think it's more -- I believe it's more
- 10 regional. I don't -- I'll be honest, I don't know what
- 11 our HIDTA looks like.
- 12 Q Okay. And those -- the federal funds that
- 13 would come in, at least when you were with San Antonio
- 14 Police Department, for the HIDTA work, were funds that
- 15 were designed to help facilitate additional law
- 16 enforcement activity to track down drug trafficking? Is
- 17 that fair?
- 18 A To disrupt drug trafficking organizations, to
- 19 find drugs.
- 20 Q And you used the phrase "criminal
- 21 interdiction," right?
- 22 A Uh-huh. I didn't -- I actually did not use the
- 23 phrase "criminal interdiction."
- Q What phrase did you use?
- 25 A Drug interdiction, highway interdiction.

Page 10 of 60, 2024

Page 34

- 1 Q Thanks for the correction. So what's highway
- 2 interdiction?
- 3 A Basically you set up and work traffic. So
- 4 you're just a traffic -- you're just enforcing traffic is
- 5 all you're doing. But then, you know, so you stop
- 6 somebody for a bona fide traffic infraction, hazardous or
- 7 nonhazardous, depending. And then you, you know, do your 7
- 8 seven-step approach. "Hello, I'm Officer so-and-so with
- 9 the San Antonio Police Department. The reason that you
- 10 were stopped today is because of speeding. You know, may 10
- 11 I see your driver's license and insurance." And you go
- 12 from there.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Am I talking too fast?
- 14 THE REPORTER: No, you're fine. I just noticed
- 15 the Zoom. Do we need to get him back on?
- 16 MS. HEBERT: Probably. I don't know what
- 17 happened there.
- 18 MR. WINDHAM: We can take a -- you want to go
- 19 off the record for a minute?
- THE REPORTER: Yeah, we're off the record.
- 21 (Recess from 9:37 a.m. to 9:39 a.m.)
- THE REPORTER: We are back on the record.
- 23 Q (By Mr. Windham) All right, Sheriff Salazar, do
- 24 you recognize that you're still under oath?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Page 35

- 1 Q So we were just talking about highway
- 2 interdiction, right?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q At the San Antonio Police Department, right?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And because our train of thought was cut off a
- 7 little bit, could you just repeat what you were saying
- 8 about what highway interdiction is?
- 9 A It's just traffic enforcement. You know, you
- 10 conduct a traffic stop. You -- you know, there's a bona
- 11 fide violation, infraction of the law, either hazardous
- 12 or nonhazardous, equipment violation, speeding, what have
- 13 you. You do your approach. And then any observations
- 14 that you make during that approach might lead you to
- 15 conducting an interview. And then based upon how that
- 16 interview goes, you may take it to the next level and ask
- 17 for consent or you may -- if there's reasonable suspicion
- 18 present, you may start a canine. Or you may say: Thank
- 19 you for your time. Here's your warning or your ticket.
- 20 Have a nice day. Drive safe.
- 21 Q Okay. Just want to unpack that a little bit.
- 22 So maybe a good reference point would be the patrol --
- 23 A Now, if I may --
- 24 Q Please do.
- 25 A The time that I spent doing highway

1 interdiction is different, probably a lot different than

- 2 it is today. There were a lot of factors that were not
- 3 present back then to me in the early '90s that are today.
- 4 We didn't, we didn't have smart phones, we
- 5 didn't have social media. Probably a lot less access to
- 6 technology. I mean, we were pretty -- we had some
- 7 technology available to us back then, but the tools were
- 8 different, and probably the smuggling methods were a bit
- 9 different. It's an evolving business, the drug trade.
  - So I may say things on how we did them back
- 1 then, because truth be told, I don't know a lot about how
- 12 it's done now. It may be done differently nowadays.
  - Q Okay. When you say "done differently
- 14 nowadays," are you referring to the Bexar County
- 15 Sheriff's Office?
- 16 A Just nowadays, drug interdiction, the business
- 17 of drug interdiction.
- 18 Q Oh.

13

- 19 A It's just -- it's probably vastly different
- 20 than it was back in my day.
- 21 Q Okay. Well, let's maybe unpack how it worked
- 22 in your day and we'll --
- 23 A That's it.
- 24 Q Yeah. So at least back in your day, you know,
- 25 you gave the reference point a second ago of working in

- 1 kind of standard patrol when you were focused on --
- 2 A Traffic, standard traffic enforcement.
- 3 Q Right. So is the motivation or the purpose of
- 4 a traffic officer, at least when you were with
- 5 San Antonio Police Department, different than a highway
- 6 interdiction officer?
- 7 A They're still out there to enforce the law.
- 8 They're still out there to act on anything that's found.
- 9 I mean, I can think of instances where just a routine
- 10 traffic stop, by a, what we called white hats back in the
- 11 day at the San Antonio Police Department -- traffic
- 12 officers wear a white police cap instead of blue -- where
- 13 it turned into, in at least one instance, the largest
- 4 seizure of drug money in the history of the San Antonio
- 15 area was done by a white hat, by a traffic officer.
- So do they still find things? Absolutely. Do
- 17 they still conduct a roadside interview? Yes. And if
- 18 those questions lead them to believe something else is
- 19 occurring, then they're going to act on it. But, so that
- 20 part is similar to what drug interdiction officers or
- 21 deputies do, or did back then.
- 22 But the purpose of the drug interdiction units
- 23 is to find drugs.
- Q Okay. That's helpful. So at least back then
- s with the drug interdiction unit or the highway

- 1 interdiction unit that you were working on, your mission
- 2 was just slightly different. Your focus rather.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. It's all law enforcement, I understand
- 5 that.
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 Q But the focus is on finding drugs, whereas with
- 8 the traffic enforcement guys, the focus was on traffic
- 9 violations. Fair?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. Were there any special trainings or
- 12 tactics that you had to become apprised of to work on the
- 13 drug interdiction unit?
- 14 A Yes. I attended several training classes
- 15 that -- one in Laughlin, Nevada, called Desert Snow, was
- 6 a dedicated course to teach you how to be a better drug
- 17 interdiction officer. They concentrated real heavily on
- 18 showing things like hidden compartments and how to
- 19 conduct that roadside interview and how to notice -- how
- 20 to detect signs of deception on your interview.
- 21 I also attended a class in Louisiana, New
- 22 Orleans, Louisiana, that was along -- I don't think that
- 23 one necessarily had a name, but it was along the same
- 24 lines, how to conduct a roadside interview and how to,
- 25 you know, conduct a search and look for hidden
- Page 39

- 1 compartments.
- 2 Back then -- I don't know how it is now, but
- 3 back then, hidden compartments were a pretty big deal.
- 4 So they would show you, for example, in a Ford Mustang,
- 5 1980 to 1986, they had a hidden compartment here near the
- 6 door panel. So they would show you different common
- 7 smuggling methods, common natural voids in a car or how
- 8 they would add an after-market compartment to a car.
- 9 Q Okay. You talked a little bit about how to
- 10 detect suspicious behavior. Is that the phrase you used?
- 11 A How to detect deception.
- 12 Q Deception. Sorry. Thank you. I mean, is the
- 13 term of art for that "behavioral analysis"? Is that the
- 14 way you think of it?
- 15 A I mean, I guess that could be one
- 16 interpretation of it. That wouldn't be -- that wasn't
- 17 the term I used. I didn't say that. But I could --
- 18 yeah, I could see where you would analyze somebody's
- 19 behavior, somebody's reaction to a question or the way
- 20 somebody answers the question maybe the second time you 20
- 21 ask it. So sure, there's an amount of behavioral
- 22 analysis that goes into it.
- Q Okay. And that's -- honestly, I'm just trying
- 24 to get at -- I'm not from the interdiction world, right?
- 25 A Sure.

- on 1 Q So I'm just trying to understand the lingo.
  - 2 And I've heard this term kind of floated around. So I
  - 3 wanted to see if you were familiar with it.
  - 4 A Sure.
  - 5 Q And is that sure, as in you've heard of it,
  - 6 or --
  - 7 A Yes.
  - 8 Q Okay. Got it. This sequence we talked about a
  - 9 second ago of while you were on the interdiction unit for
  - 10 the San Antonio Police Department conducting a traffic
  - 11 stop and then making observations after that, that would
  - 12 then lead you to an interview. Is that a fair
  - 13 description so far of kind of how that --
  - 14 A Yes.
  - 15 Q Okay. Where would that roadside interview take
  - 16 place?
  - 17 A On the side of the highway typically, on the
  - 18 shoulder, or you know if you pulled them off the highway,
  - 19 if you were in a particularly narrow part of the highway,
  - 20 you would get on the speaker and say: Hey, can you pull
  - 21 off here and meet me in this parking lot or off -- on the
  - 22 access road.
  - So you would do it in a way that was the safest
  - 24 environment that you could possibly find, for yourself
  - 25 and for the violator.

- 1 Q Did that ever involve asking somebody to sit in
- 2 your patrol car and chat with them?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Okay. And then if the roadside interview led
- 5 you to believe that there was potentially some criminal
- 6 activity concealed in the car, that's when you would call
- 7 the canine; is that fair?
- 8 A If there was enough reasonable suspicion
- 9 there --
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A -- to call a canine, then you certainly would.
- 12 But there were not canines assigned to us on a full-time
- 13 basis when I worked it.
- 14 Q Okay. How long did you work again in that
- 15 capacity?
- 16 A Maybe -- maybe a year. I was in the process of
- 17 studying for sergeant, so I know I took off three months,
- 18 studying and waiting for the birth of my child. I took
- 19 off about three months to, you know, put my nose in the
- 20 books and to await the birth of my child.
- 21 Q Great. And you also worked at Internal
- 22 Affairs, right?
- 23 A I did, sometime after that, yes.
- Q Okay. And I know we're a little bit off the
- 25 chronology now, but I just want to make sure I understand

- 1 what you did for Internal Affairs at San Antonio Police
- 2 Department.
- 3 A I was an investigator, so at that point I was
- 4 already a supervisor. I had been a supervisor for about
- 5 six years at that point. No, maybe seven years at that
- 6 point. And all, all supervisors there at the San Antonio
- 7 police and here at the BCSO, all the investigators in
- 8 Internal Affairs are supervisors. They're sergeants.
- 9 Because you need the ability to order, to issue an order.
- 10 And so to order somebody of any rank, you have to have a
- supervisory rank. 11
- 12 Q Okay. At San Antonio Police Department -- and
- 13 by the way, just so you have a sense of why I'm asking
- 14 about your career and history, I just want to know kind
- 15 of the knowledge and experience you had coming into your 15 proceedings that might have occurred in the Internal
- 16 role at Bexar County. Is that fair?
- 17 A Sure, absolutely.
- 18 Q So at the San Antonio Police Department with
- 19 this Internal Affairs role, was your job to -- you
- mentioned investigations. Was it investigations for
- violation of San Antonio Police Department policy?
- 22 Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Okay. And by the way, "policy" is a -- I know
- 24 it can be kind of an amorphous term, so I want to see if
- 25 we can agree on a definition for today. And feel free to

- 1 suggest a different one. I don't want to put words in
- 2 your mouth.
- 3 But the way I think of it is rules and customs
- 4 that a law enforcement agency requires its officers to
- 5 follow. And those --
- A In writing. 6
- 7 Q Okay. That's a great clarification. So
- 8 they're all in writing?
- A Well, let me clarify a bit. There may be a
- 10 verbal order that's issued that if you violate it, you're
- subject to scrutiny by the Internal Affairs unit.
- 12 Q Right.
- 13 A So any orders, either verbal or written, by way
- 14 of a policy manual, a unit SOP, standard operating
- 15 procedure, or a written directive by, you know, a
- 16 supervisor or member of management, or I guess as we
- 17 mentioned a verbal directive by any supervisor or member
- 18 of management.
- 19 Q Right. So because you just used a lot of
- words, the reason I want to use "policy" to kind of
- capture all that is that --
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 -- it's a shorthand, right?
- 24 Α Sure.
- 25 0 So just so that I understand what we're

- 1 agreeing to, a policy is the rules that a law enforcement
- 2 agency requires its officers to follow --
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q -- largely written, but they can sometimes be
- oral, unwritten rules --5
- 6 Right.
- 7 O -- as orders.
- Yes. A
- Okay. What about, is it fair to say that --
- 10 no, that's a good definition. Let's use that. Does that
- work for you?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
  - Q Okay, good.
  - All right. So what about disciplinary
- Affairs department at San Antonio, how did those work, if
- 17 they did?

13

- 18 A So you would conduct the investigation as an
- 19 Internal Affairs investigator. You would interview
- 20 witnesses. You would obtain documents. You would
- conduct the entire investigation. At the end of it --
- well, you would call non-respondent officers, in other
- 23 words, not the guy or girl that's under investigation,
- 24 but anybody else that was there as non-respondent
- 25 officers would be the second to the last people that
  - Page 45
- 1 would get called in. And then at the end of it all, once
- 2 your investigation was complete, you would call in the
- 3 respondent officer or officers. They would be given the
- opportunity to see everything that was -- that's used
- against them, so to speak. They would then be required
- to write a response to that, maybe answer some questions. 6
- 7 And then the findings of that, whatever -- you
- 8 would take your case file, you would add the responses of
- the officer or officers, the respondents. You would
- present that to the Chief's advisory action board, which
- was a board made up of civilian members from the
- community, as well as uniformed members of the agency of 12
- 13 various ranks.
- 14 You would present the case, not with any
- 15 findings, just -- or not with any opinion, just basically
- 16 here's the facts of the case. I, as the Internal Affairs
- 17 investigator, investigated this, here's what I uncovered,
- 18 there's my findings. And then the board would vote on
- 19 culpable, not culpable. And then if they were found
- 20 guilty of it, what's their punishment going to consist
- 21 of.
- 22 That recommendation would find its way -- would
- 23 make its way to the Chief. Because it being an advisory
- action board, it was advisory in nature. So the Chief
- could then say, I agree, I concur with what the advisory

- 1 action board is recommending, or I disagree with it a
- 2 little bit and I'm going to depart from it, or they got
- 3 it wrong, here's what I'm going to do.
- 4 Q Okay. That's really helpful. One thing I
- 5 thought was interesting about that was your role as an
- 6 investigator involved presenting your findings to a
- 7 board. Is that fair?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And your findings, it didn't involve a
- 10 recommendation about whether policy was violated?
- 11 A We would -- we would say the allegation is
- 12 waste or conversion of city property. They are accused
- 13 of waste or conversion of city property. They used the
- 14 city computer to research an ex-boyfriend and --
- 15 Q As an example?
- 16 A As an example. And here's what we found,
- 17 here's what we uncovered. You decide as the advisory
- 18 action board member if what they did was improper.
- 19 Here's what we found.
- 20 So then the advisory action -- now, I wasn't
- 21 allowed to say, "I think they messed up."
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A It was, "Here's what we found. You be the
- 24 judge."
- 25 Q Were you allowed to -- or maybe was it your job

- 1 additional violations aside from what the original was.
- 2 All of that would be presented to the board.
- 3 Q Just what I want to really drill down on is
- 4 whether you in that role were responsible for -- I
- 5 understand that you said a second ago that you weren't
- 6 supposed to make a recommendation about the outcome to
- 7 the board, right?
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q But was your job to identify the policies that
- 10 might have been violated?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Now, let me -- can I say something though?
- 14 Q Sure.
- 15 A That was the San Antonio Police procedure.
- 16 Q Uh-huh.
- 17 A A little different than what they do here --
- 18 Q Yeah.
- 19 A -- what we do here.
- 20 Q I'm going to ask about what you do here. I
- 21 just wanted to make sure I understood your own personal
- 22 experience with this sort of stuff.
- So let's talk about 2015, and you said you went
- 24 a little crazy and decided to run for Sheriff. Is that
- 25 fair?

Page 47

- 1 to present: Here are the policies I think are relevant
- 2 to this --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Because policy manuals can be quite big, right?
- 5 A So, as an example -- if you want me to
- 6 elaborate on it.
- 7 Q Yes, please do.
- 8 A As an example, the initial complaint may come
- 9 in, I'm an ex-boyfriend of such-and-such officer. I
- 10 believe she conducted improper research on me and my
- 11 current girlfriend. Here's my complaint. You would
- 12 research that.
- 13 But then during the course of that
- 14 investigation, if you found other things that were done,
- 15 then that was included. Oh, okay -- oh, she sent an
- 16 inappropriate -- she was conducting research on her
- 17 ex-boyfriend, but during the course of it, she sent an
- 18 inappropriate message by computer to another officer. So
- 19 there's another allegation. Now that's initiated by the
- 20 administration.
- 21 The ex-boyfriend didn't bring that up. We
- 22 found that during the course of your investigation. Oh,
- 23 here she is, she took a two-hour lunch break and she
- 24 did -- so there's another allegation.
- 25 So it was pretty common for us to find

- A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A "Lost my mind" was the term I used.
- 4 Q Lost your mind. I appreciate you correcting
- 5 me. Sometimes I forget the exact phrasing of things, so
- 6 I appreciate that. Tell me about what was going through
- 7 your head and why you decided to run.
- 8 A I say that jokingly. I'm actually having the
- 9 time of my life as Sheriff. But I just, you know,
- 10 there's a little bit of craziness that goes into seeing
- 11 somebody do a job, seeing somebody get the heck kicked
- 12 out of them on the news every day and going, "I could do
- 13 that, better," you know. And so I did.
- 14 You know, I obviously talked it over with my
- 15 wife. I had reached a point in my career where I had
- 16 done all the jobs that I kind of wanted to do over there.
- 17 I was getting a little -- I wouldn't say "burned out" is
- 18 the term, but I was just -- you know, I had done all the
- 19 cool stuff that I wanted to do.
- 20 I wanted to then -- I was entertaining retiring
- 21 and going and running another agency. So I told my wife,
- 22 you know, I don't know, I kind of see some of my friends
- 23 going off and becoming chiefs of police at school
- 24 districts or at smaller towns. You know, what do you
- 25 think of that? You know, maybe go be the chief of

1 security at a company. Maybe go be a spokesman for one

- 2 of the bigger school districts, you know, because that
- 3 was -- that's a skill set that I had at the time.
- 4 So talking over with my wife, she says, you
- 5 know, she -- it was one of those things, every time there
- 6 was a lull in the conversation, I would come back to it.
- 7 She got tired of hearing about it. Finally she goes,
- 8 "Look, I don't want to hear about it. I don't want to
- 9 talk about this anymore. Run for Sheriff or something.
- 10 I don't know." You know, "Or shut up. But just shut up
- 11 about it at this point," or "Stay put and shut up," you
- 12 know -- that was the words to that effect.
- So I decided at that point, talked it over with
- 14 some of my friends: You know what, I think I'm going to
- 15 run for Sheriff.
- 16 Q I don't mean to throw any aspersions at all on
- 17 the prior administration, because that's not the point of
- 18 this --
- 19 A Understood.
- 20 Q -- but you did use the phrase "I thought I
- 21 could do it better," right? So what did you see the
- 22 prior administration doing that you thought to yourself,
- 23 I could do this better?
- 24 A So first and foremost, I thought the previous
- 25 Sheriff was a bit out of touch with the community. She

Page 51

2

- 1 wasn't from here. She was a transplant through the
- 2 military. She didn't come from a career in law
- 3 enforcement. She was a retired Air Force general, and so
- 4 literally, no law enforcement experience. Now all of a
- 5 sudden, she's the sitting Sheriff, which the law allowed
- 6 for back then. It's changed since then.
- 7 And I just, having seen some of the decisions
- 8 that were made, having seen some of the things that were
- 9 done, I thought that's -- she seems out of touch with the
- 10 community. I thought -- I felt like I had a pretty good
- 11 grasp on the community, having come from here, having
- 12 worked here my entire career, having been the spokesman
- 13 at the PD. I said, you know what, I could do a better
- 14 job, and decided to go for it.
- 15 Q And I do want to get a little bit concrete
- 16 about it. You said there were some choices she made or
- 17 decisions that, you know, might have been out of touch
- 18 with the community.
- 19 A Uh-huh.
- 20 Q I mean, can you -- we don't have to play by
- 21 play assess her entire work product.
- 22 A Sure.
- 23 Q But I just want to get a general sense of what
- 24 you were focused on back then.
- 25 A Things like equipment that had been selected

1 for the agency, or things like -- you know, decisions

- 2 that were made on high profile, at least one high profile
- 3 shooting that happened during her time here. You know,
- 4 relationship with the deputy sheriffs, the actual boots
- 5 on the ground, with the union, for example. A lot of
- 6 that just was very, very strained. And I just decided,
- 7 you know what, I could do better.
- 3 Q Was she -- I'm just sort of like guessing here,
- 9 right, from what you're saying. But was she not in touch
- 10 with what was going on on the ground for deputy sheriffs?
- 11 A I didn't believe so. In my opinion, she was
- 12 not. In my opinion, she surrounded herself with some
- 13 people that I didn't think she should have been taking
- 14 advice from. That's my personal opinion. She probably
- 15 thought they were the greatest thing ever. But some
- 16 decisions were just being made that I just didn't like.
- 17 You know, there was -- having -- being the
- 18 spokesman at the agency, I was in touch with a lot of the
- 19 community activists. They were not happy with her, the
- 20 way things were being handled here at the Sheriff's
- 21 Office.
- 22 So I said, you know what, I think -- again, I
- 23 think I could do better. I've got the relationships in
- 24 the community. People know who I am. I'm the spokesman
- 25 for the SAPD.

- 1 I keep checking as well the TV, so I'm sorry.
  - I'm well-known in the community. I think I'm
- 3 well spoken enough. I speak -- I'm fluent in Spanish.
- 4 I'm going to give it a shot.
- 5 Q All right. And you know, I was asking a second
- 6 ago about whether she was in touch with what boots on the
- 7 ground were actually doing. Do you think you've done a
- 8 better job?
- 9 A I do.
- 10 Q Okay. With staying in touch with what officers
- 11 on the ground are actually doing?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q Okay. In terms of your -- it's a political
- 14 position, right, so you have to, I guess, give a sense to
- 15 voters of what you're going to prioritize; is that fair?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I do have to steer the agency a certain way,
- 19 and I have what my strategic priorities are for the next
- 20 week, month, year, four years, five years, whatever that
- 21 may be.
- 22 Q Right. When you first ran, what were your
- 23 strategic priorities?
- 24 A To again reestablish some of the relationships
- 25 within the community that I felt were, were strained. To

- 1 try to reestablish that relationship between the boots on
- 2 the ground and the upper echelons of the agency, and to
- 3 expand outwards regionally and be good partners with our
- 4 surrounding counties and federal partners.
- 5 Q Are there any problems that are -- I'm trying
- 6 to think how to phrase this. I mean, I think it's
- probably obvious that the San Antonio area, Bexar County
- 8 area, is in a unique part of the country. Is that fair
- 9 to say?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q I mean, you're close to the border, right?
- 12 (Nodding head.)
- 13 Q Are there any -- was your law enforcement
- 14 strategy, coming in as Sheriff, at all tailored to those
- unique problems?
- A Yes. 16
- 17 Okay. Can you tell me how?
- 18 A Well, obviously, you know, organized crime and,
- you know, human smuggling, border issues, if you will,
- 20 are some of the things that we've built entire units
- dedicated to combating those issues. Things like
- fentanyl. We have an enforcement component that targets
- 23 fentanyl, that Organized Crime Unit that I referred to.
- But there's also the public information aspect to it that
- we spend quite a bit of time educating the community on
  - Page 55

- 1 the dangers of fentanyl. I personally teach a class on
- the dangers of fentanyl. 2
- 3 So that's just one of the issues that, or
- 4 several of the issues that I see that we've used tools in
- 5 our toolbox or created tools in the toolbox to target
- more specifically. 6
- 7 Q And just at a high level, how do you think --
- 8 how would you grade yourself on that after the -- what's
- it been, seven years since you --
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 -- took office? Q
- 12 About.
- 13 About seven years, what would you give yourself
- 14 as a grade on combating those problems?
- 15 A I give myself an A plus on effort.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A Because if I'm awake, I'm working. And I'm an
- 18 insomniac. So the taxpayers get their money's worth out
- of this Sheriff, because I'm working 20 hours a day, you
- 20 know. I may just be sitting watching Yellowstone, but
- I'm checking emails and I'm issuing orders and doing what
- 22 I need to do.
- 23 Q I always give myself an A plus on effort too.
- 24 A Absolutely. Look, I'll give myself an A plus
- on some -- a lot of our results as well. Are there some

- 1 things we've missed the mark on? Absolutely. But you 2 learn from it, you dust yourself off, and you find a
- 3 better way of doing it.
- 4 Q Great. So this is a good kind of segue into,
- 5 what's your role as Bexar County Sheriff? We've kind of
- 6 circled around this a little bit. Could you give me a,
- 7 maybe a clean statement of, as Bexar County Sheriff, what
- 8 is your job?
- 9 A Well, I oversee law enforcement operations for
- 10 the entire county. 1200 square miles of -- you know,
- geographic area, 1200 square miles. 2.2 million Bexar 11
- County residents. And we provide wall-to-wall law
- 13 enforcement coverage for that area. Even if you're in
- the city, we're still your Sheriff's Office. I'm still
- your Sheriff. If you're in one of the smaller
- 16 municipalities, I'm still your Sheriff and we're still
- 17 your Sheriff's Office.
- 18 Now, you may not need all of our services if
- 19 you live in those areas, but we provide it. Over to you
- 20 if you want it or not. And over to the agency that
- oversees it whether they want it or not.
  - So I've got, on the law enforcement side of the
- 23 house, I've got deputies that patrol in cars, on bikes,
- on motorcycles, helicopters, drones, robots. You know,
- 25 I've got undercover officers, deputies. I've got a full
  - Page 57
- 1 CID that investigates homicides, violent crimes, property
- 2 crimes. I've got a SWAT team. I've got, again, covert
- 3 operations. Civil process, because that's the difference
- 4 between us and a police department is we serve civil
- process. I've got prisoner transport back and forth to
- the state prisons, to, you know, outside picking up
- people from other counties that may be wanted here, we'll
- go pick them up from the jail and bring them here. 8
- 9 Which let me -- let me segue into another part
- 10 of what we do. I also oversee safety and security at the
- courthouse complex, right? As Sheriff that's one of my 11
- 12 main jobs -- our main jobs is to oversee security.
- 13 Last survey, last numbers that I had, 3 million
- people a year pass through the doors of the courthouse.
- 15 Judges, lawyers, prosecutors, defendants, witnesses,
- jurors, people doing business at the courthouse for 16
- 17 assumed names, marriage licenses, things like that,
- 18 3 million people. I'm in charge of making sure they're
- 19 all safe as they conduct their business.
- 20 And then the third function that we serve is we
- oversee the jail, which is right across the street. At 21
- 22 any given time I've got between 4 and 5,000 inmates,
- 23 closer to 5,000 usually, certainly today. Over 5,000
- today. Inmates that we are responsible for their safety
- and security as well, as well as keeping them contained.

- 1 At present, we actually have inmates housed out
- 2 to other counties because we're over capacity. And you
- 3 can't have them crammed to the point where they're packed
- in there like sardines. We still have to maintain jail
- standards. So we've got some of our people housed out.
- 6 So in a nutshell I serve three major functions,
- 7 law enforcement services for the whole county, the
- 8 courthouse, and then the jail.
- 9 Q Okay. That's really helpful. And you
- obviously kind of touched on a lot there. But for those
- three functions that the Sheriff's Office performs, I
- 12 just want to get a sense of your relationship to those
- 13 things. So is it fair to say, from what you just were
- telling me, that you are setting Bexar County Sheriff's
- Office policies and priorities; is that fair?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q And you are supervising officers or --
- 18 A Managing.
- 19 Q I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 20 A Managing officers.
- 21 Q Okay. What's the distinction in your view?
- 22 Supervisor is a guy that sits over your
- 23 shoulder and goes: Hey, do this, don't do that.
- 24 I don't have a lot of day-to-day dealings with
- the boots on the ground. I manage assets. I manage
  - Page 59

25

- Do I get out there and work with them from time
- 3 to time? Yes. But on a daily basis, I may go days or
- even weeks without getting to talk, physically talk to or
- 5 work next to a deputy.
- 6 Q Okay. So you are managing Bexar County
- 7 Sheriff's Office assets and higher level officials to
- ensure that those policies are being followed and those
- goals are being met. Is that fair?
- 10 A Yes, sir.

1

2

resources.

- 11 Q Okay. Who -- I mean, you just mentioned a kind
- 12 of problem I've been wondering about, which is -- you're
- 13 sort of at the top of the food chain at the Bexar County
- 14 Sheriff's Office, right?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- Q How do you get the information you need to 16
- 17 ensure that you know what's happening on the ground?
- 18 A Well, it has to get communicated to me. Right?
- I have to know that A, B or C happened overnight. So 19
- 20 like, for example, the first thing I do when I wake up at
- 21 zero dark 30 is I check my emails from overnight and
- 22 figure out what occurred last night.
- 23 Q It might be useful -- let me just give you an
- 24 exhibit, because I'm a visual learner.
- 25 A Sure.

- Q So I've got a couple of org charts that we can
- 2 take a look at.
- 3 All right. So Ms. Hebert has just handed you
- 4 what we've marked as Exhibit 53.
- 5 A Oh, my goodness gracious, I can't read this.
- 6 I'm sorry about the size and the color, but
- 7 hopefully it's legible enough for me to just ask you a
- few high level things about it. 8
- 9 A Sure.
- 10 Q Okay. So first of all, have you seen this --
- 11 it looks like four pages. Have you seen these pages
- 12 before?
- 13 Α Yes, sir.
- 14 Okay. What are they? Q
- 15 The first two are the organizational chart for
- the BCSO. The third is, looks like a snapshot of what my 16
- 17 command staff looked like at one point. And the fourth
- seems to be what it looks like, for the most part, today. 18
- 19 Q Okay. Just on that last page real quick, is
- there any difference today in the command staff? 20
- 21 Yes. Jaime Rios no longer works here.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 He was the deputy -- he's the Deputy Chief, the
- 24 name that appears next to last on the page.
  - And this is not a blank position --
    - Page 61
- Deputy Chief? 1
  - 2 -- anymore. Yeah.
  - 3 Q Okay. Who currently has Deputy Chief as their
  - 4 position?
  - 5 Deputy Chief Chris Molleda, M-O-L-L-E-D-A.
  - 6 Thank you. I do want to kind of grasp the
  - 7 relationship between the list of the command staff and
  - the two charts. So let me tell you what I think is going
  - 9 on, and you tell me if I'm wrong about this.
  - 10
  - 11 So it looks to me like the first page, BC 6036,
  - 12 on the bottom left it says March 18, 2020. Do you see
  - 13 that?
  - 14 A Oh, my gosh. Yes, sir.
  - 15 Okay. And so is it fair to say that this chart
  - then is a reflection of how the Bexar County Sheriff's 16
  - Office was structured on March 18 of 2020? 17
  - 18 A I'd have to rely on this.
  - 19 Okay. You don't have any reason to doubt it?
  - 20 I don't have any reason to doubt it, no, sir.
  - 21 Q Okay. What about the third page now, so BC
  - 22 6038, is that the list of command staff we were just
  - 23 talking about?
  - 24 A Yes, sir.
  - 25 Does that correspond to this March 18, 2020,

Page 62 1 timestamp? 1 A Okay. 2 2 A I believe it does. But I do want to ask you about some of the 3 Q Okay. And then likewise, for the second chart 3 stuff at the top there. and the second list of command staff, do those two 4 4 Okay. correspond as well? 5 So we just talked about the fact that on both 6 A This says April 21st -- is that 2022? 6 charts -- let's just start with the chart from 2020, to 7 Q Yes, 2022. 7 not get confused. So you're at the top. The Chief 8 A Okay. It possibly matches it. I'm not Deputy with three stars there, that is Chief Deputy 8 9 100 percent positive. 9 Serrato, right? 10 Okay. 10 A Yes, sir. 11 But I believe it does. 11 And he's had that role since you started as 12 O Does much of it match? 12 Sheriff? 13 A Much of it does. 13 Α No. 14 Okay. All right. That's mostly what I wanted 14 Q Oh, I'm sorry, yeah. 15 to know on that. 15 Since 2019, I believe. January of 2019. 16 A Okay. 16 Okay. And is he sort of your eyes and ears? 17 Q So -- okay. So we were just talking, going 17 Α He is. 18 back to our discussion a second ago, about how you get 18 Q Okay. Does most of the information -- well, 19 the information you need to do this managing. Looks to 19 let me ask it more open ended. How are you getting most me like from both of these two organizational charts, 20 of the information that you use to decide officers on the your name is at the top with four stars; is that fair? 21 ground are doing their job the right way? 22 A Yes, sir. 22 A Usually through the chain of command. 23 23 Q And that, you know, seems obvious, but that Q Okay. 24 24 means you're at the top of the food chain, right? And A So I would say 90 percent of it flows up everybody below you is subordinate, right? 25 through Chief Serrato, but some of it comes from one of Page 63 Page 65 1 1 the deputy chiefs or sometimes even lower down. A Yes, sir. 2 2 Okay. So could you explain to me -- I mean, O Okay. 3 3 you mentioned the three kind of main functions of the A I've got folks that I talk to throughout the 4 Bexar County Sheriff's Office. 4 agency. 5 5 A Uh-huh. Q Yeah. Q Do those correspond with the three, on this 6 A Much to the chagrin of my managers, sometimes I 6 7 first chart here, those three big boxes with the two 7 talk directly to the boots on the ground. Q Okay. What's -- do you have regular meetings stars, so Adult Detention Bureau, Chief of Staff, and Law 8 9 **Enforcement Bureau?** 9 with Chief Deputy Serrato? 10 A Yes. But the three areas of responsibility 10 Yes, sir. 11 Okay. How often are you talking with him? 11 don't necessarily line up perfectly with the three branches. In other words, there's some crossover and 12 A I mean, I may go a couple of days without 13 seeing him, because I'm out shaking hands, kissing 13 cooperation that has to take place in there. 14 Now, there's -- the Chief of Staff oversees 14 babies. 15 what I call shared services. So he or she at any given 15 Q Yeah. A Or, you know, doing different things -- I 16 time could cross over to the law enforcement side, could 16 travel out of the state sometimes on business. And so --17 to cross over to the detention side. But they oversee 17 18 things that are shared by the whole agency. So like but I'm pretty confident that he's got it covered. 19 Internal Affairs, the Public Integrity Unit, dispatch, 19 That's why I feel comfortable going to D.C. or going to 20 communications, the media office. That is centered in 20 Austin, whatever I'm doing. 21 So I'd say at least once a day, if we can. It 21 what we call the administration. 22 may not be a standard meeting. I may just stick my head Q Okay. And I do want to kind of walk a little 22 23 bit through the chain of command. We're not going to get 23 in his door or vice versa. "Everything going good?"

24

25

"Yes."

"Okay. Let me know if it doesn't."

24 to the point where the boxes are so small you can't read

25 them.

Page 66 1 Q That's sort of what I'm getting at is I just 1 So usually on a daily basis we're talking. 2 When you say, "Let me know if it doesn't," 2 want to make sure I understand that if Bexar County 3 Sheriff's Officers are doing something, you could point 3 would he -- does he debrief you on things that are not to a box that that falls under? 4 going well? 5 A No. He debriefs me on things that are going 5 For the most part. 6 well, aren't going well, things that I need to know 6 Okay. 7 about. For example, yesterday I had to miss a command 7 A Right. I've got things like an honor guard. I have a mariachi group here. Right? 8 staff meeting. We have our command staff meetings Monday 9 mornings here. Usually I sit here, Chief Serrato sits Not going to be on the box. 10 there, Chief of Staff sits here, and then lower rank 10 But you're not going to find the box that says mariachi on my org chart. 11 chiefs for the rest of the room. 11 12 We touch base on what's everybody doing. What 12 That's fair. What we just talked about, would 13 those same things be true for the second chart of 13 did y'all do last week, what did y'all do over the March -- or sorry, April 21st, 2022? 14 weekend, what are we doing today, what are we doing the 14 15 rest of the week. So everybody gives everybody else a 15 A Yes, sir. Okay, great. All right. And you mentioned I 16 view, a vision of what's going on in their respective 16 think earlier on that you structure the whole agency; is 17 17 piece of the pie. that fair? 18 Yesterday I missed it. I missed that meeting 18 19 Α Yes, sir. 19 because I was talking to a new cadet class. So Chief 20 Deputy Serrato was supposed to brief me on what happened 20 So is this org chart a reflection of that 21 during the meeting. We got about halfway through that 21 structuring you were talking about? 22 Yes, sir. 22 yesterday when we got interrupted, and so he still hasn't 23 Both of the charts? Q 23 finished briefing me on what happened, and maybe I get to 24 24 him today, maybe I don't. But the fact that he's not A Yes. 25 25 knocking on this door or pulling me out, tells me Okay. What about the creation of units? How Page 69 Page 67 1 nothing's on fire right now. So it's all good. I can 1 does that work? wait till later to get that briefing. 2 A Typically, if there's an issue that is present 3 Q That's really helpful. So the command staff 3 and we -- oh, did it stop again? 4 meeting, is it fair to say there's a representative at 4 MS. HEBERT: Let's go off the record. that meeting from every kind of like major component of 5 THE REPORTER: We are off the record. the Bexar County Sheriff's Office? 6 (Recess from 10:18 a.m. to 10:26 a.m.) 7 7 A Usually the Deputy Chiefs, Assistant Chiefs, THE REPORTER: We are back on the record. and Chief Deputy. 8 Q (By Mr. Windham) All right. Sheriff Salazar, 8 Q Okay. And just the org chart again, back to 9 do you recognize you're still under oath? 10 the 2020 one, is it fair to say there's nothing that the 10 A Yes, sir. 11 Bexar County Sheriff's Office does or its employees do 11 Q Great. So I think we were just talking about 12 that is not somewhere on this chart? 12 the creation of units before we went off the record. 13 Things that we do today may not be on this 13 Does that sound right to you? 14 chart. 14 Yes, sir. 15 Q Sure. 15 0 Okay. So -- and we do still have --A So -- but yes. 16 Α So --16 17 Q That's an inartful question. So I guess as of 17 Yeah. Q 18 March 18, 2020, is it fair to say that nothing the Bexar 18 I'm sorry. County Sheriff's Office would have been doing would not 19 No, go ahead. 20 be captured in one of these boxes? 20 We were talking about -- I think the last thing 21 A Well, it may not say, you know, jail canine or you had asked me, if I'm not mistaken, was how are units 2.1 created, what drives a unit to be created, is that --22 whatever. There may be a unit or a team or an effort 22 23 that's not here, but I could certainly point to the box 23 Q Why and how, that's exactly what I was going to

A Why and how. So sometimes it's a problem that

24

25

ask you.

and go, hey, even though it doesn't say that word, they

work right here.

Page 70 1 exists within the community, and if we don't have

- 2 something to cover that efficiently, then we have to
- 3 create a unit to do that. And I'll give you a perfect
- example now.
- 5 Senior fraud, white collar crimes is what we're
- 6 seeing popping up a lot. People ripping off people from
- 7 the undocumented community, from the immigrant community,
- 8 bilking them out of literally, in one case, millions of
- dollars of -- like 40 complainants got ripped off for a
- 10 total of over a million dollars.
- 11 We're seeing -- a pretty common scam right now
- 12 is: Hey, you missed jury duty. You're going to be
- 13 arrested by the Sheriff's Office unless you buy Apple
- gift cards and you --
- 15 You know, these people fall for the scam.
- 16 So I don't have a fraud/scam unit. So right
- 17 now we're in the process of trying to figure out how to
- 18 get some people over there that are good at that, that
- 19 like doing that sort of work, and then we figure it out.
- 20 Now, you've seen the videos. Commissioner's
- 21 Court is not going to say: Oh, you need five more
- 22 detectives. Let's give you the money.
- 23 I've got to figure out where is it going to
- 24 hurt less to give me some detectives to target that, and
- 25 then we create -- we stand up that unit if and when we

- 1 members, and doing proactive policing. If we have a
- 2 particular part of town that's getting torn up by car
- 3 burglars, which we do at any given time, they'll tell me,
- "Hey, Sheriff, we're going to grab four members of the
- 5 Street Crimes, and we're going to put two of them in
- 6 jeans and T-shirts, the other two are going to stay in
- 7 uniform, and they're going to go chase car burglars."
- 8 "Okay, let me know how it goes."
- 9 You know, sometimes it's as simple as that.
- 10 Q So those kind of structural shifts are things
- that would have to come across your desk in some 11
- 12 capacity?
- 13 A They don't have to. You know, I've -- there's
- 14 been instances where, "Hey, these guys made a really good
- arrest last night on" whatever. "Oh, yeah, we've got" --
- "we assigned some guys to target that." 16
- 17 "Oh, cool. They're doing a great job. Keep it
- 18 going."
- 19 Q I'm less asking about maybe like ad hoc, you
- 20 know, people being assigned to specific projects and more
- 21 about this structural stuff we've been talking about with
- 22 the organizational chart --
- 23 A Sure.
- 24 Q -- and the formation of units. What I'm trying
- 25 to understand is, is it fair to say that you would

Page 71

- 1 get to that point. So that's one of the ways that the
- 2 units are created.
- 3 Q Okay. And just so -- let me just summarize for
- 4 my own understanding. The way I kind of took that is if
- 5 there's a law enforcement problem out there in the world,
- 6 a need that is being unmet, you will create a unit
- 7 tailormade to kind of address that need at the Bexar
- County Sheriff's Office; is that fair?
- 9 A Uh-huh. And sometimes -- and they can be more
- 10 temporary in nature sometimes. Sometimes experimental in 10
- nature. Clearly, I don't think senior fraud's going
- 12 anywhere any time soon. I think it's going to be a
- problem moving forward. So that one I know that I'm
- going to have to have something that does that.
- 15 Is it going to be three detectives or twenty at
- some point? I don't know. But sometimes we just try 16
- 17 things, and then if they don't work out the way we
- 18 expected or the problem goes away, then we stand it down
- 19 Q Does the creation of a unit require your final
- 20 signature or sign-off, or how does that work
- 21 administratively is my question?
- 22 A I just have to know about it in some instances.
- 23 Like, for example, members of the Street Crimes Unit --
- 24 all right. I've got a Street Crimes Unit that they
- 25 just -- they're out looking for dope and guns and gang

- Page 73
- 1 understand if a unit had been formed?
- 2 A I would understand the reasoning behind it? Is
- 3 that what you're asking?
- 4 You would know if it had happened.
- 5 I should know if it had happened.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 And you sometimes decide to create units
- 9 yourself, right?
  - Α Uh-huh.
- 11 Okay. Q
- 12 Yes, I'm sorry.
- 13 Thank you. I appreciate that. What about
- 14 hiring? Are you responsible for choosing who runs these
- 15 units?
- A Not necessarily. You know, sometimes there's 16
- 17 already command structure in place or supervisors in
- 18 place, and we say: Hey, look we're going to have a unit
- 19 that does this. Let's put it here, and let so-and-so --
- 20 But I don't know that I necessarily go grab a
- sergeant from over here and stick them over here, you 22 know. I don't always do that.
- 23 Q Yeah, okay. So maybe the way to think of this
- 24 is if a new unit is formed and it already falls under one
- 25 of these big umbrellas that, you know, we see here on the

2.1

1 org charts --

- 2 Yes. Α
- 3 O -- you would have managerial authority over
- 4 that through the chain of command that we've been talking
- 5 about
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q And you would be briefed on what's going on
- 8 with that through the command staff meetings and those
- 9 type of things.
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q All right. What about -- this might be too
- 12 granular, but I just want to understand it. What about
- 13 like equipment that Bexar County Sheriff's officers in
- these different units are actually using, do you have any
- 15 role in that?
- 16 A Some. But I'll be honest, I still find out, a
- 17 new piece of equipment or a new capability that exists
- 18 that: Wow, I didn't know we had that. You know?
- 19 So, so for the most part, yes. But like, for
- example, our Axon body cameras, you know, cameras that we
- 21 have, I was pretty instrumental in getting that system
- 22 here. But even to this day, I find out things that that
- system is able to do that is a new feature that they just
- turned on for us or that we purchased last budget cycle
- 25 and I just, I just found out about it.

Page 75

- Q So if there's equipment or technology that
- officers on the ground are using, that is somehow going
- 3 to fall under -- it's going to come from somewhere in
- Bexar County Sheriff's Office's budget, right?
- 5 Α Yes.
- 6 That's fair? Okay. And I did want to ask
- 7 about the body cams. So you said you were pretty
- instrumental in getting those adopted?
- 9 Yes, sir.
- 10 What was your thought process behind that?
- 11 A I didn't like the body camera system in place
- 12 when I came into office. It was -- it wasn't -- in my
- opinion, it wasn't a dedicated body camera system. It
- was a cobbled together, let's take this smart watch
- remote control and a -- literally a cell phone, and then
- let's affix that cell phone to the officer's shirt. 16
- 17 Let's -- I'm not kidding here, cut a hole in
- 18 the shirt to allow the camera, the phone to see out. And
- then, it looks like an iWatch, but it's rubber and it's
- got remote control features on it that's literally a
- remote control for Bluetooth speakers. And let's use
- 22 that to control this cell phone/camera.
- 23 That was what existed here. And I hated it.
- 24 And so I set about getting a new system.
- 25 So you wanted like a more --

- Actual body camera system.
- 2 And the idea there, I assume, was to have a
- kind of more comprehensive --3
- 4 Yes.
- 5 I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, but
- 6 just to understand, a more comprehensive accountability
- 7 measure for officers on the ground? Or what was the
- 8 idea?
- 9 Something that made more sense for law
- 10 enforcement. Again, this is where I think I can point to
- the difference between a Sheriff that never spent a day
- in a law enforcement uniform, and one that is a career
- law enforcement officer. Okay? 13
- 14 To think that a rubberized all black remote
- 15 control could be used to control this cell phone that I
- glued to my chest, under a stress situation, where fine 16
- motor skills go out the window the minute bullets start 17
- flying or, you know, you're yelling at, trying to control 18
- 19 ten gangbangers, you know.
- 20 You're not going to sit there and -- especially
- 21 if you're like me and you need those glasses to see, this
- 22 doesn't make -- "Hang on, don't start shooting yet. I'm
- 23 trying to control my," you know, Bluetooth whatever.
- 24 That didn't make sense. So I said no, we need a
- 25 dedicated system.

- 1 To me, thankfully, when James Serrato and I
- 2 worked at the San Antonio Police Department, we were
- 3 pretty familiar with Axon body cameras. He oversaw the
- implementation of Axon body cameras over there. I -- as
- 5 the spokesman, it was my job to articulate to the
- 6 community why we needed that system.
- 7 And so between the two of us, we knew a lot
- about it. We were impressed by it. And that was the 8
- 9 version of when we got it, it was -- that system has
- grown by leaps and bounds since we took office. But 10
- that's an example of one product that I brought in, and I 11
- 12 think it was a great decision.
- 13 Q Are all officers who do law enfor- -- active
- 14 law enforcement activities required to wear these Axon
- 15 body cams?
- A No. Only those that we've got them assigned 16
- 17 to. I wish we had everybody outfitted. But budget-wise,
- we can't afford to do that. But those that have them are 18
- 19 required to use them.
- 20 Q Let me just give a couple of examples. The
- folks who are doing whatever the comparator is for the 21
- 22 traffic enforcement we talked about from the San Antonio
- 23 Police Department -- I assume that goes on at Bexar
- 24 County.
- 25 A It is.

- 2 cam.

- 3 Α Absolutely.
- 4 Q I assume that there's a comparator for the drug
- 5 interdiction, highway interdiction work we talked about

Right? And those folks have to wear a body

- 6 at Bexar County, right?
- 7 Α Yes.
- 8 And they have to wear a body cam, right?
- 9 Yes.
- 10 Okay. What about dash cam, are officers
- 11 required to have dash cams for those same functions?
- 12 A If they're equipped, then yes. There's a
- 13 policy that lays out when you have to have it on and when
- 14 you do not.
- 15 Q Do you know if those types of officers I was
- just describing are required to have dash cams on their 16
- 17 cars?
- 18 A I don't know that they're required to have dash
- cams on their cars. But if they do, then they're
- required to utilize them under certain circumstances.
- 21 Q Okay. What is -- this may be obvious, but I'm
- not in the world, right? So what is the value of having
- 23 body cam and dash cam on officers?
- 24 A Well, you're -- it's an unblinking second set
- 25 of eyes that is going to capture from as close to the

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Right? And if the officer doesn't have the
- 3 dash cam footage, presumably they're going to have a
- harder time of disproving the allegation, right?
- 5 Yes, sir.
- 6 Because they don't have the evidence they need
- 7 to do that.
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q Right. And that's just sort of a he said/she
- said, I would think, right? 10
- A You would think. But back in the day, like in 11
- 12 my days on patrol, we didn't have dash cameras. You just
- relied on officer testimony. 13
- 14 Q Yeah.
- 15 A And it's how well can you articulate what you
- 16 saw and does the judge or the jury believe you. You
- 17 know, how believable are you. That's what it came down
- 18 to back then.
- 19 Q Yeah.
- 20 A Nowadays, yeah, we've got to have as much
- 21 evidence as we possibly can.
- 22 Now, I don't wear a body camera. And sometimes
- 23 in an extreme circumstance, I'll conduct law, you know, a
- law enforcement function when there's nobody around to
- stop a DWI, for example. And it's back down to me, how
- Page 79
- 1 officer's or deputy's perspective what occurred. And for
- the most part -- well, it can't be manipulated, you know.
- 3 And we spend quite a bit of time selecting and
- configuring the system that can't be manipulated.
- 5 Q I mean, I assume that -- I would think that the
- point of having that unblinking eye, as a record of what
- the officer was able to see, is both useful for
- transparency, right?
- 9 Α Yes.
- 10 Q And for protecting the officer against
- 11 presumably allegations they did something wrong, right?
- 12 A Yes. And also protecting the suspect and
- giving the public a more complete picture of what it is 13
- 14 that, why the officer did what he or she did.
- 15 Q Let me just kind of give an example and see if
- 16 we could make it a little bit concrete. So suppose an
- 17 officer -- let's think of a traffic officer, right?
- 18 Suppose they conduct a traffic stop for the driver having
- a broken taillight. Is that an offense?
- 20 A It is.
- 21 Q Okay. Just wanted to make sure. And then the
- 22 driver alleges after the incident, "You know what, both
- 23 my taillights worked. That was made up." Right? The
- dash cam, I assume, is there to protect the officer from
- that kind of allegation.

Page 81

- 1 believable am I when I say that this guy was weaving in
- 2 and out of traffic or doing 100 miles an hour or waving a
- 3
- 4 Q Presumably you have enough scrutiny on you
- 5 already --
- A Absolutely. 6
- 7 Q -- I would think. Okay. Let's see here. Just
- a few questions about the charts. I realize the text is
- small, so if you have trouble reading, I can read
- 10 something to you, and we can just --
- A Okay. 11
- 12 Q -- you know, confirm if it's correct or not.
- MS. HEBERT: And we're looking at Exhibit --13
- 14 MR. WINDHAM: Yeah, we're on Exhibit 53.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. The 2020 side or the 15
- 16 2022 side?
- 17 Q (By Mr. Windham) Yeah, I'm going to let you
- 18 know. I'm still deciding what I want to ask you about.
- 19 Okay. So let's start here. Earlier you were
- 20 talking about -- and let's go to the 2020 chart. So
- 21 that's going to be BC 6036. When you were talking about
- your Internal Affairs and traffic enforcement work at the 22
- San Antonio Police Department, is there a kind of
- 24 comparable unit that deals with those things on this
- 25 chart?

- 1 With Internal Affairs?
- 2 0 Both that and with the traffic enforcement
- stuff we talked about. 3
- 4 A Yes, there is an Internal Affairs unit, and
- yes, there is a Traffic Unit.
- Q Okay. I mean, I think it seems obvious where
- 7 those are, but I want to make sure I have that right. So
- on the March 18, 2020, chart, there's a small box. And
- I'm sorry to do this to you, and I'll point to it so you
- can see it. But see that right there?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Okay. So there's a box that says "Internal
- 13 Affairs" that is under the big "Chief of Staff" box.
- 14 Yes. Α
- 15 Right? Is that what you're talking about? Q
- 16
- 17 Q Okay. They do the Internal Affairs type of
- 18 work that we were talking about earlier --
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q -- with San Antonio Police Department. Is
- 21 there any meaningful difference in how Bexar County
- Sheriff's Office does it? You alluded to the fact there
- 23 might be a difference.
- 24 A There wasn't when I -- or there was a big
- difference when I got here. There was not an Internal

- 1 Affairs unit when I got here. I created that. So back
- then it was called the Professional Standards and
- 3 Integrity Unit. So they did some Internal Affairs stuff.
- To me they crossed over a little too much into the
- 5 criminal.
- 6 And I don't know if you know much about how
- 7 that works, but those have -- those two worlds have to be
- 8 kept separate for a reason. It's not a good idea to have
- your Internal Affairs people conducting criminal
- 10 investigations on officers. It's too -- it muddies the
- 11 waters too much.
- 12 So I came in and I said, "No, we're not doing
- 13 it that way. We're creating an Internal Affairs unit
- 14 that does this, administrative, and we're going to create
- a Public Integrity Unit that does the criminal side of
- 16 it." So I created both of those units.
- 17 So now it's structured a lot like what I was
- 18 used to at the San Antonio Police Department, what I
- worked within at the San Antonio Police Department.
- 20 Q And the difference between the Public Integrity
- 21 Unit and the Internal Affairs Units are that the
- 22 Public -- I'm sorry -- that the Public Integrity Unit
- does criminal investigations and the Internal Affairs
- 24 unit investigates policy --
- 25 A Administrative, yes, sir, policy.

Page 82 1 Q Policy violations. Okay. Policy violations;

- 2 is that right?
- 3 Yes, policy violations.
- 4 Q Okay. Just wanted to make sure the record is
- 5 clear.
- 6 What about -- I think you talked earlier too
- 7 about the -- remember we were discussing the mechanics of
- 8 how Internal Affairs investigation worked at San Antonio
- 9 Police Department?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- Q And you were saying that you would do the 11
- 12 investigation and present your findings to a board?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Is that how it works here? Or tell me how it
- 15 works here.
- 16 A Well, we're in the process of mimicking that.
- 17 Okay? At present -- and I'll be honest, I don't like
- this. At present, our Public -- our Internal Affairs
- 19 supervisors, investigators, if you will, still determine
- culpability themselves, the sergeant. And I don't -- I'm
- 21 not fond of that.
- 22 So here this last contract, we were able to get
- 23 it in the collective bargaining agreement between us and
- the County and the Sheriff's Association, the Deputy
- 25 Sheriff's Association. We mutually agreed and put in the

- 1 collective bargaining agreement that we would have an
- 2 advisory action board along the lines of what SAPD has.
- 3 And then they would advise me.
- 4 We're still in the process of building that.
- It's been a couple of years. Things move a little slow
- for my taste around here. But we're getting to the point
- 7 where we're going to have a similar setup to what SAPD
- 8 has, an advisory action board.
- 9 Q So let's unpack that a little bit. Why are you
- 10 not too happy with the way it works now where the
- supervisor of the unit is determining culpability? 11
- 12 A I just, I don't like it. It doesn't -- I don't
- 13 like having one supervisor deciding if this occurred. In
- my opinion, and mine counts for a lot around here, right,
- I want more eyes on it. And I like the public having the
- ability to weigh in on it, members of the public having
- 16
- 17 the ability to weigh in on things.
- 18 You know, before an officer is terminated or
- 19 given a 30-day suspension or cleared, I think members of
- 20 the public should have a seat at the table. And so we're
- 21 trying to get to that point here.
- 22 Q So an advisory board, if you get kind of the
- 23 board you want, would have public members?
- 24 A It would have public members. They would meet
- 25 here. And our Internal Affairs investigators would stop

- 1 assigning culpability or clearing or whatever. They
- 2 would conduct a thorough investigation, present the
- 3 findings in an unbiased fashion to this advisory action
- 4 board. That advisory action board would then advise me:
- 5 Here's what we decided. We think this is worthy of a
- 6 30-day suspension, or a 3-day, or nothing, no action.
- 7 Q At least with regard to how it works now and
- 8 how it has worked since you started, are Internal Affairs
- 9 officers -- these are all sergeants, right?
- 10 A They're all sergeants.
- 11 Q Are Internal Affairs officers required to get
- 12 any special training on how to do this job?
- 13 A They -- you know, in a perfect world, we'd send
- 14 them -- we send them to trainings. You know, we don't
- 15 have an Internal Affairs school here. So we rely on
- 16 companies or agencies that host those throughout the
- 17 country. So sometimes we'll send people to another state
- 18 or another city. There's an Internal Affairs school
- 19 going on, put on by the Houston Police Department or by
- 20 the Public Agency Training Council, which is a company
- 21 that does different kinds of classes. So we'll send
- 22 them.
- But a lot of what they do is on-the-job, right?
- 24 So they're all supervisors. They've all, most of them
- 25 have worked in another unit, they supervise people. So
  - Page 87

- 1 now it's like: Okay, you know how to supervise. Now
- 2 it's your turn to investigate whether what this officer
- 3 or deputy did was proper or not.
- 4 Q In order to be a -- well, I would assume this
- 5 is -- let me back up. In order to be a Bexar County
- 6 Sheriff's officer at all, I would assume you would have
- 7 to be pretty familiar with Bexar County policies, right?
- 8 A Pretty familiar. I mean, you have to know that
- 9 they exist. And you know, most deputies can't quote you
- 10 chapter and verse. But they should at least know right
- 11 from wrong, and know, okay, I'm not allowed to do this, I
- 12 am allowed to do this. I don't know what the exact
- 13 wording is, but I know I'm not allowed to do this.
- 14 Q Does the importance or need of knowing policy
- 15 in a bit more granular fashion increase as you go up the
- 16 chain of command?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Okay. And so the supervisors and sergeants who
- 19 work on the Internal Affairs cases, and those
- 20 investigations, I assume they have to be pretty familiar
- 21 with what Bexar County policies are.
- 22 A They should be. Again, they are not
- 23 necessarily expected to be able to quote you chapter and
- 24 verse, but they should at least know where to go find it.
- 25 Q Yeah. That makes sense. And then I'm just

- 1 trying to figure out a little bit more about your kind of
- 2 apprehension about the way it works now. Do you have
- 3 any -- I can't think how to ask this -- you know, are you
- 4 regularly questioning the conclusions of the Internal
- 5 Affairs investigations as they're currently conducted?
- 6 A I can. That's not outside the realm of
- 7 possibilities that I would go, "I don't like how that
- 8 worked out. We need to reinvestigate that."
- 9 Q I guess, let me ask it a different way. That's
- 10 not quite what I'm getting at. What I'm trying to figure
- 11 out is the system in place right now, do you generally
- 12 trust its conclusions, as like kind of a presumption?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. But sometimes you do decide, okay, the
- 15 outcome of that one was wrong, in your own capacity?
- 16 A Sometimes I'll say, you know, "Did we ask this, 17 this and this?"
- 18 "Oh, no, that wasn't asked."
  - "Well, send it back and ask that."
- 20 You know, and that's -- that creates a, not a
- 21 hardship, but okay, now we have to give a deputy a 48
- 22 hours notice to come back to Internal Affairs to ask
- 23 questions that should have been asked before.
- But that's why I tell people, "Look, I know
- 25 your job. I'm going to scrutinize your work if it comes
  - Page 89
- 1 down to it. Do it right the first time, and I won't have
- 2 to send it back."
- 3 Q How do these things come across your desk?
- 4 A Typically I won't have an occasion to read over
- 5 a complaint -- or a case, unless it becomes a termination
- 6 case. Most of the times if it's -- or unless it's
- 7 something that's really egregious and is going to get a
- 8 hefty suspension, I'll be brought into the loop.
- 9 But for the most part, termination cases, I'm
- 10 the last -- I'm the deciding factor. It can be either
- 11 me -- either me or Chief Serrato can make that ultimate
- 12 decision. Typically it's me.
- 13 Q Okay. We're going to get into some more
- 14 Internal Affairs stuff later. But right now I'm kind of
- 15 on the chart and trying to understand some of these
- 16 pieces.
- 17 So we identified where the Internal Affairs
- 18 unit is on the March 18th, 2020, chart.
- 19 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 20 Q Let me just make sure I understand. Looks to
- 21 me like it shifted a little bit on the March -- on the
- 22 April 21st, 2022, chart. It's now in a different spot.
- 23 You see that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. So tell me -- I mean, it looks to me

Document 117-1 Filed 06/10/25 Sheriff Javier Salazar Page 24 of 60, 2024 Case 5:23-cv-00706-OLG-RBF Page 90 Page 92 1 like it's directly under Professional Standards and 1 to you about earlier --2 2 Development, right? Q Right. 3 A Yes. 3 A -- he oversees Patrol and Traffic. So that's 4 Q Is there any meaningful difference in -- is on the law enforcement side of the house is where Traffic 5 that just more of a -is located now, today. I don't remember at what point we 6 A Well, it looks like we shifted that one star 6 made that change or when Chief Molleda was brought in -7 above it. Chief Lupe Garza when she came in was the 7 (Phone ringing.) 8 Community Readiness and Training Division. And then we 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what it's doing or 9 restructured a bit and changed her to Professional 9 why it's doing that. You can just ignore it. MR. WINDHAM: Just let the record reflect 10 Standards and Investigations Division, and then put 10 Public Integrity and Internal Affairs under her. there's a phone call coming in and we're --11 12 Q Okay. Was there any reason for that? 12 THE WITNESS: -- figuring out how to ignore it. 13 13 A No. We just restructured based upon -- like if MR. WINDHAM: There we go. Problem solved. 14 an incoming chief comes in, I'm going to capitalize on 14 A So I know physically where Traffic is located 15 what their skill set is. 15 now. I don't know why it wouldn't be on the org chart. Q Okay. Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. 16 16 17 A Whatever they did before they got here, I'm 17 But we've always had a Traffic Unit. 18 going to capitalize on that. Oh, you've got experience 18 Q And I'm not trying to do a gotcha. I more want 19 in this? Okay, well, then you're going to oversee this 19 to know --20 20 unit. You're not going to oversee this one anymore. A Understood. 21 This one is going over here. 21 Q -- kind of where it is organizationally. So 22 Q Got it. what you're saying, and tell me if I'm wrong about this, 23 Traffic Enforcement now is conducted under the Patrol A So again, I tailor a lot of what we do based 23 24 upon what my chiefs know how to do, what their backgroun 24 Division --25 25 is. A Yes, sir. Page 91 Page 93 1 Q Great. That's helpful. -- on the April 21st, 2022 chart. 1 2 2 What about -- let's see here, I see on the Yes, sir. 3 3 March 18th, 2020, chart -- I'm sorry the font is so Great. Thank you. 4 small. 4 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, could you try to slow 5 No worries. 5 down just a little bit, please? Α Q I see a Traffic Enforcement Section. Do you 6 MR. WINDHAM: Yes. And I realize I keep 7 know where that is, or do you want me to point it out to 7 messing up some of the dates and correcting myself. I apologize. 8 you? 8 9 9 On which one are we looking at? Q (By Mr. Windham) I just had a few questions 10 Q The March 18th, 2020. 10 about some specific units on here that I didn't understand, and I do want to take a little bit of a break 11 A Okay. I see Traffic Enforcement. 12 Okay. I mean, I assume this is obvious, but we 12 after that. So let's see if I can find it. 13 All right. So back on the March 18th, 2020, 13 were talking earlier about the Traffic Enforcement 14 officers and unit. That's where it is, right, on the -chart, on the very far right, there's something called

- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q -- 2020 version of the chart. Okay. And then
- 17 I get a little bit confused, because then when we go to
- 18 the April 21st, 2022, chart, I just don't see Traffic
- 19 Enforcement. And I don't know what happened to the unit,
- 20 so I'm wondering if maybe you can explain.
- A So I know at a certain point, and I don't know
- 22 that it was in 2022, but we moved Traffic Enforcement out
- 23 from under there where it was.
- 24 Q Uh-huh.
- 25 A To -- so the same chief, Molleda, that I talked

- 15 Criminal Investigations Division. Do you see that?
- 16 A Oh, my gosh. Hang on a second.
- 17 Q And I'm going to read to you what's under some
- 18 of the boxes and, you know, certainly object or your
- 19 Counsel can object if I'm getting that wrong. But do you
- 20 see on the very far right Criminal Investigations
- 21 Division?
- MR. FRIGERIO: Are you talking about 2020?
- 23 MR. WINDHAM: I am.
- 24 A Yes. I'm sorry. I was looking at the wrong
  - spot. I was looking at the little boxes. Yes, the one

Page 25 of 60 July 16, 2024

Page 96

Page 97

Page 94

1 star.

- 2 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. It's the one star. And
- 3 what I just want to ask about is a few of these little
- 4 boxes. So I'm sorry they're small. But what is CID
- 5 branch?
- 6 A Criminal Investigations.
- 7 Q I'm just curious why it's another box under
- 8 Division. Division, branch, is there a difference or --
- 9 A Well, because that -- she's the Criminal
- 10 Investigations Chief. And then the CID branch is -- now
- 11 it's overseen by a captain.
- 12 Q Uh-huh.
- 13 A That -- it goes by the same name, I guess, but
- 14 that's a captain now.
- 15 Q Has that always been the same person?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Who is it now?
- 18 A Now that captain there is Captain Agustin
- 19 Pruneda.
- 20 Q And who was it in 2020?
- 21 A I don't recall.
- 22 Q Okay. And then I wanted to ask you about -- I
- 23 know it gets smaller as we go down, but there's a little
- 24 box that says "Narcotics Section." Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Okay. And then there's three smaller lighter
- 2 colored boxes, and so I just want to briefly ask you
- 3 about what those are. And maybe we can start at the
- 4 bottom, because it corresponds, I think, to what you were
- 5 talking about earlier about the high intensity drug
- 6 trafficking area stuff.
- 7 A Uh-huh, yes.
- 8 Q It says, "HIDTA Task Force."
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Is that the same thing it was at San Antonio
- 11 Police Department?
- 12 A No, because I think that at the San Antonio
- 13 Police Department, there was a whole unit. Like it was
- 14 ten detectives. That, for us here, may mean we have one
- 15 person assigned to that task force.
- 16 O As of March 18, 2020.
- 17 A I believe so.
- 18 Q Okay. Was that one person or maybe a few
- 19 people, were they doing the same type of work as the
- 20 folks at the San Antonio Police Department version were
- 21 doing?
- A The same type of work, you know, obviously
- 23 they're still targeting narcotics. But on a day-to-day,
- 24 I'm not sure what it is that they do.
- 25 Q Okay. I mean, does Bexar County Sheriff's

- 1 Office still have this task force, do you know?
- 2 A I don't know if we still have somebody
- 3 assigned. In other words, at the San Antonio Police
- 4 Department it was our task force. We were the HIDTA task
- 5 force for the San Antonio Police Department. Here I
- 6 don't actually have a HIDTA task force. I may have one
- 7 person assigned to someone else's task force.
- 8 Q Oh.
- 9 A So I think that's what those little boxes mean,
- 10 that we just have somebody assigned there. It's actually
- 11 one deputy.
- 12 Q Okay. That's helpful. Because the box above
- 13 that says, "DEA Task Force."
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And is it the same sort of thing?
- 16 A Same thing. It's not our task force, it's the
- 17 DEA's. And I've got maybe a deputy or two assigned to
- 18 various task forces over there. We're in the process of
- 19 doing a second one to the DEA.
- 20 Q What about Asset Forfeiture right above that?
- 21 A Asset Forfeiture, at present, I believe we've
- 22 got two deputies that that's what they do.
- 23 Q I just -- what is Asset Forfeiture?
- 24 A They -- so for example, if we, you know, hit a
- 25 dope dealer's -- a drug dealer's house, and they've

- 1 got -- clearly they're living the lifestyle because
- 2 they're dealing in hundreds of thousands of dollars in
- 3 drug money and they've got, you know, big screen TVs and
- 4 all this fancy stuff that they've bought with drug
- 5 proceeds, we will seize those assets. And if we can
- 6 establish a nexus to the, that it was bought with
- 7 ill-gotten monies, we can seize those assets and --
- 8 Q Is that -- I'm sorry.
- 9 A Through a civil proceeding, we can seize those
- 10 assets. Well, these deputies that I have doing that sort
- 11 of work know that process.
- 12 Q That occurs prior to any criminal conviction,
- 13 because it's civil, right?
- 14 A Well, it could happen prior to or before. It's
- 15 a separate, separate proceeding. It's a civil proceeding
- 16 that happens.
- 17 Q Okay. Does that also -- you mentioned the bust
- 18 of a big drug dealer's house. Does that also happen
- 19 during traffic stops too?
- 20 A It could.
- 21 Q I'm sorry, just to finish the question for the
- 22 record. Does a asset forfeiture also happen during
- 23 traffic stops?
- 24 A It could.
- Q Are you aware if it does at all?

Page 98 1 Α Yes. A Yes. 1 2 Okay. Do you -- I just want to clarify, do you 2 Okay. Is it, is it common at Bexar County 3 Sheriff's Office? 3 think that the way the system currently works has any sort of bias problem? 4 A It happens. I couldn't tell you if it's A No. I think it lends itself to the ability to something that we do every single day. But it is 5 6 something that's possible every single day. 6 claim bias. 7 O Okay. 7 Q What happens to the assets that are seized once A And I just want to remove even a specter of 8 they're seized? 8 9 A Sometimes they are auctioned, and then that 9 that. Like I just, I don't like that system that was in 10 money is then deposited into an asset forfeiture account place when I got here. I don't prefer it. 10 or sometimes they're converted to agency use. 11 Q So you don't -- you're not like -- you don't personally think that your sergeants in charge of IA Q Okay. So I'm trying to think of when it would 12 be -- let me give you an example. And tell me if this is investigations right now are biased. 13 13 14 farfetched. A No, of course not. I don't think that they're 14 15 Sure. 15 bad people. I just don't like the system. I don't like I don't know. I'm just trying to understand. that as a way of doing things. 16 16 17 Has a car ever been seized via asset forfeiture? 17 O Okay. 18 Yes. 18 A I want to change our business practices. 19 19 Okay. And are cars ever converted to agency So the reason you don't like the system has to Q 20 use throughout --20 do with who gets to decide. 21 Yes. 21 Correct. 22 Okay. And what about the proceeds that go into 22 That's your concern? the asset forfeiture account, can that be used for 23 23 Α Correct. 24 24 anything at Bexar County Sheriff's Office? So it's not with the scope of evidence that 25 goes into the decision, it's just with who gets to A We can use it for training, equipment, Page 99 Page 101 1 different -- if we want to purchase a piece of equipment 1 decide? 2 and it doesn't exist in the budget, we can use asset Α Correct. 3 3 forfeiture funds. Q Ultimately the buck stops with you, though, 4 Q What about for hiring new employees? 4 right? 5 A No, I don't -- I don't think that we can use 5 Yes, sir. 6 that money for new employees. And I don't think we can 6 Okay. I do want to discuss Bexar County's 7 use it to like pay overtime or things like that. Criminal Interdiction Unit. Are you familiar with that 8 unit? 8 There's -- there's rules in place, laws in place that dictate how we're able to spend that money and how we're 9 It's more of a team, but yes. 10 not. 10 Okay. Why do you say that? 11 A Because that -- like it's not a unit that 11 Q Okay. I think this is a pretty good time for a 12 short break. Is that okay with you? 12 you're going to see on the org chart, again. It was --13 it had been a team of deputies that tried to dedicate 13 A Sure. 14 MR. WINDHAM: Great. themselves to that, but got pulled away a lot for other THE REPORTER: We're off the record. 15 things. And just, to me, wasn't as effective as I would 16 (Recess from 10:59 a.m. to 11:07 a.m.) 16 have expected them to be. 17 THE REPORTER: We are back on the record. 17 Q Let's walk through it a little bit. When is 18 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. Sheriff Salazar, do you 18 the first time that there was something called either the 19 recognize you're still under oath? 19 Criminal Interdiction Unit or this team you're talking 20 A Yes, sir. 20 about? 21 A Uh-huh. 21 Q I just had a quick clarifying question about a 22 When did that first show up? 22 comment you made earlier about the Internal Affairs

A I don't remember when we did it. But I can

24 tell you that having been around other Sheriff's Offices

25 other parts of the state, I saw where -- like for

23

23 process. And I think you used the word "unbiased" when 24 talking about how you want it to be with this new panel

system, this new board system. Is that fair?

- 1 example, in North Texas they have a team that that's what
- 2 they do. And they partnered with several surrounding
- 3 counties, and they established an interdiction team among
- 4 those counties, and they gave all those deputies shared
- 5 jurisdiction in that, in that region.
- 6 So that county devoted to, this county devoted
- 7 to, that county devoted to, plus patrol cars, and then we
- 8 all work in this county. And it seems to work great in
- 9 North Texas.
- 10 We started exploring the idea of doing
- 11 something like that here with our surrounding Sheriff's
- 12 Offices. We tried it as an experiment. And again, to
- 13 me, it just -- it didn't work out. I thought there was
- 14 better uses for those people. So those, the deputies
- 15 that had been doing that sort of work have now been
- 16 redirected and absorbed elsewhere.
- 17 Q I just want to -- I want to understand kind of
- 18 the time period. So you called it an experiment. How
- 19 long did that experiment last?
- 20 A I don't recall. It was at least a couple years
- 21 that we tried it. But it just didn't perform as
- 22 expected.
- 23 Q Whose idea was it?
- 24 A Myself and my chiefs. We thought about let's
- 25 try it, let's see how it works. And maybe we'll get to

Page 103

- 1 the point where if it's working out well, we'll jump on
- 2 board with some of the surrounding counties and do a task
- 3 force along the lines of what they do in North Texas.
- 4 There are -- there is -- there are several task
- 5 forces that operate like that here locally, but we don't
- 6 belong to any of them.
- 7 Q The initial vision for this -- I'm struggling
- 8 with the term if it's unit or team. How do you want to
- 9 refer to it?
- 10 A I guess task force. Task forces are more
- 11 temporary in nature, because it's like, you know, it may
- 12 take root and become something. It may not. It's just
- 13 temporary in nature.
- 14 Q Okay. So let's, let's use that. The Criminal
- 15 Interdiction task force -- is that a fair --
- 16 A That's fair.
- 17 Q -- way to put it? What was the model for that
- 18 in your head? What was -- sorry. Go ahead.
- 19 A We took a couple of deputies that had traffic
- 20 experience, so to speak. I said: Look, we're going to
- 21 try you guys out on the highways doing highway drug
- 22 interdiction.
- 23 Q The same sort of stuff you were doing at the
- 24 San Antonio Police Department?
- 25 A Kind of.

1 Q Okay.

- 2 A A little more technologically advanced
- 3 nowadays, different tactics, different methods, you know,
- 4 of detecting -- detecting contraband that -- same basic
- 5 principle as what we had back then, but it's just a
- 6 different animal nowadays.
  - Q Just to give one concrete -- because you
- 8 mentioned the Desert Snow training you got, right?
- 9 A Yes, sir.

7

10

16

- Q So in the same vein as that kind of the Desert
- 11 Snow interdiction?
- 12 A Along the same lines. But again, a lot of the
- 13 tactics have changed. A lot of the concealment methods
- 14 have changed, and a lot of the equipment and capabilities
- 15 that exist nowadays did not exist back then for us.
  - Q So just to make sure I kind of get the
- 17 differences, are the differences between how you did it
- 18 back then based on that training and how it might have
- 19 worked on this interdiction task force at Bexar County
- 20 Sheriff's Office differences more in refinement of the
- 21 techniques?
- 22 A Perfect example, back in the day when I worked
- 23 highway drug interdiction, it was not uncommon for us to
- 24 find a car heading south with a hidden compartment with
- 25 \$100,000 cash in it, literally bulk cash being smuggled

- 1 in a hidden compartment heading south, drug proceeds,
- 2 right?
- Nowadays, that's not as common as finding a
- 4 prepaid phone card. It looks like a prepaid phone card.
- 5 It's actually got a million dollars hidden on it, because
- 6 the powers that be, the evil technology people found a
- 7 way to put money on a hotel room key with a strip on the
- 8 back can be converted, and it's actually -- if you scan
- 9 it, it's actually got -- you know, leads to an account
- 10 somewhere with a million dollars in it.
- 11 That didn't exist back in the day. I would
- 12 have looked at you like, you know: What do you mean that
- 13 room key has a million dollars on it?
- 14 You know, for one thing, I don't even think
- 15 actual card keys existed back then. You had a key to a
- 16 hotel room.
- 17 So again, there's just things that exist now
- 18 that did not exist back then.
- 19 Q I completely get that. I think what I'm
- 20 getting at is a little bit more kind of basic, which
- 21 is -- it sounded to me like from what you were saying
- 22 earlier with your drug interdiction work at San Antonio
- 23 Police Department that largely what you were doing was
- 24 stopping people for traffic violations, and then if there
- 25 was -- looking for evidence of criminality, like drug

1 trafficking, human smuggling, that kind of stuff, and

- 2 then extending the investigation as needed to investigate
- 2 their extending the investigation as needed to investig
- 3 that activity. Is that fair?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And that all arose from traffic stops, right?
- 6 A Yes
- 7 Q Right? And you used the phrase, I think,
- 8 "interview." So you would conduct a roadside
- 9 interview --
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q -- as a part of that. And then depending on
- 12 how that interview went, you would call a canine to the
- 13 scene, right?
- 14 A Sometimes, or sometimes I would ask for consent
- 15 to search the vehicle, and I would search the vehicle.
- 16 Q Okay. So what I'm trying to wrap my head
- 17 around is whether this interdiction task force that Bexar
- 18 County Sheriff's Office had, whether those basic
- 19 mechanics were kind of the same.
- 20 A The same basic mechanics, yes. Just different
- 21 technologies exist and different smuggling methods
- 22 nowadays.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A But overall the same concept.
- 25 Q And I know you said you weren't quite sure of

Page 107

- 1 the date range, but I do want to try to see if we can
- 2 figure that out a little bit.
- 3 THE REPORTER: Can you please talk slower?
- 4 MR. WINDHAM: Yes.
- 5 THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- 6 Q (By Mr. Windham) Do you have any sense of when
- 7 it might have been started?
- 8 A I don't. Not in front of me. Maybe --
- 9 maybe -- so 2020 is -- no, I'm sorry. 2021 is when we
- 10 restructured and created the Organized Crime Unit,
- 11 division, at the TAG.
- 12 Prior to that, it was a little more fragmented,
- 13 like we had a Narcotics Unit, we had a Street Crimes
- 14 Unit, we had a Gang Unit. But when -- in 2021, when we
- 15 restructured and created the Organized Crime group, I put
- 16 all those people together, all those teams were squeezed
- 17 together. And we assigned them all at the TAG, which is
- 18 the Texas Anti-Gang facility.
- 19 It's a building here in San Antonio where every
- 20 agency in the area, federal, state, local, has a presence
- 21 at the TAG. All of my Organized Crime people are located
- 22 out there.
- So that was 2021. So I would say maybe late
- 24 2021, early 2022 is when we decided to try this drug
- 25 interdiction, highway drug interdiction.

rage I

- Q Okay. So turning back to Exhibit 53, Page BC
- 2 6037, I'm trying to place this task force --
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q -- that we've been talking about.
- 5 A And which -- you're looking at 2020?
- 6 Q I'm looking at April 21st, 2022, BC 6037.
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q And it sounds -- I mean, I'm just guessing, so
- 9 tell me if I'm wrong about this, but it sounds to me like
- 10 this drug -- this Criminal Interdiction task force would
- 11 have been located under the Organized Crime -- under the
- 12 Tactical Operations section; is that right?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And it would have been the Special Enforcement
- 15 Unit?
- 16 A It would have been.
- 17 Q Okay. And did that unit have things going on
- 18 other than this criminal interdiction work?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q What else did it have going on?
- 21 A Animal cruelty.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A Astray cattle. That's a function of what
- 24 Sheriff's Offices are tasked with.
- 25 O Yeah.

Page 109

- 1 A It's weird. Literally, by law, tasked with
- 2 stray cattle. That's what we do. And every Sheriff in
- 3 the state has an Astray deputy or an Astray unit, and
- 4 that's what you do.
- 5 And that Astray deputy, in this instance, was
- 6 coupled with an Animal Cruelty investigator, and that's
- 7 what they did. That was part of Special Enforcement.
- 8 And then when we -- Sergeant Pete Gamboa ran Special
- 9 Enforcement for us, the drug interdiction guys worked
- 10 under Sergeant Gamboa as well.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you happen to know, is Sergeant
- 12 Gamboa still in charge of that?
- 13 A No. He runs intelligence for me now.
  - Q Okay. When was --
- 15 A Intelligence, yeah.
- 16 Q I'm sorry. When was that shift?
- 17 A It kind of morphed into that. So he started
- 18 getting people assigned to that unit that did intel work,
- 19 cyber type stuff. And then it kind of morphed into it.
- 20 So at present that unit's kind of doing some
- 21 things with the jail and with street level intelligence
- 22 work. Right now we're awaiting the award of a federal
- 23 grant that's going to allow us to really establish a
- 24 jail-based intel unit.
- 25 So right now Sergeant Gamboa is kind of running

- 1 it as a task force until such time as that grant money
- 2 comes in and we're going to stand up a full-fledged intel
- 3 unit that does cyber intel and then really capitalizes on
- 4 the -- I'm pointing this way over my left shoulder
- 5 because the jail's over there.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A And that's a gold mine of information. So the
- 8 jail-based intel unit, we'll be capitalizing on that a
- 9 lot. And it will be located here in this building. And
- 10 that's what they're going to do. Sergeant Gamboa runs
- 11 that effort for me right now.
- 12 Q Okay. Going back to the formation of the
- 13 Special Enforcement Unit and the Criminal Interdiction
- 14 task force that was a part of that unit, was Sergeant
- 15 Gamboa chosen to run all that from the beginning?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And why was he selected?
- 18 A He comes from that background. Sergeant Gamboa
- 19 has worked, you know, covert operations and -- he
- 20 actually wears several hats for the agency. But he came
- 21 from that background and I like his work ethic.
- 22 Q Just because I don't quite understand what you
- 23 mean by "that background," do you mean interdiction
- 24 background?
- 25 A No, not necessarily interdiction. Narcotics,

- 1 the narcotics world, covert operations. I think he's a
- 2 strong leader, and I personally like his work ethic.
- 3 Q Great. While he was in charge of all that
- 4 we've been talking about, the special operations -- I'm
- 5 sorry, Special Enforcement Unit and the Drug Interdiction
- 6 task force -- scratch that. I'm saying these words
- 7 wrong.
- 8 While Sergeant Gamboa was in charge of the
- 9 Special Enforcement Unit and the Criminal Interdiction
- 10 task force, was he attending these weekly meetings that
- 11 we talked about earlier where you were being debriefed
- 12 on?
- 13 A Command staff?
- 14 Q Yes.
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. So how were you getting information on
- 17 what this task force was doing through the chain of
- 18 command?
- 19 A Up his chain of command to Deputy Chief Nancy
- 20 Sanford, who still serves in that function. She will
- 21 brief us on: Hey, you know, last night we -- or this
- 22 weekend we handled a dog fighting ring, we handled, you
- 23 know, a sexual assault, and we're working on that murder
- 24 from last week.
- 25 You know, she kind of -- she oversees all

Page 11

- 1 things investigations, except Internal Affairs and PIU.
- 2 That's a different chief. But she handles all of our
- 3 criminal investigations.

4

13

- Q Okay. So was -- what was her title?
- 5 A She's the CID chief, deputy chief.
- 6 Q I just want to address her the right way.
- 7 Deputy Chief Sanford?
- 8 A Deputy Chief Nancy Sanford.
- 9 Q Okay. Deputy Chief Nancy Sanford. Was she in
- 10 that sort of intermediary position between yourself and
- 1 Sergeant Gamboa for the duration of this Criminal
- 12 Interdiction task force?
  - A She's one of the persons that is -- was.
- 14 Q Okay. I'm just trying to -- just so you know
- 15 why I'm asking, I'm trying to get a sense of like how
- 16 you -- how the information was channeled to you and if
- 17 people changed in and out during that process.
- 18 A Oh, yes. But through others, through her and
- 19 then to me typically.
- 20 Q Okay. Was there any other major person from
- 21 whom you would have gotten information about the Criminal

- 22 Interdiction task force while it existed?
- 23 A Well, like for example, I don't -- there's a
- 24 lieutenant in the mix there somewhere. And at present I
- 25 don't remember if that lieutenant is Lieutenant Angela
- Page 111
- 1 Freveletti or at the time Lieutenant Ray Ortega was in
- 2 that chain of command. He's since moved on.
- 3 But sometimes I would call -- if I didn't have
- 4 time or if there was something going on or the media's
- 5 asking my, my media office for information right now on
- 6 what's going on, I might call Lieutenant Freveletti
- 7 directly and go, "Hey, what do we have working in the 800
- 8 block of West Commerce?"
- 9 You know, so it was not uncommon. But if time
- 10 allowed and under normal circumstances it would go up the
- 11 chain and get to me.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A Sometimes I would go directly to the source.
- 14 Q The officers involved?
- 15 A No, the -- mostly the supervisors.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A It was a rare occurrence that I would have to
- 18 call an officer involved. Because typically I don't have
- 19 their numbers. I don't communicate with them too much.
- 20 But it's not uncommon for me to call Sergeant Gamboa, for
- 21 example. Sergeant Gamboa and I are also personal
- 22 friends.
- 23 Q Okay
- A So not outside the realm of possibilities that
- 25 he and I are riding horses together off duty and talking

1 handled it.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

17

19

1 shop or whatever.

- 2 Q That's great. I'm kind of curious now how
- 3 often, while this Criminal Interdiction task force
- 4 existed, did you talk to Sergeant Gamboa about what it
- 5 was doing.
- 6 A I can't point to any specific times, but, you
- 7 know, during that time, we would be doing Mounted Patrol
- 8 stuff together. I point to the Mounted Patrol insignia
- 9 on my chest. Sometimes we would be doing a Mounted
- 10 Patrol function, looking for a body, for example, and
- 11 just talking as we're out riding horses somewhere, and
- 12 he'd, you know: Hey, we're trying to find a load of dope
- 13 right now, we're trying to do this, we're trying to do
- 14 that.
- So I can't say ten times or maybe fewer than
- 16 ten times, over the course of the existence of this, he
- 17 and I talked directly about stuff.
- 18 Q Okay. And honestly, I just want to kind of
- 19 understand the people who would have given you
- 20 information. So it would have been occasional
- 21 conversations with Sergeant Gamboa about this task force.
- 22 Information sent, channeled to you through Nancy Sanford.
- 23 right?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Any other major people who would have given you
- then stopped a guy. And I would be like: Why are y'all
- 21 using him? He's supposed to be on the highway. He's a

surveillance for hours and hours with my coverts, and

Q Okay. Did you feel like you had all the

information you needed to have a basic grasp on what the

folks on the Criminal Interdiction task force were up to?

A I kind of knew basically what they do, what

I can tell you they didn't, they didn't find a

whole lot of dope while they were out there doing it.

And I think part of it was, was they would get pulled

So like, for example, if the coverts had

something working and they were watching a house, many

times I would -- I would come up on a scene: Hey, we

And I'd pull up and there's my highway drug

just arrested the guy that we were looking for on this

they did. But day-to-day I couldn't tell you: Hey,

their lunch breaks are way too long or they --

22 highway drug interdiction guy.

away a lot by other units.

drug investigation.

23 So I think sometimes, through no fault of their

18 interdiction guy that just stopped -- obviously sat on

- 24 own, they just were not very productive with doing
- 25 drug -- highway drug interdiction.

Page 115

- 1 information about --
- 2 A Well, sure. Sometimes Deputy Chief Sanford --
- 3 like right now she answers to Assistant Chief Roland
- 4 Schuler. And then, of course, they both answer to Chief
- 5 Deputy Serrato. So sometimes Chief Schuler would come to 5
- 6 me with stuff, and sometimes Chief Serrato.
- 7 All those chiefs, whether they're chief deputy,
- 8 assistant chief, deputy chief, generically we call them
- 9 all "chief."
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A So I don't mean to confuse the issue.
- 12 Q Okay. That's -- so basically everybody in the
- 13 chain of command between Sergeant Gamboa and yourself
- 14 somebody who would have given you some information on
- 15 A Sometimes, if they could.
- 16 Q -- the task force. I'm sorry?
- 17 A They could.
- 18 Q Okay. I mean, that's helpful. What about the
- 19 command staff meetings, did the Criminal Interdiction
- 20 task force ever come up in those meetings?
- 21 A I imagine it would have. I can't point to any
- 22 specific days that it did. But certainly that would be
- 23 something that if they did something monumental, Deputy
- 24 Chief Sanford would say: Oh, and we had a whatever kind
- 25 of case over the weekend, and Sergeant Gamboa's outfit

Page 117

- 2 to hold you to a number or anything like that.
  - A Sure.

3

- 4 Q But do you have any sense of sort of how
  - successful they were at finding drug or human smuggling
- 6 during these traffic stops?
- 7 A They weren't very successful at it, I can tell
- 8 you. I just, I can't think of any -- I can't think of
- 9 any instances where I got called for: Hey, the guys just
- 10 hit a load of 20 kilos of cocaine coming up. I can't
- 11 think of any instance like that.
- 12 Again, I don't think it's any fault of their
- is 3 own. We sent them to training. They were good cops.
  - 4 Just -- but highway drug interdiction is not one of those
- 15 things where you're going to be hitting a load of dope
- 16 every single day. It's a very -- it can be very boring
- 17 at times.
- And for every load of dope that you're, you
- 19 know, celebrating and high-fiving on the side of the road
- 20 for, you're going to stop a thousand cars to get to that
- 21 one load of dope. So it's a very -- it's very easy to
- 22 get discouraged on it, and it's also very easy for them
- 23 to get bored with that and go: Hey, Narcotics needs me.
- 24 I'm going to go over there and help Narcotics, you know.
  - So again, they weren't very successful. I

- 1 don't think it was through lack of training or desire on
- 2 their part to be successful. I just think the agency
- 3 overall didn't lend itself to that, to having that sort
- 4 of unit and being extremely successful, along the lines
- of what we see in North Texas.
- 6 Q Yeah. If the unit had been more successful at
- 7 finding drug and human smuggling during traffic stops,
- 8 would you have kind of kept it around?
- 9 A Possibly. Sure, possibly. But like for
- 10 example, I saw that some of the surrounding counties that
- have units that do that were just literally every single
- 12 day getting in high speed pursuits with truckloads of
- 13 undocumented smuggling, people being smuggled. And a
- of times those would result in really bloody crashes. 15 And I didn't want any part of that. So I just like, like
- let's just pull these guys off the highway and at some
- 17 point -- they're good cops. Let's reassign them
- somewhere else, because this just isn't working.
- 19 Q Did you ever send them direction -- send this
- task force direction like not to chase, not to engage in
- those chases on the highway?
- 22 A Absolutely. And I don't remember when we did
- 23 that. But when it started to happen with a lot of
- frequency, other like surrounding counties would chase
- into Bexar County and then have a rollover. Because
  - Page 119
- 1 look, a pickup truck already handles weird in a high
- 2 speed pursuit. Put 12 people in the back of that bed,
- 3 and it's going to handle differently. That's a bad --
- 4 that's a bad day waiting to happen.
- 5 Q Yeah.
- A And I didn't want to be responsible for that. 6
- 7 So I was like let's -- if you know that it's not a load
- 8 of dope you're chasing, it's a load of people, let's
- 9 figure out a different way. Obviously, we still need to
- 10 rescue these people and get them stopped, but we're not
- 11 going to be engaging in long pursuits with a vehicle that
- 12 we know is going to handle funny and probably roll over
- 13 on us.
- 14 Q Do you remember any other -- I know memories
- 15 are fuzzy sometimes. But do you remember any other --
- 16 A Can I stop you for a second?
- 17 Q Sure, yeah.
- 18 A You asked if I gave the officers direction. I
- would have never given individual officers direction for
- 20 that. It would have gone through the chiefs: Hey, we
- 21 need to not do these type of pursuits.
- 22 Q And I guess to kind of connect the dots there,
- 23 it would have probably been communicated to them through 23
- 24 Sergeant Gamboa?
- 25 A At some point, yes.

1

- Q Okay. And then likewise for that process, can
- you recall any other time when you gave a direction that
- you wanted the folks in that task force to follow?
- That specific -- specifically them?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 I can't think of anything offhand.
  - You mentioned that they went to training. Do
- you know much about the training that they received for
- 9 criminal interdiction?
- 10 A I don't. I think -- I believe, if memory
- serves, we actually sent them to North Texas to train 11
- 12 with that task force up there. Sheriff Jim Skinner is a
- good friend of mine. He's -- he's a -- I don't think olt3
- he -- I don't know that he runs that task force up there,
- but he's a part of it. He's very helpful. And so I
- believe we sent some of these, at least some of these
- 17 guys up to North Texas to ride with that group for a
- 18 while.
- 19 Okay. Are Bexar County Sheriff's officers --Q
- 20 this is a general question --
- 21 Sure.
- 22 -- encouraged to do outside training? Q
- 23 Sometimes that's the only choice we have. Α
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 If that training doesn't exist here, we'll send
  - Page 121

- 1 them outside.
- 2 Q Just to be clear about our terms, Bexar County
- 3 Sheriff's Office has a training academy, right?
- 4
- 5 And so what you're saying is that sometimes the
- only, or the best option is to send them to a training
- 7 outside of the academy that you guys have?
- 8 Yes, sir.
- Okay. And when that training occurs through an
- 10 outside party or vendor, does it need to receive approval
- from Bexar County Sheriff's Office? 11
- 12 Α Yes.
- 13 Okay. How does -- do you know much about how
- 14 the approval process works for that?
- 15 Α No, sir.
- 16 Okay. Q
- 17 Just get a piece of paper on my desk at some
- 18 point, and it magically appears and I either sign it or
- 19 don't.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A Sometimes. Sometimes one of the chiefs will
- 22 approve it, and I don't even know that we sent somebody.
- I'll find out after the fact.
- 24 Q Okay. So sometimes that approval request will
- 25 come to your desk because you're the guy available and

Page 122

1 other times it might go to Chief Deputy Serrato --

- 2 A Yeah. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q -- and other folks in the command staff.
- 4 A Sure.
- 5 Q Okay. And, I guess, on that question of
- 6 approving training -- by the way, my thinking face looks
- 7 like I'm rolling my eyes.
- 8 A Understood.
- 9 Q I get this all the time. So I'm not --
- 10 A No offense taken.
- 11 Q I'm not rolling my eyes at you.
- MS. HEBERT: We have given him that feedback at
- 13 work many times.
- 14 MR. WINDHAM: Yeah. Trust me, when I was first
- 15 hired, it was a big problem. And I haven't conquered it.
- THE WITNESS: I'm a girl dad. I'm used to
- 17 rolled eyes.
- 18 Q (By Mr. Windham) So what I want to know is when
- 19 either yourself or somebody else in the command staff is
- 20 deciding whether to approve one of these outside
- 21 trainings, how do you decide between approving and not
- 22 approving?
- 23 A Is it too expensive, is it something that's a
- 24 traveling road show, so is it going to eventually come
- 25 here to San Antonio? I'm not going to send somebody to
  - Page 123
- 1 New York for a training that I know next month is going
- 2 to be here. Right?
- 3 We try really hard not to send people to
- 4 training in Las Vegas or New Orleans or Miami, right, for
- 5 obvious reasons. Perception as well, right? Even
- 6 they -- they may be the best cop in the world and they're
- 7 going to go do everything right, but just it doesn't look
- 8 good to send a team to Las Vegas for a week --
- 9 Q Yeah.
- 10 A -- you know. Have we done it? Absolutely.
- 11 I've gone to Vegas for training. But it just -- it's got
- 12 to be -- we've got to make sure that they're doing what
- 13 they're supposed to be doing if they're going to go
- 14 somewhere like Vegas.
- 15 Q And if you're going to approve something,
- 16 presumably you need a little bit of a description of what
- 17 the training --
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q -- is going to entail, right?
- A Sure.
- 21 Q And that material has to cohere with your goals
- 22 and policies here at the county, right?
- 23 A Sure, sure.
- Q So if a training from an outside group was just
- 25 sort of not what you guys stand for --

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q -- you would deny the approval?
- 3 A Absolutely. And what I saw drug interdiction
- 4 morph into here regionally is absolutely that. It
- 5 doesn't -- it doesn't align with what I do. Right? A
- 6 lot of the agencies around here that do highway
- 7 interdiction, you'll see them posting on social media:
- 8 Look, we caught a bunch of illegals.
- 9 That is like nails on a chalkboard to me, that
- 10 word even.
- 11 And that's not what we're there -- I don't
- 12 think that's what we exist for. And so that's, again,
- 13 one of the main reasons that this never took root and it
- 14 never formed into a task force with these other agencies.
- Not to say they're bad people in those other
- 16 surrounding counties, but just -- but that sort of work
- 17 doesn't align with what I think we should be doing.
- 18 Q So you were -- I'm just trying to drill down on
- 19 this now. So in terms of what this unit -- sorry, not
- 20 unit -- what the Criminal Interdiction task force morphed
- 21 into, could you kind of spell out what your main concerns
- 22 were with that?
- 23 A Just -- they weren't successful. They weren't
- 24 like hitting a lot of dope. They weren't finding a lot
- 25 of dope. They weren't doing -- they spent a lot of time
  - Page 125
- 1 off the highway anyway, being pulled away. And so I
- 2 said: You know what, let's just redirect them. There's
- 3 a better -- to borrow a term from my real estate days,
- 4 highest and best use. Let's redirect these assets
- 5 somewhere else that I need them. They're just not doing
- 6 what I need them to be doing.
- 7 Q So that decision was you looking back,
- 8 hindsight is 20/20 --
- 9 A It's just not working.
- 10 Q Okay, but on the front end, were you
- 11 comfortable with the goals of the criminal interdiction
- 12 task force?

- 13 A I was, because there was really no: Hey, y'all
- 14 have got to find X amount of dope, you have to seize X
- 15 number of money, X number of guns heading south.
  - It was just: Hey, let's get out there and see
- 17 what we find. And then if it turns into something
- 18 worthwhile, like if we see that, holy cow, there's so
- 19 much dope going through here and we're hitting it
- 20 consistently every single day, let's go ahead and do
- 21 that, and then let's join forces with the surrounding
- 22 agencies.
- Either join those that are south of us or join
- 24 those that are north of us, let's join forces along the
- 25 lines of what's in North Texas. But it never came to

Page 33 of 60 July 16, 2024

Page 126

- 1 that, because it just wasn't working.
- 2 Q And I don't want to put words in your mouth,
- 3 but I want to summarize -- because we've talked about
- 4 this for a little bit.
- 5 A Sure.
- 6 Q And I want to see if I can kind of summarize
- 7 it. So as Sheriff you were happy with the goals of the
- 8 Criminal Interdiction task force on the front end. You
- 9 were happy with the training. You liked the mission --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- and the mechanics of how this work was done.
- 12 But at the end of the day, after a couple of years of
- 13 this, you thought this is a failed experiment?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Okay. What about any equipment that Criminal
- 16 Interdiction officers might have had that was different
- 17 from other officers? Are you aware of anything like
- 18 that?
- 19 A I know for a while they had LPRs, license plate
- 20 readers. Other units had those as well, but I think
- 21 those guys used them a bit more. I don't remember if
- 22 they were physically on the cars or if they were
- 23 stationary or what we were using.
- 24 I know there -- they wore a utility type
- 25 uniform that there are other units in the agency that

- Page 12
- my understanding is our -- I believe -- I understand, as
   I understand it, our Axon system actually does some of
- 3 that now, the dash cameras actually do some of that now.
- 4 That was something I learned yesterday.
- 5 Q Okay. Just so I'm clear on that, are you
- 6 saying that the Axon dash cam system that BCSO has has
- 7 the capacity to do license plate reading?
- 8 A Like it does something like that. I don't know
- 9 to what extent. I don't know if it does it the same way
- 10 Vigilant does. But the reason it came up -- the reason
- 11 it came up is a couple of days ago I read an email, and
- 12 it was about a hit and run where a Dodge Charger had run
- 13 over a young man during the course of a drug deal gone
- 14 bad and broke his leg.
- 15 And in the report I read that there were
- 16 several license plate scans that happened in -- in other
- 17 words, patrol cars passed this guy and scanned his
- 18 license plate in the minutes leading up to this thing.
- 19 And I asked my chief, "What do you mean scanned?"
- 20 Because I know we don't have a whole bunch of LPRs out
- 21 there, if any.
- They said, "No, Axon does that now."
- "Oh, okay. Great, cool."
- 24 So that's one of those things I don't -- I
- 25 don't even know if we still have Vigilant or if we need

Page 127

- 1 wear a similar uniform to that. But you wear that based
- 2 upon what kind of work you're going to be doing and how
- 3 likely is it that you're going to tear something like
- 4 this or look filthy all the time. Right? So we would
- 5 put them in a uniform that's modified to allow whatever,
- 6 to facilitate whatever their mission is.
- 7 Q I don't know a ton about license plate readers,
- 8 but maybe you can help me understand.
- 9 A I don't either.
- 10 Q Okay, that's fair. Let me just ask you this
- 11 then. Are you aware that Bexar County Sheriff's Office,
- 12 at least during the period when this task force existed,
- 13 had a subscription with Vigilant --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q -- license plate readers? Okay. That would
- 16 have been paid for by Bexar County Sheriff's Office,
- 17 right?
- 18 A (Nodding head.)
- 19 Q Is that right?
- 20 A I think, I think.
- 21 Q Okay. Well, tell me --
- 22 A I can't remember if it was a trial, like they
- 23 gave it a trial basis, or if it was a paid subscription,
- 24 or if TAG paid for it for us. I don't remember how. I
- 25 do remember that it went away for a while, and then now

- 1 to because Axon now does some of that.
- 2 Q Have you heard of a Fusion Center?
- 3 A I have.
- 4 Q What is a Fusion Center?
- 5 A So a Fusion Center is a center, the one here
- 6 anyway is run by the San Antonio Police Department and
- 7 it's a facility where all agencies -- most of the area
- 8 agencies have a representation there, and it's shared
- 9 information. So they'll gather information from
- 10 somewhere, work it, turn it into actionable intelligence,
- 11 and then put it out in a way that would, is appropriate
- 12 to whatever agencies. It may be, "Hey, San Antonio
- 13 Police made a disturbance call at this guy's house. He
- 14 threatened to commit suicide by cop, and now he's out
- 15 roaming around with an AR-15 looking for a cop to shoot
- 16 him," you know. They may put out a bulletin like that.
- 17 They may say, "Laredo called us and said that
- 18 there's a load of dope coming our way. It's in a maroon
- 19 Chevy Malibu, unknown license plate."
- 20 So the Fusion Center puts out information to us
- 21 about different things. It could be an ongoing thing.
- 22 It could be a murder suspect. It could be an officer
- 23 safety issue. That's what I understand the Fusion Center
- 24 is.
- 25 Q You said Laredo. Do you mean the -- is there a

- 1 Fusion Center in Laredo?
- 2 I don't remember if it's in Laredo or in the
- 3 Rio Grande Valley or both. I know there's a Fusion
- Center at SAPD, and I'm pointing toward the San Antonio
- Police Fusion Center.
- 6 Q You've got a good compass.
- 7 A I used to work over there, so I know some
- 8 folks. I know there's others like that in other parts of
- 9 the state, but I don't remember where.
- 10 Q Okay. There may be one in Laredo, to your
- 11 knowledge.
- 12 A Might be. Might be.
- 13 Q I still am a little bit shady on how these --
- 14 shaky on how they work. So are they staffed by people
- from all the different law enforcement agencies who would
- get information from them?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you know who they're staffed by
- 19 generally?
- 20 A Usually the local, whoever runs it local. Like
- 21 obviously at the San Antonio Police Department, it's
- mostly SAPD personnel that work in there, in that
- facility. But I have deputies that work in that facility
- as well, you know. But like, for example -- I think
- there's actually some of the school districts actually

- 1 intel centers, whether it be a Fusion, a TAG, a HIDTA, or
- very, very soon, hopefully, our jail-based intel.
- 3 Q See, you would have -- sorry to cut you off.
- 4 No worries.
- 5 You would have wanted the interdiction team to
- 6 receive intel from Fusion Centers to do their jobs,
- 7 right?
- A That's not -- yeah, however, whatever they need 8
- 9 to get the information. They may see it on social media
- and then decide they're going to do something. They may
- receive information from Fusion. They may have
- informants that call in. So I'm like any good legit
- source of information that you can get information from, 13
- 14 work it, do it.
- 15 Q Right. And I'm not trying to lock down on like
- they got their information from one type of source. I'm 16
- 17 just trying to confirm that that was a source that --
- 18 Sure.
- 19 -- you would have wanted them to get info from. Q
- 20 Absolutely.
- 21 Q Okay. And you used the example of a Fusion
- 22 Center providing a tip about a vehicle that meets a
- 23 certain description was coming your way, they're
- 24 suspected of being involved in drug or human smuggling;
- 25 is that fair?

Α

1

2

- 1 have people that work in the Fusion Center as well, but
- that's not to say that because you don't have anybody
- there, you're not going to get information from them.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 Right? So like, for example, South San --
- 6 South San Antonio Independent School District does not
- 7 have, to my knowledge, officers assigned to Fusion. But
- 8 if Fusion develops information that there's a threat on
- the South San school, absolutely they're going to get
- 10 information about it, whether they have somebody assigned 10
- there or not. 11
- 12 Were you aware while the Criminal Interdiction
- 13 task force existed -- and that is such a mouthful. I
- 14 hate that I decided that was the phrase to use -- but
- 15 were you aware of that while the Criminal Interdiction
- 16 task force existed at Bexar County Sheriff's Office that
- 17 it was receiving information from Fusion Centers?
- 18 A And you can just say "interdiction team" and
- 19 I'll know who you're talking about --
- 20 Awesome.
- 21 -- if you want to.
- 22 Great. Q
- 23 A Was I aware that they were receiving? I don't
- 24 know specifically, but that would be the type of people
- that I would want receiving information from various

- Page 133 Yes.
- Is that the sort of intel that you would have 3 wanted the interdiction team to have used?
- 4 A Everybody in my agency should be using
- 5 information like that, but certainly the interdiction
- team. That's -- you know, get out there and do your job, 6
- and find dope and find guns and find documented gang
- members, find victims of smuggling, find victims of
- trafficking, which is different than smuggling in some
- instances. Go forth and prosper, go do what you do, and
- then get -- whatever information comes your way from
- 12 wherever, absolutely. So yes, to answer your question,
- 13
- 14 Q Okay. Let's see here.
- 15 (Discussion off the record.)
- Q In terms of the information that, you know, you 16
- 17 would want officers to use in these kinds of stops, I
- 18 think you said like good or legitimate or something like
- 19
- 20 Yes, sir.
- 21 Q How do you evaluate whether that information is
- 22 the sort you would want your officers to use?
- 23 A I mean, is it legit? Can it be documented?
- 24 You know, is it legal? Is what you're doing legal? 25
  - Q In the interdiction world -- and tell me if I'm

- 1 getting this wrong -- my sense is that this has a lot to
- 2 do -- the focus is on human and drug smuggling. Is that
- 3 kind of a fair -- in this area.
- A Any contraband. Any contraband.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Yes, so humans, drugs, guns heading south, cash
- 7 heading south, anything.
- 8 Q Okay. You said humans heading south? You said
- 9 humans heading south? Or just guns and cash?
- 10 Guns heading south and cash heading south.
- 11 And humans would be coming north, right? 0
- 12 Α Humans could be heading south.
- 13 They could be? Q
- 14 Absolutely. Α
- 15 Okay. Q
- And sold into slavery in Mexico. 16
- 17 Q Okay. I see what you're saying.
- 18 You would -- I mean, tell me if I'm getting
- 19 this wrong. But you would want the information, the
- intel that the interdiction team is using, to suggest
- that one of these crimes is going on, right?
- 22 A Say that again. I'm sorry.
- 23 Q Yeah. Fair enough. You would want the intel
- 24 that the interdiction team is receiving to suggest that
- 25 one of these crimes is going on, right?

- A Well, it's not a matter of me wanting the
- information that X, Y, Z is occurring, then go look for 3
- X, Y, Z.
- 5 Q And I'm not getting at necessarily your
- using reliable information as opposed to just --
- 8
- 10 A As reliable as can be expected.
- 12
- 13 MR. FRIGERIO: Skip all you want.
- 14
- 15 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. This is a pretty good
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q Maybe five minutes. Is that cool?
- 19
- 20 THE REPORTER: We're off the record.
- 22 THE REPORTER: We're on the record.
- 23 Q (By Mr. Windham) All right. Sheriff Salazar,
- 24 we're back on the record. Do you recognize you're still
- under oath?

1 A Yes.

- 2 I want to ask kind of a capstone question about
- 3 this interdiction team. Do you recall when they, when
- the team was disbanded?
- 5 A It was here a couple months ago. I believe
- 6 Joel Babb at one point went to work at the training
- academy. And I don't think we ever replaced him in the
- 8 unit.
- 9 And then Joe Gereb was being pulled away so
- 10 much that we just decided, you know what, the stuff that
- he's getting pulled away from, let's just redirect him to
- 12 that.
- 13 Q Okay. You mentioned Joel Babb. Do you know
- 14 him personally?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Do you have an opinion of him?
- 17 A Overall, I think he was a good deputy, of what
- 18 I knew of him, you know. For example, when he got -- it
- seemed he got burned out on interdiction, for whatever 19
- 20 reason, and wanted to go to the training academy. I
- 21 thought that was a good move for us.
- 22 Just looking at that -- obviously I didn't know
- 23 that other stuff had occurred at that point. But looking
- 24 at that, I thought that was a good, that was a good move
- for us. I liked his command presence with cadets. He

- information to suggest. It's a viable -- like if you get
- 4
- preferences. I'm more getting at, you'd want them to be
- A Oh, yes. Absolutely.
- 9 Q Okay. Got it.
- 11 Q Sure. We're making good progress. We may be
- able to skip a lot of this stuff.
- MR. WINDHAM: Hear, hear, Charles.
- 16 time for a short break.

- 21 (Recess from 11:48 a.m. to 11:56 a.m.)

Page 137 1 seemed to be a very good instructor. And overall I

- 2 admired his work ethic.
- 3 Q Just to circle back on one thing real quick, on
- 4 the interdiction team, and the staffing stuff that you
- 5 just mentioned, do you recall saying that he, he left and
- you didn't replace him?
- 7 A I don't believe we did.
- 8 Okay. So you would be surprised --
- 9 You know what, we may have replaced him with
- 10 Louie -- another deputy. I don't remember his name.
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 MR. WINDHAM: Do you happen to know, Christie?
- 13 MS. HEBERT: (Shaking head.)
- 14 MR. WINDHAM: I'm curious if anybody knows the
- 15 name.
- 16 Q (By Mr. Windham) So you wouldn't be surprised
- 17 if somebody else had replaced him on the unit?
- 18 A I wouldn't.
- 19 Okay. You just don't recall who that was?
- 20 A I can see his face. He was a deputy that
- worked in narcotics for a while, went to patrol, came
- back. Louie something. I don't remember his last name.
- 23 Q The point, from my perspective at least, is
- 24 that the interdiction team did not end when Deputy Babb
- 25 left it.

8

Page 138

1 A Right.

- 2 Q There was some additional staffing that was
- 3 added after he left.
- 4 A I don't know that we added. I think we did
- 5 ultimately replace him for a while before redirecting
- 6 those folks elsewhere.
- 7 Q Okay. Where were they redirected to?
- 8 A So I'm not positive on where they went. I
- 9 think either one or both of them may work in Intel under
- 10 Sergeant Gamboa again. But I'm not positive about that.
- 11 They may have been redirected to Uniform Gang Unit or
- 12 whatever.
- 13 Q Where is Intel physically located?
- 14 A Well, their office is here, but they're hardly
- 15 ever there. They're in the field doing stuff.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A But they have work stations here.
- 18 Q Got it. And do you recall where -- Sergeant
- 19 Gamboa, has his office always been physically located in
- 20 the same place?
- 21 A No, no. That was a very recent move. And I'm
- 22 sorry, I'm pointing over my right shoulder because
- 23 through this wall -- through a couple of walls over here
- 24 is where they're located. They had been working out of
- 25 the TAG, the Texas Anti-Gang center. And they still

Page 139

25

1

- 1 maintain a presence there.
- 2 So Sergeant Gamboa, even though his office is
- 3 technically here, he's got deputies that he's responsible
- 4 for that work at the TAG. I believe the people at the
- 5 Fusion also work for him, I believe.
- 6 Q Okay. So just to be clear, Sergeant Gamboa
- 7 has -- tell me if I'm getting this wrong -- Bexar County
- 8 Sheriff's Office employees who work at the San Antonio -
- 9 A Fusion.
- 10 Q -- Police Department Fusion Center.
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A That are assigned there.
- 14 Q Okay. Do you know how many?
- 15 A I think one.
- 16 Q Okay. And the sort of umbrella that covers
- 17 Sergeant Gamboa's various units he supervisors, is that
- 18 Criminal Investigation Division, or what is the formal
- 19 umbrella for that?
- 20 A It's under -- it's under the chief that runs
- 21 Criminal Investigations, but she oversees CID, which is
- 22 homicide, robbery, sexual assaults, special victims unit.
- 23 She oversees that. But then she also oversees the
- 24 Organized Crime component. He works under the Organize 24
- 25 Crime component.

Page 14

2 chiefly located in the TAG building; is that correct?

Q Okay. And the Organized Crime component is

- chieffy located in the TAG building, is that co.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. Just want to make sure I understood all
- 5 that. There's a lot of acronyms flying around.
- 6 A Yeah, I know. We love our acronyms in law
- 7 enforcement. It's irritating.
  - Q I get it. I've used them today.
- 9 So in terms of Deputy Babb being transferred or
- 10 moving to the training academy, we talked about that a
- 11 second ago, what are the qualifications to work in the
- 12 training academy?
- 13 A Well, you have to have at some point the
- 14 ability to get a TCOLE instructor license. Not everybody
- 15 enjoys that kind of work of being an instructor. Some
- 16 people love it and are great at it. He seemed to be good
- 17 at it. I liked the command presence that he brought to
- 18 it. You know, you're trying to instill in cadets this
- 19 level of professionalism, and his command presence lends
- 20 itself to that. I like the way he, you know, presented
- 21 to the cadets
- So you have to have a willingness. You have to
- 23 be good at it as well.
- Q Good at the instruction work?
  - A Good at the instruction work.

- Q What about good at actual law enforcement work?
- 2 A Well, I mean, obviously you have to know what
- 3 you're talking about. I'm not going to have you teaching
- 4 use of force if you've never been in a position to
- 5 utilize force.
- 6 You know, most -- like, for example, most of
- 7 our firearms instructors have been in shootings and
- 8 they've done -- obviously they survived them, and they've
- 9 done -- they're good at it. They're good shooters and
- 10 they're good -- but they're also -- it's not enough to be
- 11 a good shooter. You've got to be a good instructor to be
- 12 able to convey that and teach that skill.
- So again, you can't just say: Hey, you're a
- 4 good instructor, I'm going to send you to the academy and
- 15 be, you know, good at everything that they teach.
- Some people are better tactics instructors than
- 17 they are on the firearms or they might be better at
- 8 teaching Penal Code, but I'd never let them near the gun
- 19 range, that sort of thing.
- 20 Q And presumably, as far as teaching cadets,
- 21 folks who are instructors in the academy have to be
- 22 pretty well versed in Bexar County policy, right?
- 23 A Absolutely.
  - Q So tell me if I'm missing a qualification, but
- 25 the main qualifications I think that we just talked about

Page 37 of 60, 2024

Page 142

- 1 were, to be an academy instructor, you have to have the
- 2 TCOLE certification, you have to be a good instructor,
- 3 you have to be a good officer, and you've got to know
- 4 BCSO policy. Is that fair?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q And Deputy Babb met those qualifications,
- 7 according to you?
- 8 A On the surface, it seemed that he -- sure, he
- seemed like a good, squared-away officer. You know,
- 10 ultimately his career here came to an end. That's a
- 11 shame. But perhaps, at least on some level, he wasn't
- 12 what we thought he was. Or he committed something that
- 13 we just clearly didn't see eye to eye on, and it was time
- for him to go.
- 15 Q Okay. And we can talk about that a little bit
- later. 16
- 17 A Sure.
- 18 Q I'm just trying to grasp the move to the
- 19 academy at the time and the thought process that might
- 20 have been -- did you have to sign off on that, his shift
- to the academy?
- 22 A No. I don't typically sign off on transfers.
- 23 I can veto them, though. If the chiefs are going to move
- 24 somebody somewhere and I'm like, "No, I know that person, 24 Exhibit 54, right? Okay. I'm going to introduce another
- 25 that person's not going to" -- "we're not going to get

Page 143

- 1 the performance that you think we're going to get," I can
- 2 veto it.
- 3 But in that instance, at some point I found out
- 4 Babb wanted to go to the academy. I thought it was a
- good move. 5
- 6 Q So you didn't veto it?
- 7 Α No.
- 8 Okay. And I -- at the very beginning of this
- deposition, I mentioned that I represent Alek Schott in
- 10 the lawsuit that brings us here today. Are you familiar
- 11 at all with the incidents of March 16, 2022, that gave
- 12 rise to this lawsuit?
- 13 A Overall, I know some -- I know some of what
- 14 happened, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. You don't have to tell me everything you
- 16 know, but I'm curious how you came to know about it.
- 17 A At some point I found out, and I don't remember
- 18 how I found out, if I was briefed by a chief or my media
- officer or whomever, but I just knew that there was a
- 20 traffic stop, a vehicle was damaged by a canine, if I'm
- 21 not mistaken, and the gentleman that was stop disagreed
- 22 with the stop itself and made a complaint.
- 23 Q Okay. Did you learn about that prior to the
- 24 filing of this lawsuit? Do you know?
- 25 A I think so.

- Q Okay. Do you know how you would have learned
- 2 that?

1

7

- 3 No. sir.
- Q Okay. Maybe I'll jog your memory in a second
- with a document or two.
- 6 Have you seen any videos of the incident?
  - A I don't think so.
- 8 Q Okay. Have you read any documents describing
- 9 what happened?
- 10 A So if you told me I had, it wouldn't surprise
- me. But for example, I know that Babb has been
- 12 terminated, but I didn't terminate him, so I don't -- I
- don't know that I would have had a chance to read 13
- whatever it was. And I don't remember why I wasn't the
- one that terminated him. Typically it is me. But in
- this instance, I don't know if I was out of town when it
- occurred or if something happened and I couldn't be here,
- so Chief Serrato did it. So I don't know if I've read
- any documents on it. 19
- 20 Q Okay. And we'll look at a couple. I mostly
- 21 just want to know about your background knowledge so I
- know what you don't know.
- 23 MR. WINDHAM: Okay. Let's try -- we're on
- 25 exhibit.

- 1 MS. HEBERT: This would probably be a good time
- 2 for a break, about here.
- 3 MR. WINDHAM: Okay. Let's do that. Is that
- 4 fine?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 6 THE REPORTER: We're off the record.
- 7 (Recess from 12:07 p.m. to 1:31 p.m.)
- 8 THE REPORTER: We are back on the record.
- 9 Q (By Mr. Windham) All right. We're back from
- 10 lunch. Sheriff Salazar, do you realize you're still
- under oath? 11
- 12 Yes, sir.
- 13 Great. So before the break, I was going to
- 14 hand you an exhibit, and I'm going to do that now.
- 15 All right. Sheriff Salazar, I'm handing you
- 16 what's been marked and previously introduced as Exhibit
- 17 46.
- 18
- 19 And it's a big document, so take a minute to
- 20 look through and let me know if you recognize what it is.
- A It appears to be an Internal Affairs case 21
- 22 packet, case file.
- 23 Q Okay. And if you flip to 8349 through 8359,
- this appears to me to be the case file for the initial
- 25 Internal Affairs investigation into the stop of Alek

- 1 Schott --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- on March 16 of 2022; is that fair?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Okay. And I want to just kind of get a sense
- 6 of how these Internal Affairs investigations go. So just
- 7 maybe you could tell me, how does an investigation start
- 8 in Internal Affairs?
- 9 A Several different ways. A member of the public
- 10 that feels they were wronged can come in and do a sworn
- 11 statement with us and initiate things that way. Or in
- 12 some cases a member of the administration can find
- 13 something and initiate a use of force -- or a complaint
- 14 on that, based on a filing of use of force, for example,
- 15 if a supervisor is reviewing body camera footage and
- 16 goes, "Whoa, wait a second, that's not proper," they can
- 17 initiate based upon what they find.
- 18 Q Okay. So there are kind of two pathways to the
- 19 Internal Affairs unit starting an investigation, one of
- 20 which would be a complaint from a member of the public,
- 21 the other of which would be somebody in the Internal
- 22 Affairs unit starting the investigation?
- 23 A No, anybody from -- any member of the agency
- 24 can initiate if an officer -- a deputy sees another
- 25 deputy do something and it's improper, he could say,

Page 147

- 1 "Hang on just a second. I need to write that up. I
- 2 witnessed something wrong."
- 3 Q Okay. So then the two ways an investigation
- 4 could start in Internal Affairs are from a public
- 5 complaint and from an internal --
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- 7 Q -- source?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A And in that instance, the administration is
- 11 considered to be the complainant.
- 12 Q Okay. In terms of these public complaints, you
- 13 did use the phrase earlier "sworn statement." Are they
- 14 always sworn statements?
- 15 A To my knowledge.
- 16 Q How can people submit complaints?
- 17 A I mean good question. I'm not 100 percent
- 18 positive on all the ins and outs of it. But I mean,
- 19 certainly they can come in to the Internal Affairs office
- 20 and do one sworn.
- But somebody out of state, I'm not sure if they
- 22 could just like submit a sworn affidavit with the help of
- 3 an attorney. I don't know how that works. But I know
- 24 the majority of the time that I know of is they come to
- 5 IA and they do -- IA being Internal Affairs -- they come

1 to IA and do a sworn statement.

- 2 Q Okay. If you flip to 8352 -- just take a
- 3 minute to get there.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q It looks like to me these are some handwritten
- 6 notes from a call with Alek Schott on March 18 of 2022.
- 7 A Uh-huh.
- 8 Q Is that fair?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. And I don't know whether this would have
- 11 been a sworn statement. I'm not sure if you know.
- 12 A I don't know whose handwriting this is.
- 13 Q Okay. Does it -- is it possible that a
- 14 complaint could be submitted that isn't under oath, in
- 15 person?
- 16 A I, I'm under the impression that it's got to be
- 17 a sworn statement to initiate a formal investigation. I
- 18 could be mistaken on that. But it's possible we'd still
- 19 look into something if -- if not. I mean, especially if
- 20 someone says, "Hey, I'm out of state, there's no way I
- 20 someone says, They, Thi out of state, there's no way i
- 21 can come in, but here's what happened," and it seems
- 22 pretty serious, I think we'd be remiss in not initiating
- 23 something.
- 24 Q If an investigation -- I mean, if it's correct
- 25 that this investigation began after Alek Schott called to

- 1 complain about the March 16th incident, would that have
- 2 triggered a --
- 3 A Sure --
- 4 Q -- Internal Affairs process?
- 5 A -- it would have triggered some sort of a
- 6 response from us.
- 7 Q Okay. Just tell me at a high level, what does
- 8 the investigation process look like for these kinds of
- 9 public complaints?
- 10 A This one in particular?
- 11 Q Well, maybe in general, first, how they work.
- 12 A Okay. I mean, we'd begin, obviously not having
- 13 been an Internal Affairs investigator here, I don't know
- 14 100 percent what their job is. But I can tell you they
- 15 have to start investigating: Was it even us that's being
- 16 complained on? Because a lot of times they'll call us to
- 17 complain, and that's SAPD that did that, that wasn't even
- 18 our agency. So we'll call them back and say, "Hey, wrong
- 19 agency. Here's the number to SAPD."
- But we look into, can we pull the case number?
- 21 Okay, what video is there associated with that case
- 22 number? Let's watch it. Let's figure it out. Let's --
- 23 you know, what's the complaint being made? And then can
- 24 we either prove or disprove that that happened?
- 25 Q Okay. And you know, we can look through these

Page :

- 1 pages if you want to. My understanding from the email
- 2 thread we have here in the page range of 8349 through
- 3 8359 --
- 4 A Uh-huh.
- 5 Q -- and from some of the notes in here is that
- 6 Sergeant Marta Rodriguez -- now Ortega, right?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q -- is the person who investigated this
- 9 complaint; is that fair?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q Okay. That she reviewed Deputy Babb's body cam
- 12 when investigating this complaint; is that fair?
- 13 A Yes, sir. Now, are you asking me if I know
- 14 that?
- 15 Q Well, I'm asking you if that's your
- 16 understanding based on these pages and certainly --
- 17 A I haven't read them in detail.
- 18 Q That's totally fine. Do you have any awareness
- 19 of how this investigation was conducted?
- 20 A No. I know that there was a -- an initial
- 21 investigation that didn't go as deep as the second one
- 22 did. My understanding is that the first one was
- 23 initiated by Mr. Schott. The second one may have been
- 24 initiated by a member of the administration once we found
- something that wasn't found on the first one. Does that
  - Page 151

- 1 sound about right?
- 2 Q Well, I don't know that it's right for me to
- 3 answer questions.
- 4 A Oh, I see.
- 5 Q Does it sound right to you?
- 6 A That's what -- that's what my understanding of
- 7 it is. So you're giving me the "I'll ask the questions
- 8 here."
- 9 Q In the nicest possible way.
- 10 A No, that's my understanding of it. I could be
- 11 wrong.
- 12 Q Okay. I mean, what I really want to get at,
- 13 frankly, is if we look at 8349, it looks to me like this
- 14 is the document that Sergeant Rodriguez -- I'm just going
- 15 to call her Sergeant Rodriguez --
- 16 A That's fine.
- 17 Q -- even though she's now Ortega -- that
- 18 Sergeant Rodriguez would have filled out to explain her
- 19 findings for this investigation.
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q Is that fair?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Okay. At the top, I see "Case Closed," so --
- 24 and at the bottom I see "Case Closed." I would assume
- 25 that means that this investigation is complete as far as

- 1 the Internal Affairs unit is concerned, right?
- 2 A Say that again. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q I would assume that "Case Closed" at the top
- 4 and the bottom means that, for purposes of this Internal
- 5 Affairs investigation, it is complete.
- 6 A No more activity is what I gather from this.
  - Q Okay, right.
- 8 A I've never seen this document. But what it
- 9 looks like is some sort of a form checklist that: Hey,
- 10 I'm closing the case, and it's one of these boxes that
- 11 applies.

7

- 12 Q Okay. I do see a line in here -- actually, a
- 13 couple times. I see under the explanation -- and I'm
- 14 just clipping a quote, so you know. Feel free to read
- 15 the paragraph if you need to. But I see in the second
- 16 sentence, "When I reviewed Deputy Babb's body worn camera
- 17 (BWC), I did not see any policy violations." And if you
- 18 move forward a little bit, there's another sentence that
- 19 says, "No policy violations were viewed through BWC."
- 20 Do you see all that?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 Q Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt that
- 23 conclusion?

25

- 24 A I do now.
  - Q Okay. Tell me about that.

- 1 A Well, my understanding is that at some point a
- 2 camera was turned off. But in honesty, I don't remember
- 3 if it was his body worn or the dash cam.
- 4 O Okav
- 5 A But my understanding is that there was not --
- 6 the camera was not on when it -- at some point it should
- 7 have -- one of those cameras was not on when at some
- 8 point it should have been.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A Again, that's my understanding of it today.
- 11 Q Fair. Setting aside any issues with cameras,
- 12 do you have any other reason to doubt the conclusion that
- 13 Sergeant Rodriguez reached that no policy violations
- 14 occurred during the stop?
- 15 A Okay. Say it again. I'm sorry.
- 16 Q Fair enough. Setting aside the camera issues
- 17 that you just flagged, do you have any other reason to
- 18 doubt Sergeant Rodriguez's conclusion that no policy
- 19 violations occurred during this incident?
- 20 A Just based upon what I see here, I can't think
- 21 of anything. As I stand here -- I mean, as I sit here
- 22 now, do I wish that she'd done a more thorough case
- 23 investigation on the front end of this? Absolutely.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A I wish she had gone deeper.

Q Into the camera stuff that you flagged?

2 A Yes.

1

3 Q Okay. Sorry, that was a question, and you're

4 saying yes in response?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. Earlier, I think we talked about your

7 general sense that Internal Affairs does a good job,

8 right? Is that fair?

9 A They do.

10 Q I mean, setting aside the potential issue with,

11 you know, wanting there to be a board that they send

12 their conclusions to, right, other than that, they know

13 Bexar County policy, right?

14 A They should, yes.

15 Q And they do a good job of investigating these

16 complaints generally speaking, right?

17 A (Nodding head.)

18 Q Fair?

19 A Yes.

20 Q So your presumption would be that this

21 conclusion, setting aside the camera issue, is correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. I do want to ask you about kind of what

24 happened next, because it seems like this, in some form,

25 was reopened as an investigation. Is that your

Page 155

- understanding?
- 2 A Yes.

1

- 3 Q Okay. Can you tell me about how that might
- 4 have come to be?
- 5 A So my understanding -- and again, I could be
- 6 wrong here, and I'm not exact on timelines, but my
- 7 understanding is later on, everybody thought this was
- 8 closed. At a certain point, we were served with either a
- 9 demand letter or some sort of paperwork regarding a
- 10 lawsuit.
- 11 Captain Von Muldau or somebody in his shop did
- 12 a review of it, looked and then found some improprieties
- 13 with body -- with a camera at that point. Again, I think
- 14 it was dash cam, and then it was reopened and reinitiated
- 15 with new information brought to life by a member of the
- 16 administration.
- 17 Q Okay. Just to kind of distill that down a
- 18 little bit, after this lawsuit was filed, which I'll
- 19 represent to you was in June of 2023, the Internal
- 20 Affairs investigation was reopened?
- A Or a new one was begun, however we end up doing
- 22 that, based upon some findings there. I believe it was
- 23 Captain Von Muldau, and I believe he was the one that
- 24 found it.
- Q Okay. If you'll flip for me to Page 8311.

1 Just let me know when you get there.

2 A Okay.

3 Q Okay. First of all, do you recognize this

4 document?

7

5 A It's what we call an RFI, Request For

6 Investigation.

Q Okay. For, for this incident? Is that fair?

8 A Yes, for this incident.

9 Q Right. And I just wanted to ask you -- I know

10 that we talked a little bit about some of these names

11 before, but I just want to have kind of a clean statement

12 of it, so can we just talk through the "To," "From" -- or

13 sorry, "To," "Through" and "From" lines here? Yeah --

14 A That's always kind of confusing.

15 Q -- that's my muscle memory.

16 A So the "From" is who initiated it.

17 Q Okay.

18 A And then the ultimate "To" is whoever she's

19 writing it to. But the "Through" means anybody else

20 between them two on the chain of command.

Q Okay. So just to kind of slow walk it a little

22 bit, Deputy Chief Garza is the person who initiated this

23 investigation --

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q -- right? On June 12 of 2023?

Page 157

1 A Yes, sir, by the looks of it.

Q Okay. And at the time, do you know what Deputy

3 Chief Garza's role would have been in relation to Deputy

4 Babb?

5 A So at that time, I think he was already working

6 at the training academy. So she was in his chain of

7 command because of the training academy, if that were the

8 case. But also she's in charge of Internal Affairs and

9 Public Integrity.

10 Q Okay. And then between Chief -- Deputy Chief

11 Garza and Chief Deputy James Serrato is Assistant Chief

12 Deputy Roland Schuler; is that fair?

13 A Ye

14 Q And then what's -- do you know if he would have

15 been looped in on this investigation or if he's just

16 somebody who would have taken this piece of paper and

17 handed it to Chief Deputy Serrato?

18 A All my senior commands, so the chief deputy and

19 the assistant chiefs, should all be looped in to most

20 things that occur here. We actually have a weekly

21 meeting with just the senior command staff as well. So

22 none of the deputy chiefs are in here, just the

23 assistants, the two stars, a three star, and then myself.

24 So typically we try to do a decent job of

25 keeping each other apprised, but it wouldn't be

Page 41 of 60, 2024

Page 158

4

16

1 necessary, you know, necessarily. This could proceed.

- 2 At this point -- oh, well, at this point Chief
- 3 Schuler was the Chief of Staff. So he would have been
- Lupe's -- Chief Garza's boss at that time.
- Q Okay. I'm a little bit confused now given what
- 6 you just said about the weekly meetings. I thought -- so
- 7 earlier my understanding was that you had a weekly
- command staff meeting where you're kind of debriefed on
- 9 the day-to-day operations of the agency; is that fair?
- 10
- 11 And then did you just mention a different
- 12 weekly meeting?
- 13 A On Fridays we do a senior command staff meeting
- 14 to where it's just myself, again, in typically my chair,
- and then Chief Serrato, and then the three assistant
- chiefs. But none of the deputy chiefs are present for
- that meeting typically, unless somebody's out sick or
- whatever and they have somebody sit in as a proxy. But
- that's a Friday meeting, is just senior command staff. 19
- 20 Q What, if anything, is different about the
- 21 content of that meeting as opposed to the other meetings?
- A It's a lot more strategic, I guess, so to
- speak, like: Hey, this -- the Law Enforcement Bureau's 23
- going to do this over the course of the next week.
- Where -- here's everything that happened since Deputy

Page 159

- 1 Chief Sanford briefed you on Monday about ongoing
- investigations. Here's what happened in those during the
- 3 week.
- 4 So we just kind of touch base: Here's what
- 5 we've got planned for next week.
- 6 Sometimes it's the same information being
- 7 updated on, or different, different stuff overall.
- 8 Q Okay. At both of those meetings, I assume
- 9 you're being debriefed on what's going on --
- 10 A Oh. sure.
- 11 Q -- for lack of a better phrase. Okay. Would
- 12 you ever, during either of those meetings, receive notice
- 13 of this kind of investigation being opened?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Did you in this case?
- 16 A I don't remember.
- 17 Q Okay. How common would you say it is for you
- 18 to be looped in on these kinds of investigations?
- 19 A It's pretty common, because look, I'm big on no
- 20 surprises. So I'm out at -- like I said, I work seven
- 21 days a week. So not outside the realm of possibilities
- 22 that I'll be out on a Sunday -- Saturday morning at a, 23 you know, fun event, community event or something, and
- member of the media go, "Hey, Sheriff what do you think
- about" -- right? And they'll ambush me. So at the

- 1 Friday meetings a lot of times they'll say, "Hey, here's
- 2 what you may get ambushed on tomorrow when you're out at
- 3 the MS Walk," you know.
  - So they brief me on these, because it may be
- 5 something that I may get hit with over the weekend and I
- need to not have that deer in the headlights look. I 6
- 7 need to be prepared to address it.
- 8 Q So because you're accountable to the public in
- 9 your capacity as Sheriff, you have to have a knowledge of
- 10 what's going on.
- 11 A Absolutely. And I'm out there a lot. I'm a
- 12 very visible and very accessible member of the agency,
- 13 obviously. For obvious reasons I have to be.
- 14 Q I think you said earlier that you haven't
- 15 watched the video from this incident.
  - A I don't recall having watched it.
- 17 Q Do you know if you were, at any point during
- 18 this Internal Affairs process, briefed on the facts of
- what happened? 19
- 20 A I don't remember at what point I learned all
- 21 this stuff that I just told you about. Like I said, I
- could be wrong on some of it, or a lot of it. That's
- 23 just my understanding of it.
- 24 And I think the reason that -- like I haven't
- 25 watched the video is because, for whatever reason, and I

- 1 still don't know what that is, for whatever reason I was
- not the one that terminated Babb. Typically, they'll
- 3 bring me, "Hey, tomorrow you've got this termination
- hearing with this deputy. Here's the case file. Here's
- 5 the videos associated with it." And I'll watch it then.
- 6 But in this instance, for some reason, I wasn't
- 7 the one that was there for that termination, so I don't
- recall having ever watched that video.
- Q Okay. So if you had been there for the
- 10 termination, you might have had a reason to watch the
- 11 video -
- 12
- 13 -- for example, and maybe read the complaint in Q
- 14 this case.
- 15
- 16 Have you read the complaint in this case?
- 17 I don't remember. I don't recall if I read it.
- 18 All right. That's fine. At the bottom of
- 19 8311, it looks like Deputy Serrato signed this document
- 20 and approved it --
- 21 Uh-huh.
  - Q -- for Internal Affairs investigation; is that
- 23 fair?

22

- 24
- 25 Okay. And that kind of initiated the process

1 of going through a full-fledged investigation.

2 A Yes, sir.

3 Q And then what does, what does a full-fledged

4 investigation look like after this point? What does it

5 involve?

A The same thing that we would do if it were, had

7 it been handled from the onset when Sergeant Rodriguez

8 got it initially. You review all the footage that's

9 there. You talk to witnesses. You, you know, get

10 medical records or whatever else is -- any documents

11 associated with it. You call in all the nonrespondent

12 deputies. And at the end of it you call the respondent

13 deputy and say, "Here's everything we developed as a

14 result of your case. Answer those questions."

Typically we give them interrogatories, just a

16 list of questions. Answer those.

17 Q Okay. So the sequence is investigation begins

18 as a formal matter, right? That's step one. Is that

19 fair?

20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q And step two would be the collection of all

22 documents and video relevant to the incident that BCSO

23 possesses.

24 A Yes.

25 Q And then step three would be interview --

Page 163

- Well, review all of that.
- 2 Q Fair enough. Step three would be review
- 3 everything.

1

- 4 A Uh-huh.
- 5 Q And then step four would be -- you mentioned
- 6 interviews.
- 7 A Start calling in witnesses.
- 8 Q Okay. And that would include the officers
- 9 involved?
- 10 A Nonrespondent officers, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And then anybody else?
- 12 A Like for example, if it happened at a
- 13 restaurant, you call in the wait staff from that
- 14 restaurant. "What did y'all see?" You know? And then,
- 15 you know, ask that question, "Is there any video from the
- 16 restaurant? Because we don't have any in our file, but
- 17 do you guys have video in your restaurant? You do?
- 18 Okay, I'd like to get copies of that."
- 19 So you may have to go back and get additional
- 20 evidence that was missed on the first go-round.
- Then you call in all the nonrespondent deputies
- 22 almost at the very end. And then at the end, you call in
- 23 the respondent deputy, and you have to show them
- 24 everything. All the evidence that's being used against
- 25 him or her, they have to be given opportunity to review

Page 10

- 1 it and answer to it.
- 2 Q Okay. It helps me to think of things in steps.
- 3 I'm not sure if that's --
- 4 A That's fine.
- 5 Q Okay. So just to kind of get us back into that
- 6 framework, I want to clarify one thing about -- let me
- 7 just start by understanding the sequence and then see if
- 8 I can put it in terms of steps.
- 9 A Sure.
- 10 Q So on the sequence front, we have interview
- 11 nonrespondent deputies, right?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q And then after that, is it that you interview
- 14 the respondent deputy --
- 15 A Yes, sir.

16

25

- Q -- or that you do the interrogatories?
- 17 A Well, you write your interrogatories -- let me
- 18 just tell you, the interrogatories, that's -- again,
- 19 that's something I introduced to the agency.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A When I first got here, obviously in my review
- 22 of this agency, "How do y'all do things?"
- 23 "Well, we just sit him down and tell him to
- 24 write a report."
  - "But I don't know that you get a complete

- 1 picture unless you ask pointed questions."
- 2 "Well, we don't do that here."
- 3 I said, "Okay. Well, where I grew up, we did
- 4 that."
- 5 Like you -- I may give 300 questions: Did you
- 6 do this? Did you say this? If you did not, please
- 7 provide a thorough explanation of what you did and what
- 8 you said and why.
- 9 Right? They didn't do that here when I got
- 10 here. So I introduced interrogatories.
- So what you do is at the end of it -- before --
- 12 between -- where are we at, steps five and six?
- 13 Q I'm going to go through the steps again. I
- 14 just wanted to make sure we understand the last part of
- 15 it.
- 16 A So after you're done with all your witnesses
- 17 and nonrespondents and documents and everything, or at
- 18 least you think you are, you're about to bring in the
- 19 respondent, you're going to sit there and you're going to
- 20 write down all your questions to get a complete picture
- 21 based upon what they said, what they did, what do you --
- 22 you know, so for example, the complainant may not even
- 23 know that A, B or C was said, but one of the
- 24 nonrespondent deputies may say, "Yeah, he whispered to me
- 25 when that guy was away." So you're going to write a

1 question: Hey, Deputy so-and-so said that you said blah,

- 2 blah, blah.
- 3 So you're going to give him a -- you should be
- 4 anyway. If you're doing the investigation the way I
- 5 would prefer, you're going to give him a list of
- 6 questions. And that list of questions may be ten
- 7 questions, it may be 300. I've given -- I've given
- 8 interrogatories of 300 questions before.
- 9 Q After the interrogatories, then there's a
- 10 in-person meeting with the respondent deputy; is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And then what happens?
- 14 A They are given the opportunity to do -- to
- 15 answer, answer to the interrogatories. And then they
- 16 usually come in with their attorney.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A You sit them down, you give them some privacy
- 19 to consult with their attorney. You ask them to write
- 20 the questions -- to respond to the questions. Hopefully
- 21 the attorney's not signing the -- not answering the
- 22 questions for them. And then you take it all in. While
- 23 they're still there, a good Internal Affairs investigator
- 24 is going to read everything, make sure they covered --
- 25 "Oh, you didn't answer this one. Here, go back and," you
- rea --
  - Page 167

- 1 know --
- 2 And then once you're satisfied that they've
- 3 answered all those questions and there are no follow-up
- 4 questions, you cut them loose, and then you compile your
- 5 report.
- 6 Now, here, again, what they're still in the
- 7 process of doing and we're trying to get away from is
- 8 determining guilt or innocence basically, so to speak.
- 9 Again, I'm not in favor of that way of doing
- 10 things. I'm more trying to get them geared toward you're
- 1 going to prep it to present to the advisory action board
- 12 at some point.
- 13 Q Let me try to summarize. We'll see how I do.
- 14 A All right.
- 15 Q But in general, a good Internal Affairs
- 16 investigation should involve the following steps: One, a
- 17 complaint is initiated, right?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Two, documents relevant to the incident that
- 20 BCSO possesses, which would include videos and other
- 21 records, are collected and reviewed, right?
- 22 A (Nodding head.)
- 23 Q Three, nonrespondent deputies are interviewed?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q Four, the respondent deputy is sent

- 1 interrogatories.
- 2 A Nope.
- 3 Q Okay.
- 4 A He's called in.
- 5 Q He's called in.
- 6 A And handed the interrogatories.
- 7 Q And handed the interrogatories. At which point
- 8 he fills them out, right?
- 9 A There at the office.
- 10 Q There at the office. And then five, those
- 1 interrogatories are reviewed and a preliminary
- 12 determination is made as to whether BCSO policy was
- 13 violated.
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Okay. What happens after that?
- 16 A So after that, it's forwarded up through that,
- 17 the commander of Internal Affairs. In this case, it's a
- 18 lieutenant, reviews the work of the sergeant.
- 19 It's possible they may say: No, we're kicking
- 20 it back. You didn't ask them A, B and C. You didn't
- 21 write them up for this other thing you must have missed.
- 22 Right? So it may get kicked back.
- Or the lieutenant goes: Yeah, I think this is
- 24 good. Then they'll send it over to Deputy Chief Lupe
- 25 Garza, and it's for review. Then she reads it and she
  - Page 169
- 1 may kick it back or she may forward it up to Chief -- or
- 2 Assistant Chief Raul Garza, and he may kick it back or he
- 3 may forward it up.
- 4 So at some point it will be finalized, yes,
- 5 nothing occurred, or this is worth 30 days, this is worth
- 6 three days, whatever it is.
- 7 Q Okay. I want to talk a little bit more later
- 8 about the tail end of that process, but before we do
- 9 that, can we just circle back to what happened here with
- 10 the initial Internal Affairs investigation? Because I'm
- 11 a little bit confused on sort of why it didn't take this
- 12 course.
- 13 A Okay
- 14 Q Do you have any thoughts on that?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A So to me it almost seems like she didn't go
- 18 deep enough. Bear in mind -- and they say, right -- they
- 19 say you should never measure your subordinates by how you
- 20 would perform a task because you're going to be
- 21 disappointed.
- I was, I was pretty much of a nightmare as
- 23 Internal Affairs investigator. I was very thorough and I
- 24 was very meticulous in how I handled my investigations,
- 25 right? Anything that falls short of that I don't like

Page 44 of 60, 2024

Page 170

- 1 necessarily. Not that it's wrong. It's just not up to
- 2 my standards. So I've got high standards, high
- 3 expectations for Internal Affairs, the way things should
- 4 be handled.
- 5 That process that I gave you about long
- 6 interrogatories, that's how I prefer to see it done in
- 7 every case. I realize it's not done like that in every
- 8 case.
- 9 But from what I gather on Sergeant Rodriguez's
- 10 first swing at this, I think she looked at what was being
- 11 alleged and she may -- she says she reviewed body camera
- 12 footage. And okay, you saw nothing wrong with that body
- 13 camera footage that you saw, but did you catch that there
- 14 was body camera footage that wasn't there -- or camera
- 15 footage that wasn't there that should have been?
- And I think, I think the absence of that camera
- 17 footage is what led Captain Von Muldau to go: Wait a
- 18 second, something's missing here that should be.
- But I think on the surface, if you just look at
- 20 the body camera footage that is there and, you know, he's
- 21 saying there was no traffic violation, but yet here's
- 22 evidence that there was, I think case is closed.
- Had she gone a little bit further and figured
- 24 out that there was something missing that should have
- been there, I think maybe that would have triggered a
  - Page 171
- 1 more in-depth investigation. Clearly that did not occur,
- 2 until later on down the road when somebody reviewed it
- 3 more in depth and said: Wait a second, something's wrong
- 4 here, something's missing.
- 5 Q So to -- do you think it's possible that maybe
- 6 the reason that this was -- and you were talking about
- 7 her first swing at this, Sergeant Rodriguez. Do you
- 8 think it's possible that her first swing at this didn't
- 9 go quite as deep because it was a oral complaint over the
- 10 phone?
- 11 A No, I don't think that's necessarily what's at
- 12 the root of this. I think it was just she was just
- 13 looking at what's being alleged, what's there, no, I
- 14 think we're -- and I'm sorry, I'm making horse blinder
- 15 gesture --
- 16 Q Tunnel vision gesture.
- 17 A -- saying I don't think she looked broad
- 18 enough. I think she looked at what's being alleged, can
- 19 I prove or disprove that. It's either proven or
- 20 disproven. We're done. I don't think that that was as
- 21 thorough as I would have liked to have seen, in
- 22 retrospect, of course.
- Q On 8350, could you turn there real quick for
- 24 me? I just want to make sure we're being precise about
- 25 the point you're making about what was alleged and what

- 1 she would have looked into.
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q So I see a line there that says "Nature of
- 4 Complaint." Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. And I'll just read it out loud.
- 7 "Deputies allegedly illegally searched and detained
- 8 complainant and damaged his vehicle in the process."
- 9 So as I understand that, that's an allegation
- 10 that the detainment was illegal in some way, that the
- 11 search was illegal in some way, and that there was damage
- 12 to the vehicle. Is that fair?
  - A That's the claim?
- 14 Q Yes.

- 15 A I think so.
- 16 Q That's the claim.
- 17 A I believe so.
- 18 Q So that's what she would have investigated,
- 19 right?
- 20 A I believe so.
- Q And then if you flip to the next 8352, it looks
- 22 to me as well, from the handwritten notes, like another
- 23 allegation was traffic stop without cause. Do you see
- 24 that?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- Page 173
- 1 Q Okay. So that also would have been part of
- 2 Sergeant Rodriguez's initial kind of narrow investigation
- 3 into this.
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Is this her handwriting? Oh, you can't answer
- 7 questions. Sorry.
- 8 Q We can have a chat later.
- 9 Let's see. Okay. So I said I was going to
- 10 circle back to the tail end of the full Internal Affairs
- 11 investigation. And by the way, I know that we're -- it
- 12 can be confusing because there's two of these. So how
- 13 about for the Sergeant Rodriguez first swing, we talk
- 14 about that as the first Internal Affairs investigation,
- 15 and the later one that started on June 12 of 2023, how
- 16 about that's the second one?
- 17 A Sure.
- 18 Q Okay, great. So for the second one, we talked
- 19 about kind of the several steps that that involves. At
- 20 the very end of it, before we get into the facts of this
- 21 one, how does it conclude?
- 22 A How does what conclude? I'm sorry.
- 23 Q How does the second Internal Affairs
- 24 investigation, as a general matter in Bexar County,
- 25 right, how does it finish?

- 1 A Well, I mean, the findings are still forwarded
- 2 up the chain, and then at some point anybody in that
- 3 chain can say: No, y'all need to do some more work on
- 4 this, and kick it back. Or they can say: Yeah, this
- 5 is -- you know, once it reaches usually the deputy chief
- 6 of that division, they can say: Yeah, I think this is a
- 7 30-day spanking, or no, this is termination.
- 8 Q I'm mostly kind of trying to figure out where
- 9 in the chain of command these are supposed to end up.
- 10 A Ultimately, the findings are given to the
- 11 deputy's chain of command. So it will go up Deputy Chief
- 12 Lupe Garza, because she's the Internal Affairs, she's
- 13 over Internal Affairs. It will go to the Chief of Staff.
- 14 And then typically if it's a straight-up patrol deputy,
- 15 let's say, it will go over to the Patrol deputy chief.
- 16 He or she will make the determination of what the
- 17 discipline should be, because it's one of his or her
- 18 folks, right. In this instance, though, it didn't have
- 19 to go to Patrol because it so happened that Deputy Chief
- 20 Lupe Garza was over Internal Affairs. She was also his
- 21 boss, so --
- 22 Q Deputy Babb's boss?
- 23 A Deputy Babb's boss. If I'm not mistaken, he
- 24 was at the training academy at that time. She's over
- 25 the -- she, I think, was over the training academy at

Page 175

- 1 that time. So she would have been his boss. So she
- 2 would be one of the ones determining what the next steps
- 3 are.
- 4 Q Okay. So tell me if I'm getting this wrong.
- 5 She could have concluded there's nothing to this
- 6 investigation, he didn't violate any policies, it's over?
- 7 A She could have.
- 8 Q Okay. And only because maybe she thought there
- 9 was some merit to this investigation did she send it on
- 10 up the chain further?
- 11 A Are you talking about the second one?
- 12 Q The second one.
- 13 A I don't know. You'd have -- now, that would be
- 14 a question for her. I couldn't really tell you what was
- 15 going on in her mind or why she --
- 16 Q Maybe a different way to ask this, because I
- 17 want to understand when you would factor into this, would
- 18 be -- earlier you mentioned that generally speaking you
- 19 would decide on termination.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Right? So at least it's fair to say that if a
- 22 policy violation was bad enough, that it would justify
- 23 termination, you would be looped in on that as a general
- 24 matter?
- 25 A Sometimes before that. If it's something,

Page 17

- 1 again, high profile or more egregious than most, is:
- 2 Hey, Sheriff, this one we think is going to be 30-day,
- 3 but it's likely to land us in the news media. So again,
- 4 you could get ambushed on this at some point. We're
- 5 thinking 30 days. What are your thoughts on it? You
- 6 weigh in on it too.

7

13

- Because ultimately, I've got to deal with the
- 8 consequences for the agency of us mishandling something.
- 9 So they're going to put me in the loop. And they'll -- I
- 10 always tell my chiefs, bug me at 3:00 in the morning if
- 11 you've got a question on something. I'm okay with that.
- 12 I'd rather that than not know.
  - Q Can you give me a sense of the different levels
- 14 of severity in punishment for a violation of policy?
- 15 A Oh, I mean, it could go anything from a written
- 16 reprimand, which is basically don't do this again, but
- 17 it's in writing, to termination. And anything, anything
- 18 along the way.
- 19 Q So what's an example, just maybe a common
- 20 example, of something that warrants a written reprimand?
- 21 A Took an hour and a half to handle the case
- 22 because it was busy, and he wanted to go eat, so he went
- 23 and ate while on this call so that he wouldn't get
- 24 assigned another call. Right? First time offense, it
- 25 hasn't happened before. Usually a good deputy, but you

Page 177

- 1 screwed up one time and took a little too much time on
- 2 the call. Nobody died as a result of it.
- 3 It's just the sergeant realized, "Hey, you were
- 4 out for an hour and a half, but your report is this long.
- 5 What gives?"
- 6 "Oh, I stopped to eat a burger on the way."
- 7 "Okay. That's a written reprimand. Don't do
- 8 that again."
- 9 Q Okay. So --
- 10 A Completely made-up scenario, by the way.
  - Q Yeah. Just tell me if I'm kind of getting
- 12 this, the idea right.
- 13 A Sure

- 14 Q That an officer with a generally good track
- 15 record, who hasn't violated policies in the past, who's a
  - 6 good officer, and maybe just kind of commits kind of a
- 17 housekeeping error, for lack of a better term, that's
- 18 going to be a written reprimand?
- 19 A Well, it depends how big the housekeeping error
- 20 is. I mean, you can come out, your first offense right
- 21 out of the gate and it's a whopper, you're going to get a
- 22 whopper punishment, right? This is not eating a
- 23 hamburger while you should be handling a car crash. You
- 24 know, this is -- this has got to be something -- if it's
- 25 egregious enough, you could get hammered all the way up

Page 178

1 to and including with a termination, even if it's your

- 2 first offense.
- 3 Q Okay. I mean, the example you gave me, at
- 4 least as an outsider, sounds like it's, you know, sounds
- 5 important, where you have somebody who should be handling
- 6 a law enforcement matter, and they're instead eating a
- 7 hamburger.
- 8 A Sure.
- 9 Q That seems like a policy violation, right?
- 10 A Sure
- 11 O That warrants some attention; is that fair?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q But that's only a written reprimand, according
- 14 to --
- 15 A Well, do that same scenario but somebody gets
- 16 killed because of his inaction, or he hears gunshots a
- 17 block over and doesn't do anything because he's so
- 18 enthralled with his burger, and it turns out there was a
- 19 quadruple murder around the block while he was eating a
- 20 burger, that's going to be a little bit more than a
- 21 written reprimand.
- 22 So totality of circumstances have to be
- 23 factored in, along with has he or she done this before.
- 24 Q Okay. So on the extreme end of the spectrum is
- 25 some kind of misconduct that results in death; is that
- Page 179

25

- 1 fair?
- 2 A Could be it results in death, it results in
- 3 high monetary loss to somebody. There's a lot of
- 4 different things that could go into play.
- 5 Q What about that middle ground of like a 30-day
- 6 suspension, like can you give me an example of a common
- 7 violation that might trigger that?
- 8 A Yeah. This is his second time having a burger
- 9 or third time having a burger when he shouldn't be.
- 10 That's a 30 day. Like you should have known better and
- 11 you still did it. You knew it was wrong and you knew
- 12 something was coming on your next one, but you still did
- 13 it anyway.
- 14 Q Okay. Is there anything in between 30 day and
- 15 termination that you see relatively commonly?
- A Oh, you know, there's 45 days, there's 50 days,
- 17 there's, you know, 60-day suspensions. There's all kinds
- 18 of stuff.
- 19 Q Okay. That makes sense.
- 20 A You know, he was having a burger, and to get to
- 21 his next call he was doing 60 miles an hour through a
- 22 school zone, didn't hit anybody, but he was caught doing
- 23 60 miles an hour through a school zone. Well, clearly
- 24 that's not going to be the same 30-day suspension you
- 25 were about to get, because now you were driving like an

Page

- 1 idiot through a school zone. That's a 60-day.
- 2 Q Makes sense. On BC 8311, which is that
- 3 initial --
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q -- initial letter we looked at --
- 6 A Okay

- Q So there's a line. See that check box with the
- 8 "Approved for Internal Affairs"?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q There's a paragraph above that. I just want to
- 11 read it to you and ask you a couple questions. "At this
- 12 time we are requesting an investigation be conducted to
- 13 determine the facts of the case and to identify all
- 14 potential misconduct by any Sheriff's personnel as well
- 15 as all potential violations of statutes, laws, and/or
- 16 local ordinances."
- 17 Did I read that right?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Okay. So what I want to ask is, would that
- 20 scope of investigation include an investigation into all
- 21 of the initial allegations that Mr. Schott made that
- 22 prompted the first Internal Affairs investigation?
- 23 A First off, I think that's kind of boilerplate
- 24 language that's used. But what's your question?
  - Q My question is really just, the second Internal
  - Page 181
- 1 Affairs investigation seems to me, from this document, to 2 be basically asking them to do a do-over of the first
- 3 one. Is that fair?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. So it would have included, for example,
- 6 an investigation into whether the stop was valid.
- 7 A Yes
- 8 Q And it would have included an investigation
- 9 into whether the detention was valid.
- 10 A Yes
- 11 Q And the search of the car, right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A In other words, I don't think you just peel it
- 15 back to the level that Sergeant Rodriguez left it off at.
- 16 You need to peel it back all the way to the beginning,
- 17 and we're back at square one.
- 18 Q To see if any Bexar County policies were
- 19 violated?
- 20 A Sure.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A Had they just gone back to her part, I would
- 23 have not been happy with that. I think you've got to
- 24 take it all the way back down to the bare metal.
- 25 Q What do you mean?

Page 47 of 60, 2024

Page 182

- 1 A I think you go back from the onset and you
- 2 review the whole thing from the beginning of it again.
- 3 Q Okay. Let's turn to 8321. And on 8321 through
- 4 8328, looks to me like this is a copy of the
- 5 interrogatories that would have been -- sorry -- that
- 6 Deputy Babb would have been asked to fill out during the
- 7 second Internal Affairs investigation; is that fair?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. I just -- I'm not going to go through
- 10 all of them, because that would take forever, but I do
- 11 want to ask a few questions about it. Let's turn to
- 12 Question 5.
- 13 A Okay.
- 14 Q Which is on 8322. And just let me know when
- 15 you see that.
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q All right. I'm going to ask you to do this for
- 18 each one that I flag, so take a minute to read the
- 19 question and the answer for me. And just let me know
- 20 when you're done.
- 21 A (Reviewing document.) Okay.
- 22 Q Okay. Just, so just a few questions. His
- 23 answer says, "I was assigned to Criminal Interdiction
- 24 Unit." Is that a reference to the Criminal Interdiction
- 25 task force that we were talking about earlier?

Page 183

- 1 A It's -- yes.
- 2 Q I just want to make sure we were talking about
- 3 the same thing.
- 4 A I don't think it's incorrect. I don't think
- 5 he's lying. I think he just calls it something different
- 6 than the rest of us call it.
- 7 Q But Deputy Babb had a formal assignment to this
- 8 task force we've been talking about?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q He says, "I started in the Unit 5/1/21 and was
- 11 there until 7/22." So that would have been within the
- 12 date range that you said this task force operated, right?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Okay. And then I just want to ask about that
- 15 last sentence. I'll just read it. "My duties included
- 16 looking for human smuggling, drug smuggling, weapon
- 17 smuggling, money laundering and other criminal activities
- 18 utilizing motor vehicle criminal interdiction tactics
- 19 with the training I received."
- Did I read that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Do you agree with that statement of his duties?
- 23 A I mean, I think that's a part of it. He had
- 24 other duties as assigned as well.
- 25 Q But he says his duties would have included

- 1 those things.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O Is that fair?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. And I do want to ask about motor vehicle
- 6 interdiction tactics. I mean, do you have a sense of
- 7 what that means, that phrase?
- 8 A I've got a sense of what it meant in the late
- 9 '90s when I did it.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Again, I know that now it's not substantially
- 12 different than back then, but again, I know that there's
- 13 new tactics employed by the smugglers, there's new
- 14 methods that we would utilize to catch them. So, so I've
- 15 got an idea of what it is that he's talking about.
- 16 Q Do you have any sense at all of how Deputy Babb
- 17 approached these duties?
- 18 A I don't.
- 19 Q Okay. Are you familiar with the idea of a
- 20 front seat interview?
- 21 A I am now.

- Q Okay. How did you become familiar with that?
- 23 A So with this case, it was asked of me by my
- 24 attorney if that's something that I would have done back
- 25 in the day. I'd tell you right now I would not have.
  - Page 185
- 1 But I think I can see what they're trying to accomplish 2 with it now. I'm not 100 percent positive that my idea
- 3 is correct.
- 4 I think it's because there's a camera there and
- 5 you're trying to capture some, you know, micro movements
- 6 of the face or is he lying to you or what's he saying.
- 7 So that way there's video evidence of what the person's
- 8 telling you.
- 9 Tactically, I think it's a horrible idea. I
- 10 don't think that's a good idea to have somebody sitting
- 11 in the front seat of your patrol car. But if that's what
- 12 they're being taught out there, okay. I can't say I
- 13 agree with the safety of it.
- 14 Q Okay. So maybe a way to sum that up is, as a
- 15 general matter it seems like this tactic might have been
- 16 used to help the officer evaluate the behavior of the
- 17 person in the vehicle. Is that fair?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q To determine if it was suspicious in some way.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And your view is that if that's how
- 22 interdiction courses were teaching officers to do it
- 23 today, you're okay with that?
- 24 A Well, I still cringe when I see it, right,
- 25 because it's -- he's right there next to your gun. Like

Page 48 of 60, 2024

Page 186

- 1 he could take your gun at any given time. So I still
- 2 cringe. But if that's what's being taught nowadays,
- 3 okay, I -- I can't say I'm excited about it, but I get
- 4 it. I can see why they're training that as a way of
- 5 doing it.
- 6 Q Okay. So I think there was maybe two separate
- 7 issues. And you can correct me if I'm thinking about
- 8 this the wrong way, but one issue might be officer
- 9 safety?
- 10 A Officer safety.
- 11 Q Like maximum officer safety. Another issue
- 12 might be like effective interdiction techniques. Right?
- 13 Is it fair to think of it as those are two important
- 14 things in the interaction, but they're both kind of at
- 15 play?
- 16 A I think they're both at play, yes, sir.
- 17 Q Okay. And so just to kind of summarize this,
- 18 if officers are being trained in interdiction courses to
- 19 deploy these front seat interviews as an effective
- 20 interdiction tactic, you understand why that would be the
- 21 case, right?
- A I can see why they would teach it. I still
- 23 don't think it's a good idea.
- 24 Q Okay. Are you -- I mean, do you -- I guess
- 25 maybe you answered this already, but I'll just try it

Page 18

- 1 courses and the approval process for those, is it fair to
- 2 say that Bexar County Sheriff's Office would have
- 3 approved these courses and that's why they're listed
- 4 here?

5

- A It's possible, but it's also possible -- some
- 6 guys -- because some of our deputies really enjoy a
- 7 certain -- they gravitate toward a certain kind of law
- 8 enforcement work. And so it's not outside the realm of
- 9 possibilities that he may have paid for some of these
- 10 schools on his own time before he was even assigned here.
- 11 I've heard that happening before.
- 12 Q Okay. Are there -- this is my thinking face
- 13 again.
- 14 Are there annual requirements for officers to
- 15 do training hours?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. And what's that look like?
- 18 A It's in-service training that deputies have to
- 19 go to. Now, when I got here, it was 20 hours a year,
- 20 which is the State minimum. And as part of that 20
- 21 hours, the State usually gives you State mandates that
- 22 you have to teach. As part of your 20 hours that's
- 23 required, we want you to teach this.
- So to me, that wasn't -- it wasn't enough
- 25 training. Again, I grew up at the SAPD where we always

Page 187

- 1 again. Are you aware of if this was happening while this
- 2 unit was in operation?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Okay. I'm going to flip to Question 6. So
- 5 it's a little longer. Read the question and answer for
- 6 me to yourself, and then I'll ask you about it.
- 7 A (Reviewing document.) Okay.
- 8 Q And I don't remember, I may have asked this
- 9 already. I'm sorry to repeat questions. But I forget
- 10 whether you said, have you seen these interrogatory
- 11 responses before?
- 12 A On this case?
- 13 Q In this case.
- 14 A No, I haven't.
- 15 Q Okay, thanks. I just wanted to make sure I
- 16 wasn't assuming something.
- So, have you heard of the interdiction courses
- 18 that Deputy Babb lists in his response to Question 6?
- 19 A Just Desert Snow, because I actually attended
- 20 that one. That was the one I went to in Laughlin,
- 21 Nevada. The other ones, it's possible they didn't exist
- 22 back when I was on the road.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A But I -- so I've never heard of them.
- 25 O So based on our discussion earlier of outside

- 1 did 40 hours of in-service training regardless. And you
- 2 went and you were assigned to the academy for that whole
- 3 40 hours, and you were going to sit in class. Regardless
- 4 of what the State mandates, we're going to teach you
- 5 those, but we're also going to teach you this, this and
- 6 this.
- 7 So I went -- I converted us to 40 hours. And
- 8 so that's what it looks like is they go to the training
- 9 academy for 40 hours.
- Now, now we're starting to introduce more
- 11 online learning as part of your 40 hours, so now you may
- 12 not be going to the academy for the whole 40. You're
- 13 going to do 40 hours, but some of it may be done at your
- 14 substation online.
- 15 Q Are all the -- well, let me ask it this way.
- 16 For the 40 hours of training you're saying officers have
- 17 to do per year for BCSO, does all of that have to be in
- 18 the training academy?
- 19 A No. That's what I'm saying. We're starting to
- 20 introduce online learning to some of it.
- 21 Q I guess my question is sort of a little
- 22 different. Can some of it be -- we talked earlier about
- 23 outside courses. Can some of it be those outside
- 24 courses?
- 25 A No. You're going to go your 40 hours, and then

- 1 if you want to do outside courses on top of that, you
- 2 can, or we may need to send you specifically to stuff on
- 3 top of that. But my intent was to do 40 hours of what
- 4 we're going to tell you you have to get. And then if you
- 5 want to go to a SWAT school or a media school or a canine
- 6 school above and beyond that, that's fine. It's just got
- 7 to be approved, or unless you're going to do it on your
- 8 own time.
- 9 Q Okay. So that's helpful. So what I'm hearing
- 10 is that officers are encouraged to do outside training.
- 11 A If they want to.
- 12 Q Right, if they want to. Sometimes it is
- 13 approved, other times it is not approved.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q What is the importance of the approval? What
- 16 role does that play at all in the --
- 17 A Well, it depends if you're going to get, you
- 18 know -- are we going to put you on special assignment to
- 9 go or are we going to require you to -- like, for
- 20 example, if I've got a community policing deputy, just
- 21 really wants to go be a canine deputy at some point, but
- 22 it serves no agency purpose for me to pay for him to go
- 23 to a \$5,000 canine school, but he really wants to go
- 24 because he likes dogs and in his mind he's going to go be
- 25 a canine guy at some point.

Page 191

- 1 "Hey, Sheriff, I found this school I want to go
- 2 to. You know, can I go?"
- 3 "Well, yeah, but you're going to have to burn
- 4 your own vacation and you're going to have to pay for
- 5 that out of pocket. I'm not paying for you to go to
- 6 that. If and when we send you to canine, okay, I'll pay
- 7 for you to go to school then, but that's because you want
- 8 to go, that's on you."
- 9 So he or she will use their own vacation time
- 10 and they'll pay out of pocket to attend. That's not that
- 11 weird for deputies to do that.
- 12 Q That makes sense. At the risk of doing --
- 13 going all exception on you and doing exhibits within
- 14 exhibits --
- 15 A Okay.
- 16 Q -- I do want to introduce one to maybe help
- 17 make this more concrete. Let's see here.
- 18 You're laughing.
- 19 A My campaign literature?
- 20 Q Yeah, we'll see if we get to it. Maybe not.
- 21 MS. HEBERT: Are there other copies of this?
- MR. WINDHAM: There are other copies. Just a
- 23 moment.
- MS. HEBERT: Going to mark this as Exhibit 54.
- Q (By Mr. Windham) All right. Ms. Hebert is

Page 19.

- 1 handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 54. Okay.
- 2 Take a minute to flip through. I realize this document
- 3 also is a little bit meaty.
- 4 A Okay. (Reviewing document.) Okay.
- 5 Q Do you recognize this document?
- 6 A Yes, sir. I mean, I think I know what it is.
- 7 I've never seen it before, but I think I know what it is.
- 8 Q Okay. What is it?
- 9 A Seems to be supporting documentation regarding
- 10 interdiction training class.
- 11 Q For Deputy Babb?
- 12 A Yes. And it looks like class rosters for
- 13 everybody that attended, if I'm not mistaken. Yes.
- 14 Q I guess what I'm trying to figure out here is
- 15 it looks like the cover page here is about Deputy Babb.
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 O So could this be like a file for him of all the
- 18 courses he's had that might be relevant to interdiction?
- 19 A I'm not seeing that. Maybe I'm missing
- 20 something.

22

1

- 21 Q No, I mean --
  - A This looks like it's all about that 720
- 23 Roadside Training.
- 24 Q Well, let's take it piece by piece.

Q Yeah, that might be easier.

- 25 A Okay, go ahead.
- 2 A Sure.
- 3 Q So maybe I'll just start with the first page
- 4 here.
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 Q BC 11512. Just what is this first page? I
- 7 just don't know what this is. What is this?
- 8 A TCOLE is our licensing authority, Texas
- 9 Commission on Law Enforcement. These various numbers, it
- 10 looks like the course date, 12/4/20. Number of hours.
- 11 This looks like some sort of cover sheet.
- 12 Q Okay. What is a roster?
- 13 A So roster typically is to show -- usually
- 14 training classes, everybody has to sign up -- sign in and
- 15 out. So that way you can prove to the, your agency that
- 16 you were where you say you were going to be.
- 17 In other words, you go to Vegas for a class,
- 18 you better have some rosters to show you weren't at the
- 19 Mirage, you know. Not outside that realm of
- 20 possibilities. So you're going to have to do that.
- 21 But then all that roster needs to be submitted
- 22 to TCOLE so they can give you credit hours for having
- 23 been in class. So in order for TCOLE to vouch for yes,
- 24 this guy is certified in bike patrol, he did go to a bike
- 25 patrol class.

- 2 I'm not in the law enforcement world, I'm not quite
- 3 understanding the role of TCOLE and like what trainings

Q I mean, that's sort of what I'm -- just because

- 4 officers have to have versus trainings that they can just
- choose to get. So maybe I'll ask it this way. It looks
- like to me 11513, which is right in front of you on your
- 7 left --
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 0 -- that to me looks like a request from Deputy
- 10 Babb for approval to take this class. Is that the right
- 11 way to think about this?
- A Honestly, I've never seen this form before
- 13 either. But I think this is to show us that he went to
- 14 the class that we sent him to. I think that's what this
- 15 is.
- 16 Q At the coordinator's --
- 17 A Because it looks like it's signed after he came
- 18 back. So I think this is us -- him telling us -- and
- 19 he's writing in the past tense. So it looks like we had
- 20 him do this when he got back. Okay, you say you were in
- 21 class. We have rosters that you were in class. Write us
- a review.
- 23 Q And that coordinator's signature line, Roland
- 24 Schuler, he's deputy chief, right?
- 25 A He was at that time.

Page 195

- Q He was, I'm sorry. What is the point of him 1
- signing this? What effect does that have?
- 3 A Probably, and of course I'm speculating, but I
- 4 believe -- because he was -- I believe at that time Chief
- Schuler was our TCOLE guy of record. He's attesting to
- TCOLE that yes, this guy went to this class and yes, we
- think he deserves to have these hours added to his
- training record. 8
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A It's a lot like the mandatory continuing
- 11 education courses you guys go to.
- 12 Yeah, that's what I'm trying to figure out.
- 13 Because you were saying earlier that the mandatory hours
- 14 that officers have to do annually is 40 hours, but
- 15 they're only in the academy, right, either remotely or in
- 16 person?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And this is not in the --
- 19 This is aside from that.
- 20 Q Right. So what is the point of this is what
- 21 I'm asking.
- 22 A If you want to get good at a certain other
- thing, or if your unit calls for it, you know, or
- 24 whatever.
- 25 Okay. So this, maybe this is a way to think

- 1 about this. Some units require specialized training
- 2 Α Yes.

7

- 3 Q Is that fair? And the criminal interdiction
- team did, right?
- 5 A I don't know if it was required, but you've got
- 6 to show some sort of specialized training to do it.
  - Q You need specialized skills to do this work?
- 8 Absolutely, yeah.
- 9 Okay. And so Deputy Babb, it looks to me, and
- you can correct me if I'm wrong, would have gone through
- a process of taking some outside training that was
- specialized in criminal interdiction; is that fair?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And then he would have submitted those
- 15 trainings for approval to BCSO.
- A Yes. 16
- 17 And then if those courses were approved, they
- 18 could have been formally added to his TCOLE --
- 19 Training hours, yeah.
- 20 Okay. And then is there any other effect of
- 21 getting approval? For example, maybe reimbursement for
- 22 going to the course?
- 23 A Sure.
- 24 Q Okay. So the courses that are approved, the
- 25 officer can get reimbursed for those, the registration?

- 1 A Sure.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A Also, do we as an agency want to continue to
- 4 send people to the school if our deputy's coming back and
- 5 telling us the school was a horrible school.
- Q Okay. 6
- 7 A So that's probably another reason -- again, I'm
- 8 speculating.
- 9 Q Sure.
- 10 A But that's probably another reason we would
- 11 have them do an evaluation at the end of it is did we get
- 12 our money's worth out of you going to this school.
- 13 Q Sure. Do you know if -- you may not know, but
- 14 do you know if somebody like Sergeant Gamboa, who would
- 15 have been Deputy Babb's direct supervisor on the
- 16 interdiction team, would have been aware of these
- 17 trainings?
- 18 A Should have been. I don't think that you're
- 19 going to skip over that supervisor and go directly to a
- 20 chief to ask can I go to this school or what have you.
- 21 Q Okay. Flip for me to 11520. And I'm not sure
- 22 if you're going to know the answer to these questions,
- but I figured I'd ask because I have you here and you've
- 24 been so helpful. On learning objectives --
- 25 A Okay.

1

Page 198 -- there's just a few lines I don't know how to

- 2 make sense of. So the second bullet says, "Understand
- 3 the foundation of consequential limbic responses."
- A I don't even -- I don't know what that means.
- Okay. And you may -- you know, cut me off if
- 6 you like. But the next one says, "Outline the three
- faces of limbic based driving behavior."
- 8 A I don't know what limbic means.
- That's okay. Let's see here. Let me ask about
- one more, and then I'll stop.
- 11 A Sounds cool.
- 12 Q It does sound cool. "Know all five questions
- 13 that can trigger a noncognitive consequential limbic
- 14 response if an occupant is involved in smuggling
- 15 contraband."
- 16 A I don't know what that means.
- 17 Q Okay. I'll tell you the inference I'm making,
- 18 and you can tell me if you disagree or you just don't
- 19 have an opinion, but the inference I'm making from this
- is that when officers are conducting these interviews
- with drivers roadside, there are going to be some
- behaviors that are involuntary that drivers engage in if
- they are smugglers. Is that a fair way to read this? 23
- 24 What's a limbic response?
- 25 0 I don't know.

- Page 199
- 1 A You can't answer me. Okay. So --
- 2 You don't have to answer if you don't know. Q
- 3 A No.
- 4 MR. FRIGERIO: I think for purposes of the
- 5 record I'm going to object to form, but you can go ahead
- and answer if you want. 6
- 7 A Okay. I mean, like years ago I went to schools
- 8 that helped you detect lies. And there's a, you know,
- some schools that will tell you if they look up and to
- 10 the left they're lying, but if they look up and to the
- 11 right they're recalling from their childhood. And then
- 12 there's other experts that will go that's a bunch of
- 13 crap.
- 14 So I don't know. I know that they can make all
- 15 kinds of claims here, but that doesn't necessarily mean
- 16 that that's true. So I don't know what limbic means. I
- 17 guess I'm going to go Google it after this.
- 18 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay.
- 19 A But I don't know what that means.
- 20 Q I mean, are you -- I'm getting a sense -- I
- 21 don't mean to put words in your mouth. Are you skeptical
- 22 of the scientific validity of this kind of analysis of
- 23 people's behavior?
- 24 A Well --
- 25 MR. FRIGERIO: Objection, form.

- Q (By Mr. Windham) You can answer.
- 2 Okay. I mean, if it said limbic response if an
- 3 occupant is being deceptive, but how do I know he's got a
- trunk load of cocaine based upon how he holds his eyes or
- whatever? I don't know. I'm not -- I'm not familiar
- 6 with this course at all.
- 7 O Okav.
- 8 A This person may be the best instructor ever. I
- 9 just don't know. And I don't know what limbic means.
- That's fair. 10
- 11 Α Okay.
- 12 And I don't want to make you answer questions
- 13 if you don't know.
- 14 Okay.
- 15 I just wanted to see if you did know.
- 16
- 17 Q Let's see here. Let me just ask one more
- 18 question about some of these training items. So on
- 11548 ---19
- 20
- 21 Q -- do you see the Street Cop Training page?
- 22
- 23 Have you heard of Street Cop before? Q
- 24 Α No, sir.
- 25 You have not. There's a line in here I just
  - Page 201
- 1 wanted to ask you about. It's in the first paragraph,
- and I'll just read it to you. "The class will enhance an
- officer's understanding and awareness of identifying a
- 4 criminal vehicle in addition to subsequent
- investigation." Do you have an understanding of what the
- phrase "criminal vehicle" means? 6
- 7 A No.
- 8 Okay. That's fine. All right. So let me
- circle back to the exhibit we were on before this one,
- which I believe is --
- 46. Α 11
- 12 Q -- 46. Thank you. Okay. So back at BC
- 13 8323 --
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q Okay. So I just want to return to Question 6,
- 16 maybe in light of some of what we discussed about course
- approvals and that kind of thing. So my understanding 17
- from the question -- the question asks, "What training
- 19 did you receive related for this position?" Fair? Is
- 20 that fair?
- 21 A Say that again, please.
- 22 Q I just want to make sure I'm reading it right.
- 23 The question says, "What training did you receive for
- 24 this position?"
- 25 A "Related to this position."

Page 202 1 "Related to this position," right? 1 cause failure to maintain lane to conduct a traffic stop, Q 2 Uh-huh. unquote. Is that a fair reading of that? Α 3 Q And then that follows Question 5, which refers 3 A Yes. 4 to him being on the interdiction unit, right? 4 Q Okay. So if he hadn't observed that, do you think the stop would have been valid, based on this 5 Yes. 5 6 Q Okay. So fair to say that Deputy Babb's 6 description? 7 response here indicates he was receiving the following 7 A Without a traffic violation? 8 Right. courses as training for his interdiction tasks, right? Q 9 9 Yes. Α No. 10 10 Q And then some of those courses, but maybe not Q Okay. Based on the Fusion Center information all of them, we don't know, were approved by Bexar County11 about the one-day turnaround, you don't think that would 12 Sheriff's Office. have been a valid basis for a stop? 13 13 A No, it's not PC for a stop. You've got to have A Right. 14 Q Okay. That's helpful. And the ones that were 14 a violation. 15 approved would have been approved because they were 15 Q Do you mean probable cause? useful for his job, right? 16 Yes, probable cause, PC. Sorry. 17 A Well, they would have been approved because the 17 Q Thanks. I knew what you meant. I just wanted 18 the record to be clear. 18 agency saw a need for the agency, a benefit for the 19 agency to send him to that course. A Cop jargon. 20 Q Sure. Okay. That's all I wanted to know. I'm 20 Q No, fair enough. And then on this offense of 21 sorry it took us so long to get there. crossing over the fog line, have you heard of that before? 22 A That's all right. 22 23 23 A So I had never heard it called a fog line Q All righty. So Question 7, take a minute to 24 read that question and the answer. 24 before this case came to be. I think that's more of -- I 25 A I closed it. I'm sorry. think it's like an East Coast term. But if I recall, Page 203 Page 205 1 Babb's from the East Coast, so maybe that's what they 1 Q I'm sorry. That's going to be on Page 8324. 2 2 Okay. Question 7? called it where he grew up. 3 3 Uh-huh. Just take a minute to read the Q Uh-huh. What do you understand it to mean in 4 this paragraph, if you have an understanding of it? 4 question and the response. 5 5 A I call it a solid white line. A (Reviewing document.) Okay. 6 Okay. 6 Q Do you have any disagreement with -- let me put Q 7 7 it this way. Is it fair to say that Deputy Babb is You know, so leading to the shoulder. 8 8 describing, in basic terms, what he did during this Q 9 9 incident? A But that's just what I call it because I grew 10 10 up here. A It is. 11 Q So we're talking about the solid white line 11 Q Okay. And the basis for his conduct? 12 12 leading into the shoulder; is that fair? A It is. 13 A Yes. 13 Q Do you have any issue or problem with what he 14 Q And then what does the offense of crossing over 14 describes here? 15 A Well, I mean, the conduct that he's describing 15 the solid white line involve? A Failure to maintain lane I think is -- is that 16 16 could also -- there could be a rational explanation for 17 what you mean? 17 why somebody would be going down to Laredo with a female 18 passenger and coming back without. So it could be 18 Q I'm just asking what a car actually has to do 19 to commit the violation. 19 criminal activity, but it also could be completely 20 A Oh, it's got to cross over the white line and 20 innocent behavior. onto the shoulder, the non-driving portion of the Q I mean, that's an interesting point, because 21 21 22 22 what I was going to ask you is -- he says here that he roadway. 23 23 identified the license plate of -- I'm sorry, quote, I Q So the tire has to pass the line? 24 identified the license plate of his vehicle and observed 24 A I guess it could either -- it either has to

pass the line or touch the line or drive on the line for

25 him crossing over the fog line. I utilized the probable

- 1 some time. I guess there's various interpretations of
- 2 what the deputy saw, and did he consider that to be
- 3 illegal.
- 4 Q Have you -- I mean, you're a patrolling officer
- 5 still, right?
- 6 A I have patrolled still. It isn't my normal
- 7 job, but I -- I mean, like if you ask me if on the way
- 8 home am I going to observe activities and take actions
- 9 that I see appropriate if I see something happen? Yes,
- 10 absolutely.
- 11 Q Okay. I mean, what I'm trying to get at is how
- 12 you understand this offense as an officer of the law,
- 13 right? So you said crossing over, and you also said
- 14 touching. I want to know if you have an opinion about is
- 15 it both? Is it either?
- 16 A I don't know what he saw. I didn't -- I've
- 17 never seen the video.
- 18 Q My --
- 19 A But I could see where a deputy would go: Well,
- 20 he touched the line and he drove on it for 100 yards, and
- 21 never crossed it, but he was on it.
- 22 Q Yeah.
- 23 A Okay. Well, that's a violation of the law, as
- 24 I see it.
- 25 Q It is?

Page 207

- 1 A Uh-huh. So I don't know how long he was over.
- 2 I don't know if he just --
- 3 Q Yeah.
- 4 A -- went over real quick and came back over. I
- 5 don't know if both wheels went over. I just don't know.
- 6 Q And I'm not asking your opinion about a video
- 7 you haven't seen.
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q I don't want to do that to you. My question is
- 10 only about your understanding of this offense, right?
- 11 A Sure.
- 12 Q The nature of the offense itself as a legal
- 13 matter, not --
- 14 A Now, I don't know specifically what the Traffic
- 15 Code says about it these days. I don't know. I haven't
- 16 read the Traffic Code in a while.
- 17 Q That's totally fair. Is your instinct that if
- 18 a car -- you mentioned driving on the line for a long
- 19 time that would be a violation.
- 20 A I would think so.
- 21 Q Okay. What if a car's tire just brushed the
- 22 line?
- A Again, I don't know what the law says. But you
- 24 know, it could be a violation of the law. A minor one.
- 25 But I don't -- so I don't know what the -- again, chapter

Page 200

- 1 and verse, I don't know what the Traffic Code says.
- 2 Q Okay. Would you yourself pull someone over for
- 3 that?

7

- 4 A Well, no. Not me. But, of course, I don't
- 5 work patrol on a normal basis.
- 6 Q That's fair. Okay. So let's see here.
  - Whether the fog line offense here requires
- 8 touching or going over the line, we can set that to the
- 9 side for a second. But as a law enforcement officer,
- 10 what do you have to observe to know if a car has
- 11 committed this kind of offense?
- 12 A You've got to observe the violation and know
- 13 that it's a violation.
- 14 Q Does that mean observe, like seeing the tires?
- 15 A I would assume if the violation's for driving
- 16 over a fog line that you have to physically see it drive
- 17 over a fog line.
- 18 Q And in order to see that, you'd have to see the
- 19 tires on the line, right?
- 20 A I would assume, yes, sir.
- 21 Q Well, I'm just asking what you understand this
- 22 to involve, as an officer.
- 23 A Well, yes, you've got to be able to see the
- 24 totality of the violation in order for it to be valid.
- 25 I'm not following your question.

- 1 Q I just want to know how you think of it is all
- 2 I'm asking. Yeah. So if you're saying that you have to
- 3 be able to see the tire and the line, that's fine.
- 4 A You have to see all the elements of that
- 5 infraction.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A And I would imagine tires driving over a fog
- 8 line, you've got to see tires, you've got to see a fog
- 9 line.
- 10 Q Okay. Let's turn to BC 8362. Okay. First of
- 11 all, have you seen this page before?
- 12 A Not this one in particular, but I know what it
- 13 is.
- 14 Q Okay. What is it?
- 15 A It's a page from one of our incident reports.
- 16 Q Okay. And it looks like Deputy Babb filled
- 17 this out at the top there?
- 18 A Looks like it, yes, sir.
- 19 Q Okay. On March 18th of 2022.
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Okay. In the first paragraph of this report --
- 22 well, actually, I want to ask if you understand this to
- 23 be a report about the incident involving Alek Schott. So
- 24 take a minute to read it if you have to.
- 25 A (Reviewing document.) I see -- yeah, I see

1 enough to --

- 2 Okay. I just wanted to confirm that so we know
- 3 what we're talking about.
- Yes, sir. 4
- Okay. In the first paragraph, I'll just read
- 6 you the sentence I want to ask you about. "I was sitting
- 7 static on the side of U.S. Highway 35 South. I" -- oh,
- 8 South. "I observed the listed Ford F-250, Texas license
- plate LJR4135 driving over the painted line on the left
- side of the road. I activated my red and blue emergency
- 11 lights and initiated a traffic stop." Is that fair?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Okay. If an officer was sitting static on the
- 14 side of the road, and they were on the right side of the
- road, could they even see the offense of drifting over
- the fog line on the left side of the road? 16
- MR. FRIGERIO: Objection, form. 17
- 18 Q (By Mr. Windham) You can answer.
- 19 Sure. Α
- 20 Q How?
- 21 A I don't know how. But you could see. I mean,
- 22 I could see where he would be able to see a violation, if
- he's sitting -- you're saying he's sitting on the right
- 24 shoulder.
- 25 Q Correct.

Page 211

- A And the infraction's alleged to have occurred 1
- on the left side of the road. 2
- 3 Q Correct.
- 4 I could see where he could see that.
- 5 Okay. I mean, I -- I don't understand, so I'm
- 6 hoping you can maybe explain how an officer might see
- 7 this.
- 8 A Well, it depends where in relation to the
- officer -- I'm picturing it myself. If it happened like
- 10 right here right next to him, no, he's going to have a
- 11 hard time seeing it because of the depth perception of.
- But if I'm looking further down the road and he crosses
- over, I think I'd be in a position to see that. 13
- 14 Q Oh, I see what you're saying. So --
- 15 A Or if it happened in my rear view mirror,
- 16 again, the further away he is I could see more of that.
- 17 Q Okay.
- A Versus if it happened right next to me. 18
- 19 That's helpful. So just to kind of summarize
- 20 that. If you're sitting static on the side of the road
- as a police officer, the farther a car away is the easier
- 22 it's going to be to see this kind of offense.
- 23 For me personally --
- 24 Q Sure.
- 25 A -- yes, I believe so.

1

- Q But if the car is right in front of you, it's
- going to be hard to see an offense on the other side of
- 3 the car; is that fair?
- 4 MR. FRIGERIO: Objection, form.
- 5 A I mean, I think it presents its challenges. Me
- 6 personally, the closer they are together, I think it
- would present its challenges. But again, I can't attest
- to what Babb saw or didn't see. I'm going to have to 8
- 9 rely upon what his report says.
- 10 Q (By Mr. Windham) Sure. That's helpful. Let's
- see here. I just wanted to -- I mean, we can flip to 11
- 12 83 -- I want to find Question 8 in the questionnaire
- again. I just want to know what page that's on. Let's 13
- 14 see here.
- 15 MS. HEBERT: It is Page 8324.
- 16 Α
- 17 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. So yeah, read that
- question for me and his answer. 18
- 19 A (Reviewing document.) Okay.
- 20 Q Do you find anything about his response -- I
- 21 don't mean to ask this in a way that suggests an answer.
- 22 I'm just trying to understand. Is there anything out of
- 23 the ordinary about this response?
- 24 A I think if you just conducted a traffic stop
- 25 and the typical thing is you write your ticket, you do

- 1 your racial profiling information that's required by law
- and then you submit the ticket or the warning or whatever
- 3 it may be.
- 4 I think that it sounds like he got an inkling
- 5 that, oh, I may hear something about this one again, I
- better go ahead and proactively write a report, then he 6
- 7 wrote a report.
- 8 Q Do you know when officers are required to write
- reports after a traffic stop?
- 10 A No, I mean, I don't know what the policy says
- 11 chapter and verse on it, but typically they're not. The
- 12 ticket and the probable cause, everything is
- self-contained right there after a traffic stop. Now, if
- they find something or take some sort of action above and
- 15 beyond the traffic stop, I could see them having to write
- 16 a report.
- 17 Q Okay. So let's talk about that something else.
- Because I understand what you're saying about just
- 19 writing a ticket, that might be the record --
- 20 A Uh-huh.
- 21 Q -- of the interaction, right? What if they
- 22 found, you know, a kilo?
- 23 A Oh, that's going to be an offense report.
- We're going to make an arrest and we're going to write a
- 25 report for possession of controlled substance over 200

1

7

Page 214

- 1 grams.
- 2 Q Okay. And what's the purpose of writing a
- 3 report in that situation?
- 4 A Because you, you took some action above and
- 5 beyond just the traffic stop itself.
- 6 Q Well, I mean, between finding the kilo after a
- 7 full search of the car and a traffic stop that results in
- 8 a ticket, seems like there's a range of stuff that could
- 9 fall in between, right?
- 10 A Sure.
- 11 Q Is that fair? So, for example, if an officer
- 12 searched a car but didn't find anything, would that
- 13 require a report?
- 14 A No. There's a spot on the paperwork that we
- 15 submit with the ticket to account for search done, you
- 16 know, by consent, you know, where you have to check a
- 17 box, by consent, by, you know, inventory or whatever.
- 18 Findings, none. So it's already contained on that
- 19 document that we submit.
- 20 Q Got it. Okay. Would you expect that line,
- 21 that box about whether a search occurred to be filled out
- 22 correctly by officers on a regular basis?
- 23 A Yes. It's for tracking purposes.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A So it needs to be.

Page 215

- 1 Q What's the reason you guys want to track that
- 2 information?
- 3 A Well, by law we have to. We have a racial
- 4 profiling report that is prepared, and they want to know
- 5 things like the race of the driver, the race of the
- 6 officer, was the race known prior to the stop. Most of
- 7 the time it's not. Was there a search conducted, was
- 8 there contraband found, you know. So all that's stuff
- 9 that we have to track, so it's got to be done as
- 10 accurately as possible.
- 11 Q What would your reaction be to a slip of paper
- 12 like that that had the wrong information? So, for
- 13 example, said that a search didn't occur when it did
- 14 occur?
- 15 A I'd want to know why.
- 16 Q Okay. Why would you want to know why?
- 17 A Well, because now it's going to cause our
- 18 numbers to be off. So was it done maliciously, or was it
- 19 done -- was it an oversight? Did you accidentally fill
- 20 out the answers on this traffic stop from that one and
- 21 did you transpose? Why? Why is it incorrect? Because
- 22 it's going to cause our numbers -- could cause our
- 23 numbers to be thrown off.
- Q Okay. Let's see here. How about Question 15.
- 25 We're working our way through this.

- A Sure. Is there a bathroom break in our future?
- 2 Q Oh, you're more than welcome to ask for one.
- 3 You want one now?
- 4 A Is now a good time to take a break or --
- 5 Q Let me see. Yeah, I have two of these
- 6 questions.
  - A Let's do it.
- 8 Q Okay. Then we'll take a break.
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q So we're on Question 15. And just take a
- 11 minute to read it and let me know when you're done.
- 12 A (Reviewing document.) Okay.
- 13 Q Okay. Same question as before. Anything out
- 14 of the ordinary in this response, to your mind?
- 15 A Can you elaborate? I'm not sure what you --
- 16 Q I just want to know, I mean -- maybe what we
- 17 talked about earlier answers this. But it seems like
- 18 Deputy Babb is saying that his standard practice is to do
- 19 interdiction interviews in his patrol car. Is that fair?
- 20 A That's what he's saying.
- 21 Q Okay. And that would have been consistent with
- 22 how, presumably how Deputy Gamboa wanted this to go,
- 23 right?

1

- 24 MR. FRIGERIO: Objection, form.
- 25 A Deputy Gamboa?

Page 217 (By Mr. Windham) I'm sorry, Sergeant Gamboa.

- 2 A Sergeant Gamboa, yeah.
- 3 Q Thank you.
- 4 A But presumably what?
- 5 Q That would have been consistent with how
- 6 Sergeant Gamboa wanted these officers to perform these
- 7 stops, right?
- 8 A I don't know anything about how Sergeant Gamboa
- 9 wanted it.
- 10 Q Oh, you don't know?
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 Q Okay. And what about Deputy -- any other
- 13 officers who might have been in Criminal Interdiction at
- 14 the time? Are you aware of any other officers who
- 15 performed these stops?
- 16 A I mean, I know that there was another deputy
- 17 named Joe Gereb that was in that unit at the same time.
- 18 Q Okay. And maybe I know the answer to this, but
- 19 let me just ask, are you aware of whether Deputy Gereb
- 20 did this same kind of tactic?
- 21 A I'm not.
- 22 Q Okay. Let's see here. All right. I think
- 23 actually we can skip the next question. So let's take a
- 24 bathroom break.
- 25 A Okay.

Page 218
THE REPORTER: We are off the record.

- 2 (Recess from 2:54 p.m. to 3:01 p.m.)
- THE REPORTER: We are back on the record.
- 4 Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. Sheriff Salazar, do you
- 5 realize you're still under oath?
- 6 A Yes, sir.

1

- 7 Q Okay. Let me just take a step back and ask you
- 8 how you first met Deputy Babb.
- 9 A Oh, I think he was working in the jail when I
- 10 first met him. But I don't recall. I think he was on
- 11 the SERT Team.
- 12 Q On the what team?
- 13 A On the SERT Team. That's our SWAT team in the
- 14 jail. That's our special operations team in the jail.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Riot control, firefighting, they actually --
- 17 any emergency situation action that happens in the jail,
- 18 that's who responds. And if I'm not mistaken, he was on
- 19 the SERT Team.
- 20 Q Let me ask it this way. Do you recall what
- 21 year that was?
- 22 A It would have been when I took office, 2017.
- 23 Q So in those seven years since you first met
- 24 Deputy Babb, do you have any sense of how many
- 25 interactions you've had with him?

Page 219

- 1 A Maybe a dozen, two dozen.
- 2 Q Okay. Did any of those involve traffic stops?
- 3 A I believe so.
- 4 Q Can you tell me about that?
- 5 A Sure. One in particular, there was some sort
- 6 of an operation going on, I don't remember -- I know it
- 7 was just far south, like Von Ormy area, which is a city
- 8 on the southern end of the county. And our covert people
- 9 were conducting surveillance. A car was leaving. And I
- 10 think several of us were trying to catch up to it, up to
- 11 the moving surveillance. Somebody was going to conduct
- 12 traffic stop. There was a guy, I think they had a felony
- 13 warrant for him or something. And if I'm not mistaken,
- 14 Babb was the one that ultimately ended up catching up and
- 15 making the traffic stop. And it was near like Rittiman
- 16 and 35 area.
- 17 Q So this was an incident that involved several
- 18 officers; is that fair?
- 19 A Oh, absolutely.
- 20 Q Okay. And you were one of the folks that were
- 21 there?
- 22 A I was just trying to catch up to the
- 23 surveillance.
- Q So you talked to maybe Deputy Babb at some
- 25 point during that incident?

1 A I did.

- 2 Q Okay. Have you ever been in Deputy Babb's
- 3 patrol car during a traffic stop?
- 4 A Maybe in that one. I don't remember if I -- I
- 5 don't think I sat in it for any prolonged period of time,
- 6 but he may have shown me around. Matter of fact, I think
- 7 it was right after he came back from one of these classes
- 8 because he was telling me some stuff about what they do
- 9 now.
- 10 Q About the behavioral analysis and that kind of
- 11 thing?
- 12 A I don't remember details, but I just remember
- 13 him telling me about some of the things that he learned.
- 14 I can't remember in detail what it was. And I don't
- 15 remember which class it was.
- 16 Q I mean, is it fair to say that if Deputy Babb
- 17 had told you anything during that conversation about what
- 18 he had learned that was flatly inconsistent with Bexar
- 19 County policy, you would remember that?
- 20 A Oh, yeah. Absolutely.
- 21 Q Okay. Do you recall seeing any stickers in
- 22 Deputy Babb's car?
- 23 A No, not offhand.
- Q Okay. Are there any other incidents involving
- 25 traffic stops with him that you can recall?

Page 221

- 1 A I don't think so.
- 2 Q Okay. And any involving his time on the
- 3 interdiction team that you can recall?
- 4 A Not stops. I think there was another instance
- 5 where we were at a search warrant of some sort and I was
- 6 actually making fun of him a bit. I'm a -- I like to
- 7 joke around, especially with my -- you know, I like to
- 8 joke around with my deputies from time to time. And I
- 9 remember making fun of them -- I would rib them about not
- 10 being very effective at drug interdiction, not finding

all drugs very often.

12 And at one point I remember we were at a search

13 warrant, not related to the highway, and Sergeant Gamboa

4 was there, and I said, "Hey, Sarge, watch this." And I

15 said, "Babb, that's cocaine. That's what it looks like

16 right there." And, you know, we laughed.

17 And I felt bad because he actually gave me a

- 18 gift right after that, and so I felt horrible for having
- 19 given him a little crap, so to speak. But that, that's
- 20 the only other interaction that I can -- but I don't
- 21 think it was near his patrol car.
- And the gift, before you ask, was a little
- 23 velcro patch, and it was a mounted patrol horse. It was
- 24 a little patch that he had bought somewhere because he
- 25 knows I like mounted patrol stuff. He gave one to

- 2 But that's the only two things that I can think
- 3 of that I had interactions with him.

1 Sergeant Gamboa as well.

- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A Oh, you know what, hang on. I'm sorry. No,
- 6 this wasn't a traffic stop. One time on patrol, I was
- 7 driving home, I believe on a Saturday, and I had heard
- 8 patrol people out with some sort of a disturbance or
- 9 something involving a guy that was in the woods, a
- 10 suspicious person. And Babb and I both responded to
- 11 that.
- He was on patrol at the time. It was before
- 13 his time in the interdiction unit. But that was another
- 14 dealing that I had with him.
- 15 Q Okay. Fair to say all those interactions were
- 16 positive interactions with him?
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q He seemed professional --
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q -- and all the rest? Okay. I think this is
- 21 going to be our final exhibit. Don't make me change my
- 22 mind, but I think this is going to be it. So this is
- 23 going to be Exhibit 55. Oh, wrong folder.
- Okay. So Ms. Hebert has just handed you what's
- 25 been marked as Exhibit 55. Take a minute to look through
  - Page 223
- 1 that and let me know if you recognize it.
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q You do recognize it?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Okay. What is it?
- 6 A It's a response to grievance. So basically
- 7 after a deputy meets with a member of command staff to
- 8 appeal a suspension or a proposed suspension, that member
- 9 of command staff will prepare a document that says: Hey,
- 10 on this day we met, you appealed your suspension to me,
- 11 and I agree with you we should reduce it to 15 days, or
- 12 nothing that you said changed my mind, here's what you're
- 13 going to get.
- 14 And then typically if they disagree with it --
- 15 if it's a deputy chief, they can disagree with that and
- 16 say: No, I want to go to the next level up and I want to
- 17 appeal it to the assistant chief.
- In this instance, this looks like it was the
- 19 final one. And if memory does serve, it was James, Chief
- 20 Serrato that actually affirmed his termination. And at
- 21 this point he's considered terminated from the agency.
- 22 Q And I just wanted the record to reflect that
- 23 there are actually, to my knowledge, seems like there
- 24 were two hearings held, is that fair, when you flip
- 25 through this?

- 1 A It does look like it.
  - 2 Q Okay. And I can just kind of walk through that
  - 3 with you. So it looks like at BC 11587 there was a
  - 4 hearing on June 5th of 2024. Is that fair?
  - 5 A Yes.
  - 6 Q That would have been before Chief Raul Garza
  - 7 and others: is that fair?
  - 8 A It would have been in front of Chief Serrato.
  - 9 Q Oh, okay. Well, at the top of BC 11587 I see
  - 10 attendees Chief Raul Garza --
  - 11 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at 581. My
  - 12 apologies. 587. Yes.
  - Q Okay. So there's a hearing on June 5th, 2024,
  - 14 in front of Chief Raul Garza, right?
  - 15 A Ye
  - 16 Q And then it looks like on BC 11582 there was a
  - 17 second hearing on June 25 in front of Chief Serrato.
  - 18 A Yes.
  - 19 Q Is that fair? Okay. I mean, just as a general
  - 20 question, do you have a sense of why there would have
  - 21 been two hearings?
  - 22 A Yes.
  - 23 Q Okay.
  - 24 A So clearly whatever actions Chief Garza took he
  - 25 disagreed with it, and he wanted to bump it up to myself
    - Page 225

- 1 or Chief Serrato.
- 2 Q Okay. Understood. So let's walk -- let's go
- 3 to the first hearing on 11587.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q And I see a line from Deputy Babb the second
- 6 time he speaks. I'll just read it. "I'm not that guy.
- 7 I've worked with Javier Salazar. He knows my work ethic.
- 8 And to just get dismissed. He knows my training and
- 9 tactics. I've done several classes."
- Do you have an opinion on this statement?
  - A Yeah, I don't like him calling me by my name.
- 12 I don't know -- we don't -- we don't have it like that.
- 13 So I don't appreciate that. But with that being said,
- 14 okay.

11

- 15 Q Well, I just -- is that your --
- 16 A I take exception to him not using my rank.
  - Q Okay. That's fair. Other than --
- 18 A We're not friends, put it that way.
- 19 Q Okay. Other than him using your first name, do
- 20 you have any other disagreement with this statement?
- 21 A I mean, you've got a great work ethic, that's
- 22 awesome. And I appreciate that. However that also
- 23 doesn't prevent you from getting fired if you do
- 24 something that's egregious enough.
- 25 Q All right. Any other disagreement with this

- 2 A I don't know his training and tactics to that
- 3 level. Again, I know that he's been to some training,
- 4 but until you showed me that, I actually didn't know it
- 5 was that much training. That's a lot. And as far as his
- 6 tactics, I don't --

1 statement?

- 7 Q So you don't personally know about those
- 8 things?
- 9 A Huh-uh.
- 10 Q Okay. Maybe just folks down the chain of
- 11 command; is that fair?
- 12 A What do you mean?
- 13 Q Well, he's talking about his training and
- 14 tactics.
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 Q I would think that somebody between you and him
- 17 knows about those things. Is that fair?
- 18 A I would hope somebody does.
- 19 Q Okay. Perhaps Sergeant Gamboa?
- 20 A Sure.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A But that doesn't, also doesn't mean that all of
- 23 your tactics are correct.
- 24 Q Sure. Sure. And then when this was, you know,
- 25 before Chief Garza, it looks to me on BC 11584 that the

Page 22

- 1 change my mind. I'm going to uphold your termination.
- 2 Q So I want to be careful about the fact it seems
- 3 there are two hearings here, initial determination and an
- 4 appeal. So can we break that down a little bit?
- 5 A Sure.
- 6 Q I'm asking right now about the initial hearing
- 7 on June 5th and the decision based on that hearing. I
- 8 realize there was an appeal.
- 9 A It's always an appeal. There are several
- 10 appeals.

16

25

- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A So usually you meet with your deputy chief,
- 13 your one star. He or she says, "Hate to break this to
- 14 you, you're getting terminated."
- 15 "I don't agree with it."
  - "Okay. Well, you can appeal it to the two
- 17 star, your assistant chief."
- 18 "Hey, I'm appealing the determination that the
- 19 one star said that I was getting. I met with him, and he
- 20 didn't change it. It's still a termination."
- 21 "Okay. What do you got? No, you're not
- 22 changing my mind either. It's still a termination."
- "Okay. Well, then I want to appeal to the
- 24 three star or the four star."
  - So myself -- at that point, myself or Chief

- 1 determination by Chief Garza after this hearing that I
- 2 just read a clip from was that he should be dismissed.
- 3 Is that fair?
- 4 A Yes. This would have been -- but that's not --
- 5 that's not Garza's signature, though, I don't think. I
- 6 think that's Schuler's signature.
- 7 Q You know what, I agree, given that I've seen
- 8 Schuler's signature before.
- 9 A Uh-huh.
- 10 Q Do you have a sense of what's going on there?
- 11 A It says "R. Schuler for Raul Garza."
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A So I don't know if he had to -- maybe Chief
- 14 Garza had some sort of emergency and had to leave and
- 15 Chief Garza -- or Chief Schuler sat in on it, but clearly
- 16 he's signing for him.
- 17 Q Okay. My question is really about kind of the
- 18 final determination.
- 19 A Sure.
- 20 Q At least after this June 25, 2024, hearing.
- 21 A Uh-huh.
- Q And that would have been that he should be
- 23 terminated.
- A It looks like they met, he appealed it, and
- 25 then whomever signed that document said: No, you didn't

- Page 229
  1 Serrato -- in this instance it was Chief Serrato met with
- 2 him and said, "No, you didn't change my mind either,
- 3 still a termination."
- 4 So they're all called appeals.
- 5 Q That's why I was confused. I appreciate that.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So the thing I wanted to ask you about is the
- 8 basis for the termination.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q I'll just try to summarize, because we have
- 11 four pages here. We could walk through it all, but to
- 12 make it quick, maybe -- it seems like what's going on
- 13 here is that Deputy Babb was terminated based on the dash
- 14 cam policy violation that is articulated in these
- 15 documents. Is that fair?
- 16 A I think it's a little deeper than that.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I think, if memory serves -- and I haven't read
- 19 this. I could certainly read it. I think it was because
- 20 of dishonesty, because of lying. About that, but also
- 21 about lying about it --
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A -- is my understanding.
- 24 Q That sounds right to me as well. And what I
- 25 want to really understand is other than that, the dash

	Page 230		Page 232
1	cam issue and the lying about it, was there any other	1	you can't lie to us about it.
2	policy violation that you think Deputy Babb was violated	2	Q And the policy that you are saying was in
3	for or sorry, terminated for?	3	effect was the dash cam policy and the don't lie policy?
4	A Manually turning off the mobile recording	4	A Yes, all of those.
5	device, poor job performance; failing to activate the	5	MR. WINDHAM: Okay. Okay. Let's take like a
6	mobile recording device, poor job performance; submitting	6	3-minute break. I think I'm about done.
7	an untruthful supplement report is dishonesty.	7	THE REPORTER: We're off the record.
8	Q Yeah.	8	(Recess from 3:18 p.m. to 3:21 p.m.)
9	A Looks like there's three.	9	THE REPORTER: We are back on the record.
10	Q Okay. Do any of those things, to your	10	Q (By Mr. Windham) Okay. Sheriff Salazar, do you
11	knowledge, have to do with the way he conducted the	11	realize you're still under oath?
12	traffic stop?	12	A Yes.
13	A No.	13	MR. WINDHAM: Okay. We'll pass the witness.
14	Q Okay. So he wasn't fired, for example, for the	14	MR. FRIGERIO: We'll reserve our questions.
15	stop itself being invalid?	15	Thank you.
16	A I don't think not based on this, I don't	16	MR. LEAKE: We will likewise reserve our
17	think so.	17	questions for time of trial.
18	Q So he wasn't stopped for the detention being	18	THE REPORTER: We are off the record.
19	invalid or sorry, he wasn't fired for the detention	19	Deposition is concluded.
20	being invalid?	20	(Deposition concluded at 3:21 p.m.)
21	A I don't think so.	21	
22	Q And he wasn't fired for the search being	22	
23	invalid?	23	
24	A I don't think so.	24	
25	Q Okay. And it looks like that's the final	25	
	Page 231		Page 233
1		1	Page 233 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
		1 2	
	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on	2	
2	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581	2	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
2	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes.	3	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS
2 3 4 5	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb	3	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024
2 3 4 5	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second	3 4 5	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts	2 3 4 5 6	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind.	3 4 5 6 7 8	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example? A Nothing springs to mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example? A Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example? A Nothing springs to mind.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example? A Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic stops or anything like that? A I don't think so.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581  A Yes.  Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all?  A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all?  A I can't think of anything offhand.  Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example?  A Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic stops or anything like that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581  A Yes.  Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all?  A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all?  A I can't think of anything offhand.  Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example?  A Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic stops or anything like that?  A I don't think so.  Q Okay. Are you planning to make any policy shifts at all?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE  I, SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581 A Yes. Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all? A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all? A I can't think of anything offhand. Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example? A Nothing springs to mind. Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic stops or anything like that? A I don't think so. Q Okay. Are you planning to make any policy shifts at all? A Nothing springs to mind. I think the policy	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	decision made by James Serrato, Chief Deputy, on Page 11581  A Yes.  Q fair? Okay. Okay. So after this second Internal Affairs investigation concluded and Deputy Babb was terminated, did you decide to make any policy shifts at all?  A After his termination? Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. So you haven't determined, either based on this investigation or even just knowledge of this lawsuit, to make any policy shifts at all?  A I can't think of anything offhand.  Q So like nothing about how to handle dash cams, for example?  A Nothing springs to mind.  Q Okay. And nothing about how to conduct traffic stops or anything like that?  A I don't think so.  Q Okay. Are you planning to make any policy shifts at all?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE OF WITNESS  WITNESS NAME: SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR  DATE OF DEPOSITION: JULY 16, 2024  PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON FOR CHANGE  I, SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is

	Shëritt Jav	ier	Salazar July 16, 2024
	Page 234		Page 236
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	
	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	2	csf@frigeriolawfirm.com
2	SAN ANTONIO DIVISION		-
3	ALEK SCHOTT, §	3	July 29, 2024
4	Plaintiff, §	4	RE: Schott, Alek v. Babb, Joel Et Al
	<b>§</b>	5	7/16/2024, Sheriff Javier Salazar (#6664594)
5	§	6	The above-referenced transcript is available for
	VS. §	7	review.
6	§ JOEL BABB, in his individual § CIVIL ACTION NO.	8	Within the applicable timeframe, the witness should
7	and official capacity; § 5:23-ev-00706-OLG-RBF	9	read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If there are
	MARTIN A. MOLINA III, in his §		
8	individual and official §	10	any changes, the witness should note those with the
	capacity; JAVIER SALAZAR, in §	11	reason, on the attached Errata Sheet.
9	his individual and official \$ capacity; and BEXAR COUNTY, \$	12	The witness should sign the Acknowledgment of
10	TEXAS, §	13	Deponent and Errata and return to the deposing attorney.
	Defendants. §	14	Copies should be sent to all counsel, and to Veritext at
11		15	clientservices-va@veritext.com
12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION	16	Return completed errata within 30 days from
13	ORAL DEPOSITION OF SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR		receipt of testimony.
15	JULY 16, 2024	18	If the witness fails to do so within the time
16			
17	I, MOLLY CARTER, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and		allotted, the transcript may be used as if signed.
18	for The State of Texas, hereby certify to the following:	20	
20	That the witness, SHERIFF JAVIER SALAZAR, was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral	21	
21	deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the	22	Yours,
22	witness;	23	Veritext Legal Solutions
23	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule	24	-
25	30(e)(1), that the signature of the deponent: _XX_ was requested by the deponent or a party before	25	
-			
١.	Page 235		
	1		
$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	days from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and Signature Page		
4	contains any changes and the reasons therefor;		
5	was not requested by the deponent or a party		
	before the completion of the deposition.		
7	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor		
8	· ·		
9	parties to the action in which this testimony was taken.		
10	Further, I am not a relative or employee of any attorney		
11	of record in this cause, nor do I have a financial		
12	interest in the action.		
13	Certified to by me on this 25th day of July 2024.		
14			
15			
16 17			
' '	Mally Cartor		
18	MULLY CAKTEK, CSK NO. 2613		
	Expires: 04/30/2024		
19	1 33. 3		
	Veritext Legal Solutions		
20	Firm Registration No. 571		
	300 Throckmorton, Suite 1600		
21	Fort Worth, Texas 76102		
	800-336-4000		
22			
23			
24 25			
_25			